

Patient Name: 박승애
Gender: Female
Sample ID: N26-91

Primary Tumor Site: ovary
Collection Date: 2026.02.24

Sample Cancer Type: Ovarian Cancer

| Table of Contents | Page | Report Highlights |
|--------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| Variant Details | 2 | 1 Relevant Biomarkers |
| Biomarker Descriptions | 3 | 0 Therapies Available |
| Relevant Therapy Summary | 10 | 4 Clinical Trials |

Relevant Ovarian Cancer Findings

| Gene | Finding | Gene | Finding |
|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| BRAF | None detected | NTRK1 | None detected |
| BRCA1 | None detected | NTRK2 | None detected |
| BRCA2 | None detected | NTRK3 | None detected |
| ERBB2 | None detected | RET | None detected |
| KRAS | None detected | | |

| Genomic Alteration | Finding |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Tumor Mutational Burden | 1.89 Mut/Mb measured |
| Genomic Instability | GIM 11 (Low) |

HRD Status: **HR Proficient (HRD-)**

Relevant Biomarkers

| Tier | Genomic Alteration | Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type) | Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type) | Clinical Trials |
|------|---|--|---|-----------------|
| IIC | FGFR1 amplification fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 Locus: chr8:38271452 | None* | None* | 4 |
| IIC | TP53 p.(Y163C) c.488A>G tumor protein p53 Allele Frequency: 82.46% Locus: chr17:7578442 Transcript: NM_000546.6 | None* | None* | 0 |

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

ATRX deletion, Microsatellite stable, PPP2R2A deletion, RPA1 deletion, EMSY amplification, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, ZRSR2 deletion, BCOR deletion, USP9X deletion, DDX3X deletion, KDM6A deletion, RBM10 deletion, KDM5C deletion, AMER1 deletion, ZMYM3 deletion, Tumor Mutational Burden, Genomic Instability (Low)

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

| Gene | Amino Acid Change | Coding | Variant ID | Locus | Allele Frequency | Transcript | Variant Effect |
|--------|-------------------------|---|------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| TP53 | p.(Y163C) | c.488A>G | COSM10808 | chr17:7578442 | 82.46% | NM_000546.6 | missense |
| NQO1 | p.(P187S) | c.559C>T | . | chr16:69745145 | 89.14% | NM_000903.3 | missense |
| XYLB | p.(I75T) | c.224T>C | . | chr3:38404441 | 63.24% | NM_005108.4 | missense |
| MAML3 | p.(Q488_Q494delinsHD S) | c.1455_1506delACAGC AACAGCAACAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGinsGCAGCAACAGC ACAGCCAGCAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAA | . | chr4:140811084 | 10.13% | NM_018717.5 | nonframeshift Block Substitution |
| MAML3 | p.(Q491Pfs*32) | c.1455_1506delACAGC AACAGCAACAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGinsGCAGCAACAGC AACAGCCAGCAGCAGC CAGCAGCAGCAGCAA | . | chr4:140811084 | 89.87% | NM_018717.5 | frameshift Block Substitution |
| PTPRZ1 | p.(T1359A) | c.4075A>G | . | chr7:121653175 | 3.40% | NM_002851.3 | missense |
| ARID5B | p.(P338L) | c.1013C>T | . | chr10:63817042 | 2.45% | NM_032199.3 | missense |
| NOTCH3 | p.(L2137P) | c.6410T>C | . | chr19:15272029 | 2.35% | NM_000435.3 | missense |

Copy Number Variations

| Gene | Locus | Copy Number | CNV Ratio |
|---------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| FGFR1 | chr8:38271452 | 6.5 | 2.8 |
| ATRX | chrX:76763769 | 0.96 | 0.58 |
| PPP2R2A | chr8:26149298 | 0.74 | 0.5 |
| RPA1 | chr17:1733385 | 1.19 | 0.68 |
| EMSY | chr11:76157926 | 9.78 | 4.11 |
| ZRSR2 | chrX:15808582 | 1.05 | 0.62 |
| BCOR | chrX:39911340 | 1.13 | 0.65 |
| USP9X | chrX:40982869 | 1.05 | 0.62 |
| DDX3X | chrX:41193501 | 0.95 | 0.58 |
| KDM6A | chrX:44732715 | 0.99 | 0.6 |
| RBM10 | chrX:47006798 | 1.09 | 0.63 |
| KDM5C | chrX:53221892 | 1.1 | 0.64 |

Variant Details (continued)

Copy Number Variations (continued)

| Gene | Locus | Copy Number | CNV Ratio |
|-------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| AMER1 | chrX:63409727 | 1 | 0.6 |
| ZMYM3 | chrX:70460753 | 1.06 | 0.62 |
| KMT2B | chr19:36209128 | 5.54 | 2.41 |
| ARAF | chrX:47422311 | 1.1 | 0.64 |
| AR | chrX:66766015 | 1.18 | 0.67 |

Biomarker Descriptions

FGFR1 amplification

fibroblast growth factor receptor 1

Background: The FGFR1 gene encodes fibroblast growth receptor 1, a member of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) family that also includes FGFR2, 3, and 4²³. These proteins are single transmembrane receptors composed of three extracellular immunoglobulin (Ig)-type domains and an intracellular kinase domain²³. Upon FGF-mediated stimulation, FGFRs activate several oncogenic signaling pathways, including the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, PI3K/AKT/MTOR, PLCγ/PKC, and JAK/STAT pathways influencing cell proliferation, migration, and survival^{66,67,68}.

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations common to the FGFR family include gene amplification, mutation, and chromosomal translocations leading to FGFR fusions⁶⁹. Amplification of FGFR1 is observed in 17% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 11% of breast invasive carcinoma, 8% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 7% of uterine carcinosarcoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 6% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 5% of sarcoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 3% of prostate adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma^{8,10,70,71,72}. The most common recurrent mutations, N546K and K656E, are relatively infrequent (<1%); they activate mutations in the kinase domain and are distributed in diverse cancer types⁷³. Somatic mutations in FGFR1 are observed in 7% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 3% of stomach adenocarcinoma and colorectal adenocarcinoma^{8,10}. FGFR1 translocations giving rise to expressed fusions are common in certain hematological cancers, but are less common in solid tumors^{74,75,76}. Alterations in FGFR1 are rare in pediatric cancers¹⁰. Amplification of FGFR1 is observed in less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases)¹⁰. Somatic mutations in FGFR1 are observed in 6% of non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, 3% of soft tissue sarcoma, 2% of glioma, and less than 1% of embryonal tumors (2 in 332 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (1 in 252 cases), Wilms tumor (2 in 710 cases), and peripheral nervous system cancers (1 in 1158 cases)¹⁰.

Potential relevance: The FGFR kinase inhibitor, pemigatinib⁷⁷ (2022) is approved for the treatment of adults with relapsed/refractory myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms (MLNs) with FGFR1 rearrangement. FDA has approved multi-kinase inhibitors, including regorafenib, ponatinib, lenvatinib, nintedanib, and pazopanib, that are known to inhibit FGFR family members⁷⁸. These inhibitors have demonstrated anti-tumor activity in select cancer types with FGFR alterations^{79,80,81,82,83,84,85}. Rearrangements in FGFR1 are associated with poor risk pediatric and adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia^{86,87,88}.

TP53 p.(Y163C) c.488A>G

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair²³. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis⁸⁹. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential⁹⁰. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{91,92}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{8,10,70,93,94,95}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{8,10}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{96,97,98,99}. Alterations in TP53 are also

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

observed in pediatric cancers^{8,10}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)^{8,10}. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)^{8,10}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586¹⁰⁰ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{101,102}. TP53 mutations are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma⁴⁰. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{6,19,86,103,104}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant¹⁰⁵. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system¹⁰⁶.

ATRX deletion

ATRX, chromatin remodeler

Background: The ATRX gene encodes the ATRX chromatin remodeler and ATPase/helicase domain protein, which belongs to SWI/SNF family of chromatin remodeling proteins²³. The SWI/SNF proteins are a group of DNA translocases that use ATP hydrolysis to remodel chromatin structure and maintain genomic integrity by controlling transcriptional regulation, DNA repair, and chromosome stability through the regulation of telomere length^{31,32,33,34}. ATRX is a tumor suppressor that interacts with the MRE11-RAD50-NBN (MRN) complex, which is involved in double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) break repair^{35,36,37}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations of ATRX are observed in 38% of brain lower grade glioma, 15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 14% of sarcoma, 9% of glioblastoma multiforme and skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 6% of lung adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma and kidney chromophobe^{8,10}. Biallelic deletion of ATRX is observed in 7% of sarcoma, 3% of kidney chromophobe, and 2% of brain lower grade glioma^{8,10}. Although alterations of ATRX in pediatric populations are rare, somatic mutations are observed in 6% of gliomas, 4% of bone cancer, 3% of soft tissue sarcoma, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), embryonal tumor (3 in 332 cases), and leukemia (2 in 354 cases)¹⁰. Biallelic deletion of ATRX is observed in 1% of peripheral nervous system tumors (1 in 91 cases) and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases)¹⁰.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ATRX aberrations. Loss of ATRX protein expression correlates with the presence of ATRX mutations^{38,39}. ATRX deficiency along with IDH mutation and TP53 mutation is diagnostic of astrocytoma IDH-mutant as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO)^{40,41}.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome¹¹⁹. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{120,121}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2¹²². Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250¹²³. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)¹²³. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{124,125,126,127,128}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes¹²¹. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{120,121,125,129}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{120,121,130,131}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{130,131}.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab¹³² (2014) and nivolumab¹³³ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab¹³² is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication¹³². Dostarlimab¹³⁴ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{126,135}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab¹³⁶ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{126,137,138}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients¹³⁸. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{139,140}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{139,140}.

PPP2R2A deletion

protein phosphatase 2 regulatory subunit B alpha

Background: The PPP2R2A gene encodes the protein phosphatase 2 regulatory subunit B alpha, a member of a large heterotrimeric serine/threonine phosphatase 2A (PP2A) family. Proteins of the PP2A family includes 3 subunits— the structural A subunit (includes PPP2R1A and PPP2R1B), the regulatory B subunit (includes PPP2R2A, PPP2R5, PPP2R3, and STRN), and the catalytic C subunit (PPPP2CA and PPP2CB)^{42,43}. PPA2 proteins are essential tumor suppressor genes that regulate cell division and possess pro-apoptotic activity through negative regulation of the PI3K/AKT pathway⁴⁴. Specifically, PPP2R2A modulates ATM phosphorylation which is critical in the regulation of the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway⁴².

Alterations and prevalence: Copy number loss and downregulation of PPP2R2A is commonly observed in solid tumors including breast and non-small cell lung cancer and define an aggressive subgroup of luminal-like breast cancer^{42,43,45,46}. Biallelic loss of PPP2R2A is observed in 4-8% of breast invasive carcinoma, lung, colorectal, bladder, liver, and prostate cancers, as well as 4% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma⁸.

Potential relevance: Currently no therapies are approved for PPP2R2A aberrations. However, in 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex⁴⁷, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Loss of PPP2R2A in pre-clinical and xenograft models have been shown to inhibit homologous recombination DNA directed repair and may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors such as veliparib⁴². Olaparib treatment in prostate cancer with PPP2R2A mutations is not recommended due to unfavorable risk benefit⁴⁸.

RPA1 deletion

replication protein A1

Background: The RPA1 gene encodes replication protein A1²³. Replication protein A (RPA) is a heterotrimeric complex composed of RPA1 (RPA70), RPA2 (RPA32), and RPA3 (RPA14)⁵⁷. RPA is involved in multiple DNA repair processes including base excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision repair (NER), mismatch repair (MMR), non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination repair (HRR)⁵⁷. RPA is known to participate in DNA damage recognition by binding single stranded DNA (ssDNA) and interacting with several proteins involved in DNA repair processes including XPA, ERCC5, RAD52, RAD51, BRCA1, and BRCA2, thereby promoting DNA replication and repair⁵⁷.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RPA1 are observed in 3% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 2% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and skin cutaneous melanoma^{8,10}. Biallelic deletions in RPA1 are observed in 2% of adrenocortical carcinoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), and lung adenocarcinoma^{8,10}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RPA1 aberrations.

EMSY amplification

EMSY transcriptional repressor, BRCA2 interacting

Background: The EMSY gene encodes the EMSY transcriptional repressor, BRCA2 interacting²³. EMSY is a nuclear protein that interacts with the transactivation domain of BRCA2, resulting in the suppression of BRCA2 transcriptional activity.^{54,55} EMSY colocalizes with

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

γ -H2AX at DNA damage sites, regulates chromatin remodeling, and suppresses interferon-stimulated genes in a BRCA2 dependent manner^{54,56}. Overexpression of EMSY inactivates BRCA2 leading to chromosomal instability and tumorigenesis^{54,56}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in EMSY are observed in 7% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 6% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 3% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2% of lung adenocarcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma^{8,10}. Amplification of EMSY is observed in 8% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 6% of breast invasive carcinoma and esophageal adenocarcinoma, and 4% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma^{8,10}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for EMSY aberrations.

ZRSR2 deletion

zinc finger CCCH-type, RNA binding motif and serine/arginine rich 2

Background: The ZRSR2 gene encodes the zinc finger CCCH-type, RNA binding motif and serine/arginine-rich 2 protein, a component of the spliceosome. Specifically, ZRSR2 encodes a splicing factor that is involved in the recognition of the 3' intron splice site⁵¹. ZRSR2 interacts with components of the pre-spliceosome assembly including SRSF2 and U2AF2/U2AF1 heterodimer^{51,52}. Mutations in ZRSR2 can lead to deregulated global and alternative mRNA splicing, nuclear-cytoplasm export, and unspliced mRNA degradation while concurrently altering the expression of multiple genes^{51,53}.

Alterations and prevalence: ZRSR2 alterations including nonsense and frameshift mutations are observed in 5-10% of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and 4% of uterine cancer. ZRSR2 deletions are observed in 4% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 3% of head and neck and esophageal cancers^{6,10}.

Potential relevance: Mutation of ZRSR2 is associated with poor prognosis in myelodysplastic syndromes as well as poor/adverse risk in acute myeloid leukemia (AML)^{6,18,19}.

BCOR deletion

BCL6 corepressor

Background: The BCOR gene encodes the B-cell CLL/lymphoma 6 (BCL6) co-repressor protein, which potentiates transcriptional repression by BCL6^{1,2}. BCOR also associates with class I and II histone deacetylases (HDACs), suggesting an alternate mechanism for BCOR-mediated transcriptional repression independent of BCL6². Genetic alterations in BCOR result in protein dysfunction, which suggests BCOR functions as a tumor suppressor gene^{3,4,5}.

Alterations and prevalence: Genetic alterations in BCOR include missense, nonsense, and frameshift mutations that result in loss of function and have been observed in up to 5% of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), 5-10% of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML), and 1-5% of acute myeloid leukemia (AML)^{6,7,8,9}. Higher mutational frequencies are reported in some solid tumors, including up to 15% of uterine cancer and 5-10% of colorectal cancer, stomach cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, and melanoma^{8,10}. Although less common, BCOR fusions and internal tandem duplications (ITDs) have been reported in certain rare cancer types^{11,12,13}. Specifically, BCOR::CCNB3 rearrangements define a particular subset of sarcomas with Ewing sarcoma-like morphology known as BCOR::CCNB3 sarcomas (BCS)^{14,15}. Alterations in BCOR are also observed in pediatric cancers^{8,10}. Somatic mutations are observed in 13% of soft tissue sarcoma, 4% of glioma, 3% of retinoblastoma, 2% of bone cancer, 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 252 cases), and less than 1% of embryonal tumors (3 in 332 cases), leukemia (2 in 311 cases), and Wilms tumor (2 in 710 cases)^{8,10}. Other alterations have been reported in clear cell carcinoma of the kidney, a rare pediatric renal malignant tumor, with one study reporting the presence of BCOR ITDs in more than 90% of cases¹¹.

Potential relevance: BCOR rearrangement, including inv(X)(p11.4p11.22) resulting in BCOR::CCNB3 fusion, is diagnostic of sarcoma with BCOR genetic alterations, a subset of undifferentiated round cell sarcomas^{16,17}. Additionally, translocation t(x;22)(p11;q13) resulting in ZC3H7B::BCOR fusion is a useful ancillary diagnostic marker of high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma¹⁶. Somatic mutation in BCOR is one of the possible molecular abnormality requirements for the diagnosis of myelodysplasia-related AML (AML-MR) and is associated with poor prognosis in AML and MDS^{6,7,18,19,20}. In FLT3-ITD negative AML patients under 65 with intermediate cytogenetic prognosis, mutations in BCOR confer inferior overall survival (OS) as well as relapse-free survival (RFS) compared to those without BCOR abnormalities (OS = 13.6% vs. 55%; RFS = 14.3% vs. 44.5%)⁹. Additionally, BCOR ITDs and BCOR::EP300 fusion are molecular alterations of significance in pediatric gliomas^{21,22}.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

USP9X deletion

ubiquitin specific peptidase 9 X-linked

Background: The USP9X gene encodes the ubiquitin specific peptidase 9 X-linked protein²³. USP9X is a deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) and a member of the ubiquitin-specific protease (USP) subclass of cysteine proteases⁴⁹. DUBs catalyze the removal of ubiquitin from target proteins, thereby counter-regulating post-translational ubiquitin modifications within the cell^{49,50}. USP9X has many substrates and is commonly upregulated in several solid tumor types, supporting an oncogenic role for USP9X⁵⁰. Conversely, in some cancer types, USP9X has been observed to function as a tumor suppressor, suggesting its exact role in cancer may be dependent on its substrates⁵⁰. In breast cancer, USP9X has been shown to stabilize BRCA1 by inhibiting its ubiquitination, thereby influencing the regulation of homologous recombination and repair⁵⁰.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations are observed in 16% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 11% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, and 5% of stomach adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma^{8,10}. Biallelic deletion in USP9X is observed in 4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and 2% of mesothelioma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma^{8,10}. Alterations in USP9X are also observed in the pediatric population¹⁰. Somatic mutations are observed in 2% of Hodgkin lymphoma (1 in 61 cases) and bone cancer (5 in 327 cases) and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), glioma (2 in 297 cases), and leukemia (1 in 311 cases)¹⁰. Biallelic deletion in USP9X is observed in less than 1% of leukemia (2 in 250 cases) and B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases)¹⁰.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for USP9X aberrations.

DDX3X deletion

DEAD-box helicase 3, X-linked

Background: The DDX3X gene encodes DEAD-box helicase 3 X-linked, a member of the DEAD-box protein family, which is part of the RNA helicase superfamily II^{23,107}. DEAD-box helicases contain twelve conserved motifs including a "DEAD" domain which is characterized by a conserved amino acid sequence of Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp (DEAD)^{107,108,109,110}. In DEAD-box proteins, the DEAD domain interacts with β - and γ -phosphates of ATP through Mg²⁺ and is required for ATP hydrolysis¹⁰⁷. DDX3X is involved in several processes including the unwinding of double-stranded RNA, splicing of pre-mRNA, RNA export, transcription, and translation^{111,112,113,114,115,116,117,118}. Deregulation of DDX3X has been shown to impact cancer progression by modulating proliferation, metastasis, and drug resistance¹¹¹.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in DDX3X are observed in 9% of skin cutaneous melanoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 7% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma^{8,10}. Biallelic loss of DDX3X is observed in 4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and 2% of mesothelioma and lung squamous cell carcinoma^{8,10}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for DDX3X aberrations.

KDM6A deletion

lysine demethylase 6A

Background: The KDM6A gene encodes the lysine demethylase 6A protein²³. KDM6A is a histone demethylase that belongs to the KDM6 family of histone H3 lysine demethylases that also includes KDM6B and KDM6C⁵⁸. Methylation of histone lysine and arginine residues functions to regulate transcription and the DNA damage response, specifically in the recruitment of DNA repair proteins and transcriptional repression²⁹. KDM6A removes methylation of di- and trimethylated histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27)^{28,58}. KDM6A also interacts with various transcription factors as well as KMT2C, KMT2D, and CBP/p300 chromatin-modifying enzymes, and the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex to facilitate transcriptional regulation⁵⁸. Mutations in KDM6A lead to activation of the histone methyltransferase, EZH2, resulting in transcriptional repression⁵⁸. KDM6A is believed to function as a tumor suppressor by antagonizing EZH2-mediated transcriptional repression and promoting transcriptional regulation^{58,59}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in KDM6A are observed in 26% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 7% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of skin cutaneous melanoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and 4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma^{8,10}. Biallelic loss of KDM6A is observed in 8% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 4% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and pancreatic adenocarcinoma^{8,10}.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for KDM6A aberrations. Pre-clinical data suggest that KDM6A loss of function or inactivating mutations may respond to EZH2 inhibitors⁵⁹.

RBM10 deletion

RNA binding motif protein 10

Background: RBM10 encodes RNA binding motif protein 10, a member of the RNA binding proteins (RBP) family^{23,24}. RBM10 regulates RNA splicing and post-transcriptional modification of mRNA^{24,25}. RBM10 is suggested to function as a tumor suppressor by promoting apoptosis and inhibiting cellular proliferation through regulation of the MDM2 and p53 feedback loops, as well as influencing BAX expression²⁴. RBM10 has been observed to promote transformation and proliferation in lung cancer, supporting an oncogenic role for RBM10^{26,27}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RBM10 are observed in 7% of lung adenocarcinoma, 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 3% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and kidney chromophobe^{8,10}. Biallelic loss of RBM10 is observed in 3% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and 2% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma^{8,10}. Amplification of RBM10 is observed in 5% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, and 2% of sarcoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma^{8,10}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RBM10 aberrations.

KDM5C deletion

lysine demethylase 5C

Background: The KDM5C gene encodes the lysine demethylase 5C protein, a histone demethylase, also known as JARID1C^{23,28}. Methylation of histone lysine and arginine residues functions to regulate transcription and DNA damage response²⁹. KDM5C removes methylation of di- and trimethylated histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) and is involved in the repression of transcription in response to DNA damage^{28,29}. KDM5C alterations result in aberrant H3K4 trimethylation at active replication origins which can lead to stalled DNA replication³⁰.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in KDM5C are observed in 9% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, 4% of lung adenocarcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma^{8,10}. Biallelic loss of KDM5C is observed in 3% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and 2% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma^{8,10}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for KDM5C aberrations.

AMER1 deletion

APC membrane recruitment protein 1

Background: The AMER1 gene encodes APC membrane recruitment protein 1²³. AMER1 works in complex with CTNNB1, APC, AXIN1, and AXIN2 to regulate the WNT pathway^{23,60}. The WNT signaling pathway is responsible for regulating several key components during embryogenesis and has been observed to be involved in tumorigenesis^{61,62}. Consequently, the WNT signaling pathway is a target for therapeutic response in various cancer types⁶². The AMER1 gene is located on the X chromosome and is commonly inactivated in Wilms tumor, a pediatric kidney cancer⁶³. AMER1 has also been observed to influence cell proliferation, tumorigenesis, migration, invasion, and cell cycle arrest⁶⁰.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations of AMER1 are observed in 13% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 10% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 8% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of lung adenocarcinoma, 4% of stomach adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and breast invasive carcinoma^{8,10}. Biallelic deletion of AMER1 is observed in 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, diffuse large b-cell lymphoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, and 1% of stomach adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma^{8,10}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for AMER1 aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

ZMYM3 deletion

zinc finger MYM-type containing 3

Background: The ZMYM3 gene encodes the zinc finger MYM-type containing 3 protein²³. While the function is not fully understood, ZMYM3 is capable of binding histones and DNA, and may facilitate the repair of double-strand breaks (DSBs)⁶⁴.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in ZMYM3 are observed in 12% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 3% of lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and bladder urothelial carcinoma, and 2% of thymoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, and breast invasive carcinoma^{8,10}. In prostate cancer, ZMYM3 mutations have been observed to be enriched in African-American men compared to white men with one study demonstrating occurrence in 11.7% vs. 2.7% of patients, respectively⁶⁵. Biallelic deletion of ZMYM3 is observed in 3% of cholangiocarcinoma and 2% of sarcoma and kidney chromophobe^{8,10}. Alterations in ZMYM3 are also observed in pediatric cancers¹⁰. Somatic mutations in ZMYM3 are observed in 2% of embryonal tumors (8 in 332 cases), 1% of bone cancer (4 in 327 cases), and less than 1% of glioma (1 in 297 cases) and peripheral nervous system cancers (1 in 1158 cases)¹⁰.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ZMYM3 aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNA1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYO10, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PDXNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLC01B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFB1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBF, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERF1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PDXNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLC01B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFB1, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSP02, RSP03, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBF3, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFB2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

In this cancer type
 In other cancer type
 In this cancer type and other cancer types
 No evidence

FGFR1 amplification

| Relevant Therapy | FDA | NCCN | EMA | ESMO | Clinical Trials* |
|----------------------|-----|------|-----|------|------------------|
| pemigatinib | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ● (II) |
| regorafenib | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ● (II) |
| sunitinib | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ● (II) |
| BBI-355, futibatinib | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ | ● (I/II) |

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

| Gene/Genomic Alteration | Finding |
|-------------------------|---|
| LOH percentage | 27.85% |
| BRCA1 | LOH, 17q21.31(41197602-41276231)x2 |
| BRIP1 | LOH, 17q23.2(59760627-59938976)x2 |
| CDK12 | LOH, 17q12(37618286-37687611)x2 |
| RAD51B | LOH, 14q24.1(68290164-69061406)x2 |
| RAD51C | LOH, 17q22(56770030-56811619)x2 |
| RAD51D | LOH, 17q12(33427950-33446720)x2 |

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent OncoPrint Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on OncoPrint Reporter (6.2.4 data version 2025.12(007)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-11-25. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-11-03. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-11-25. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-11-03. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-11-03. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Gearhart et al. Polycomb group and SCF ubiquitin ligases are found in a novel BCOR complex that is recruited to BCL6 targets. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2006 Sep;26(18):6880-9. PMID: 16943429
2. Huynh et al. BCoR, a novel corepressor involved in BCL-6 repression. *Genes Dev.* 2000 Jul 15;14(14):1810-23. PMID: 10898795
3. Kelly et al. Bcor loss perturbs myeloid differentiation and promotes leukaemogenesis. *Nat Commun.* 2019 Mar 22;10(1):1347. PMID: 30902969
4. Cao et al. BCOR regulates myeloid cell proliferation and differentiation. *Leukemia.* 2016 May;30(5):1155-65. PMID: 26847029
5. Yamamoto et al. Clarifying the impact of polycomb complex component disruption in human cancers. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2014 Apr;12(4):479-84. PMID: 24515802
6. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 1.2026]
7. Damm et al. BCOR and BCORL1 mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes and related disorders. *Blood.* 2013 Oct 31;122(18):3169-77. PMID: 24047651
8. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nat. Genet.* 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
9. Terada et al. Usefulness of BCOR gene mutation as a prognostic factor in acute myeloid leukemia with intermediate cytogenetic prognosis. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2018 Aug;57(8):401-408. PMID: 29663558
10. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
11. Wong et al. Clear cell sarcomas of the kidney are characterised by BCOR gene abnormalities, including exon 15 internal tandem duplications and BCOR-CCNB3 gene fusion. *Histopathology.* 2018 Jan;72(2):320-329. PMID: 28833375
12. Cramer et al. Successful Treatment of Recurrent Primitive Myxoid Mesenchymal Tumor of Infancy With BCOR Internal Tandem Duplication. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2017 Jul;15(7):868-871. PMID: 28687574
13. Peters et al. BCOR-CCNB3 fusions are frequent in undifferentiated sarcomas of male children. *Mod. Pathol.* 2015 Apr;28(4):575-86. PMID: 25360585
14. Puls et al. BCOR-CCNB3 (Ewing-like) sarcoma: a clinicopathologic analysis of 10 cases, in comparison with conventional Ewing sarcoma. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* 2014 Oct;38(10):1307-18. PMID: 24805859
15. Kao et al. BCOR-CCNB3 Fusion Positive Sarcomas: A Clinicopathologic and Molecular Analysis of 36 Cases With Comparison to Morphologic Spectrum and Clinical Behavior of Other Round Cell Sarcomas. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* 2018 May;42(5):604-615. PMID: 29300189
16. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 1.2025]
17. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Bone Cancer [Version 1.2026]
18. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2026]
19. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. *Blood.* 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
20. Khoury et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. *Leukemia.* 2022 Jul;36(7):1703-1719. PMID: 35732831
21. Torre et al. Recurrent EP300-BCOR Fusions in Pediatric Gliomas With Distinct Clinicopathologic Features. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2019 Apr 1;78(4):305-314. PMID: 30816933
22. Wang et al. Clinical, pathological, and molecular features of central nervous system tumors with BCOR internal tandem duplication. *Pathol Res Pract.* 2024 Jul;259:155367. PMID: 38797130
23. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
24. Cao et al. RBM10 Regulates Tumor Apoptosis, Proliferation, and Metastasis. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:603932. PMID: 33718153
25. Zhang et al. RNA binding motif protein 10 suppresses lung cancer progression by controlling alternative splicing of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4H. *EBioMedicine.* 2020 Nov;61:103067. PMID: 33130397
26. Sun et al. Functional role of RBM10 in lung adenocarcinoma proliferation. *Int J Oncol.* 2019 Feb;54(2):467-478. PMID: 30483773
27. Loiselle et al. RBM10 promotes transformation-associated processes in small cell lung cancer and is directly regulated by RBM5. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(6):e0180258. PMID: 28662214
28. Iwase et al. The X-linked mental retardation gene SMCX/JARID1C defines a family of histone H3 lysine 4 demethylases. *Cell.* 2007 Mar 23;128(6):1077-88. PMID: 17320160
29. Gong et al. Histone methylation and the DNA damage response. *Mutat Res.* 2017 Sep 23;780:37-47. PMID: 31395347
30. Rondinelli et al. H3K4me3 demethylation by the histone demethylase KDM5C/JARID1C promotes DNA replication origin firing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015 Mar 11;43(5):2560-74. PMID: 25712104

References (continued)

31. Ryan et al. Snf2-family proteins: chromatin remodellers for any occasion. *Curr Opin Chem Biol.* 2011 Oct;15(5):649-56. PMID: 21862382
32. Heyer et al. Rad54: the Swiss Army knife of homologous recombination?. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006;34(15):4115-25. PMID: 16935872
33. Matsuda et al. Mutations in the RAD54 recombination gene in primary cancers. *Oncogene.* 1999 Jun 3;18(22):3427-30. PMID: 10362365
34. Abedalthagafi et al. The alternative lengthening of telomere phenotype is significantly associated with loss of ATRX expression in high-grade pediatric and adult astrocytomas: a multi-institutional study of 214 astrocytomas. *Mod. Pathol.* 2013 Nov;26(11):1425-32. PMID: 23765250
35. Clynes et al. ATRX dysfunction induces replication defects in primary mouse cells. *PLoS ONE.* 2014;9(3):e92915. PMID: 24651726
36. Tang et al. A novel transcription regulatory complex containing death domain-associated protein and the ATR-X syndrome protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2004 May 7;279(19):20369-77. PMID: 14990586
37. Xue et al. The ATRX syndrome protein forms a chromatin-remodeling complex with Daxx and localizes in promyelocytic leukemia nuclear bodies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2003 Sep 16;100(19):10635-40. PMID: 12953102
38. Pisapia. The Updated World Health Organization Glioma Classification: Cellular and Molecular Origins of Adult Infiltrating Gliomas. *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* 2017 Dec;141(12):1633-1645. PMID: 29189064
39. Jiao et al. Frequent ATRX, CIC, FUBP1 and IDH1 mutations refine the classification of malignant gliomas. *Oncotarget.* 2012 Jul;3(7):709-22. PMID: 22869205
40. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Neuro Oncol.* 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
41. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Central Nervous System Cancers [Version 2.2025]
42. Kalev et al. Loss of PPP2R2A inhibits homologous recombination DNA repair and predicts tumor sensitivity to PARP inhibition. *Cancer Res.* 2012 Dec 15;72(24):6414-24. PMID: 23087057
43. Álvarez-Fernández et al. Therapeutic relevance of the PP2A-B55 inhibitory kinase MASTL/Greatwall in breast cancer. *Cell Death Differ.* 2018 May;25(5):828-840. PMID: 29229993
44. Perrotti et al. Protein phosphatase 2A: a target for anticancer therapy. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013 May;14(6):e229-38. PMID: 23639323
45. Beca et al. Altered PPP2R2A and Cyclin D1 Expression Defines a Subgroup of Aggressive Luminal-Like Breast Cancer. *BMC Cancer.* 2015 Apr 15;15:285. doi: 10.1186/s12885-015-1266-1. PMID: 25879784
46. Curtis et al. The genomic and transcriptomic architecture of 2,000 breast tumours reveals novel subgroups. *Nature.* 2012 Apr 18;486(7403):346-52. PMID: 22522925
47. <https://www.senhwbio.com/en/news/20220125>
48. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Prostate Cancer [Version 2.2026]
49. Dufner et al. Ubiquitin-specific protease 8 (USP8/UBPy): a prototypic multidomain deubiquitinating enzyme with pleiotropic functions. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2019 Dec 20;47(6):1867-1879. PMID: 31845722
50. Lu et al. USP9X stabilizes BRCA1 and confers resistance to DNA-damaging agents in human cancer cells. *Cancer Med.* 2019 Nov;8(15):6730-6740. PMID: 31512408
51. Madan et al. Aberrant splicing of U12-type introns is the hallmark of ZRSR2 mutant myelodysplastic syndrome. *Nat Commun.* 2015 Jan 14;6:6042. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7042. PMID: 25586593
52. Tronchère et al. A protein related to splicing factor U2AF35 that interacts with U2AF65 and SR proteins in splicing of pre-mRNA. *Nature.* 1997 Jul 24;388(6640):397-400. PMID: 9237760
53. Chesnais et al. Spliceosome mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. *Oncotarget.* 2012 Nov;3(11):1284-93. PMID: 23327988
54. Dansonka-Mieszkowska et al. Clinical importance of the EMSY gene expression and polymorphisms in ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2018 Apr 3;9(25):17735-17755. PMID: 29707144
55. Hughes-Davies et al. EMSY links the BRCA2 pathway to sporadic breast and ovarian cancer. *Cell.* 2003 Nov 26;115(5):523-35. PMID: 14651845
56. Kondrashova et al. Clarifying the role of EMSY in DNA repair in ovarian cancer. *Cancer.* 2019 Aug 15;125(16):2720-2724. PMID: 31154666
57. Binz et al. Replication Protein A phosphorylation and the cellular response to DNA damage. *DNA Repair.* 01 Aug 2004, 3(8-9):1015-1024. PMID: 15279788

References (continued)

58. Tran et al. Lysine Demethylase KDM6A in Differentiation, Development, and Cancer. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2020 Sep 28;40(20). PMID: 32817139
59. Ler et al. Loss of tumor suppressor KDM6A amplifies PRC2-regulated transcriptional repression in bladder cancer and can be targeted through inhibition of EZH2. *Sci Transl Med.* 2017 Feb 22;9(378). PMID: 28228601
60. Liu et al. Aging (Albany NY). 2020 May 4;12(9):8372-8396. PMID: 32365332
61. Komiya et al. Wnt signal transduction pathways. *Organogenesis.* 2008 Apr;4(2):68-75. PMID: 19279717
62. Zhang et al. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2020 Dec 4;13(1):165. PMID: 33276800
63. Rivera et al. An X chromosome gene, WTX, is commonly inactivated in Wilms tumor. *Science.* 2007 Feb 2;315(5812):642-5. PMID: 17204608
64. Leung et al. ZMYM3 regulates BRCA1 localization at damaged chromatin to promote DNA repair. *Genes Dev.* 2017 Feb 1;31(3):260-274. PMID: 28242625
65. Liu et al. Distinct Genomic Alterations in Prostate Tumors Derived from African American Men. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2020 Dec;18(12):1815-1824. PMID: 33115829
66. Babina et al. Advances and challenges in targeting FGFR signalling in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 2017 May;17(5):318-332. PMID: 28303906
67. Ahmad et al. Mechanisms of FGFR-mediated carcinogenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2012 Apr;1823(4):850-60. PMID: 22273505
68. Sarabipour et al. Mechanism of FGF receptor dimerization and activation. *Nat Commun.* 2016 Jan 4;7:10262. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10262. PMID: 26725515
69. Helsten et al. The FGFR Landscape in Cancer: Analysis of 4,853 Tumors by Next-Generation Sequencing. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2016 Jan 1;22(1):259-67. PMID: 26373574
70. Peter S et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. *Nature.* 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
71. Ciriello et al. Comprehensive Molecular Portraits of Invasive Lobular Breast Cancer. *Cell.* 2015 Oct 8;163(2):506-19. PMID: 26451490
72. Cancer Genome Atlas Research et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. *Nature.* 2013 May 2;497(7447):67-73. PMID: 23636398
73. Lew et al. The precise sequence of FGF receptor autophosphorylation is kinetically driven and is disrupted by oncogenic mutations. *Sci Signal.* 2009 Feb 17;2(58):ra6. PMID: 19224897
74. Jackson et al. 8p11 myeloproliferative syndrome: a review. *Hum. Pathol.* 2010 Apr;41(4):461-76. PMID: 20226962
75. Li et al. Identification of a novel partner gene, TPR, fused to FGFR1 in 8p11 myeloproliferative syndrome. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2012 Sep;51(9):890-7. PMID: 22619110
76. Wasag et al. The kinase inhibitor TKI258 is active against the novel CUX1-FGFR1 fusion detected in a patient with T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma and t(7;8)(q22;p11). *Haematologica.* 2011 Jun;96(6):922-6. PMID: 21330321
77. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/213736s002lbl.pdf
78. Helsten et al. Fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling in hereditary and neoplastic disease: biologic and clinical implications. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 2015 Sep;34(3):479-96. PMID: 26224133
79. Cha et al. FGFR2 amplification is predictive of sensitivity to regorafenib in gastric and colorectal cancers in vitro. *Mol Oncol.* 2018 Jun;12(7):993-1003. PMID: 29573334
80. Chae et al. Inhibition of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) pathway: the current landscape and barriers to clinical application. *Oncotarget.* 2017 Feb 28;8(9):16052-16074. PMID: 28030802
81. Porta et al. FGFR a promising druggable target in cancer: Molecular biology and new drugs. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 2017 May;113:256-267. PMID: 28427515
82. Gozgit et al. Ponatinib (AP24534), a multitargeted pan-FGFR inhibitor with activity in multiple FGFR-amplified or mutated cancer models. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2012 Mar;11(3):690-9. PMID: 22238366
83. Yamamoto et al. Lenvatinib, an angiogenesis inhibitor targeting VEGFR/FGFR, shows broad antitumor activity in human tumor xenograft models associated with microvessel density and pericyte coverage. *Vasc Cell.* 2014 Sep 6;6:18. doi: 10.1186/2045-824X-6-18. eCollection 2014. PMID: 25197551
84. Kim et al. Pazopanib, a novel multitargeted kinase inhibitor, shows potent in vitro antitumor activity in gastric cancer cell lines with FGFR2 amplification. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2014 Nov;13(11):2527-36. PMID: 25249557
85. Hibi et al. FGFR gene alterations in lung squamous cell carcinoma are potential targets for the multikinase inhibitor nintedanib. *Cancer Sci.* 2016 Nov;107(11):1667-1676. PMID: 27581340

References (continued)

86. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
87. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 1.2026]
88. Brown et al. Biological and clinical implications of FGFR aberrations in paediatric and young adult cancers. *Oncogene*. 2023 Jun;42(23):1875-1888. PMID: 37130917
89. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. *J Biomed Res*. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
90. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Cell*. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
91. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
92. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
93. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature*. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
94. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat. Genet*. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
95. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. *Nature*. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
96. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. *Hum. Mutat*. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
97. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. *Genes Cancer*. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
98. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. *Oncogene*. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
99. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. *Hum. Mutat*. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
100. <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html>
101. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. *Front Oncol*. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
102. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci*. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
103. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 2.2025]
104. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 1.2026]
105. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 3.2025]
106. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Nat. Med*. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
107. Rocak et al. DEAD-box proteins: the driving forces behind RNA metabolism. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2004 Mar;5(3):232-41. PMID: 14991003
108. Fuller-Pace. The DEAD box proteins DDX5 (p68) and DDX17 (p72): multi-tasking transcriptional regulators. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2013 Aug;1829(8):756-63. PMID: 23523990
109. Ali. DEAD-box RNA helicases: The driving forces behind RNA metabolism at the crossroad of viral replication and antiviral innate immunity. *Virus Res*. 2021 Apr 15;296:198352. PMID: 33640359
110. Linder et al. Looking back on the birth of DEAD-box RNA helicases. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2013 Aug;1829(8):750-5. PMID: 23542735
111. Lin. DDX3X Multifunctionally Modulates Tumor Progression and Serves as a Prognostic Indicator to Predict Cancer Outcomes. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2019 Dec 31;21(1). PMID: 31906196
112. Song et al. The mechanism of RNA duplex recognition and unwinding by DEAD-box helicase DDX3X. *Nat Commun*. 2019 Jul 12;10(1):3085. PMID: 31300642
113. Zhou et al. Comprehensive proteomic analysis of the human spliceosome. *Nature*. 2002 Sep 12;419(6903):182-5. PMID: 12226669

References (continued)

114. Yedavalli et al. Requirement of DDX3 DEAD box RNA helicase for HIV-1 Rev-RRE export function. *Cell*. 2004 Oct 29;119(3):381-92. PMID: 15507209
115. Chao et al. DDX3, a DEAD box RNA helicase with tumor growth-suppressive property and transcriptional regulation activity of the p21waf1/cip1 promoter, is a candidate tumor suppressor. *Cancer Res*. 2006 Jul 1;66(13):6579-88. PMID: 16818630
116. Chuang et al. Requirement of the DEAD-Box protein ded1p for messenger RNA translation. *Science*. 1997 Mar 7;275(5305):1468-71. PMID: 9045610
117. Shih et al. Candidate tumor suppressor DDX3 RNA helicase specifically represses cap-dependent translation by acting as an eIF4E inhibitory protein. *Oncogene*. 2008 Jan 24;27(5):700-14. PMID: 17667941
118. Lee et al. Human DDX3 functions in translation and interacts with the translation initiation factor eIF3. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2008 Aug;36(14):4708-18. PMID: 18628297
119. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
120. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. *Front Oncol*. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
121. Nojadedeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J*. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
122. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. *Front Microbiol*. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
123. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res*. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
124. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. *Cancer Res*. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
125. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. *Carcinogenesis*. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
126. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 5.2025]
127. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. *Dis. Markers*. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
128. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
129. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
130. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. *Nat Commun*. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
131. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol*. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
132. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf
133. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf
134. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
135. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 4.2025]
136. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf
137. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med*. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
138. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. *Ann. Oncol*. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
139. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. *J Pers Med*. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
140. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Treat. Rev*. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031