

Patient Name: 장세명
Gender: Male
Sample ID: N26-51

Primary Tumor Site: Lung
Collection Date: 2026.01.21

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page	Report Highlights
Variant Details	2	1 Relevant Biomarkers
Biomarker Descriptions	2	3 Therapies Available
Relevant Therapy Summary	6	2 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	7.57 Mut/Mb measured

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	BRCA2 deletion BRCA2, DNA repair associated Locus: chr13:32890491	None*	niraparib II+ olaparib II+ rucaparib II+	2

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA¹, NCCN, EMA², ESMO

* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

Microsatellite stable, RAD51B deletion, STK11 p.(D53Tfs*11) c.157delG, TET2 p.(K1363Nfs*89) c.4088_4089insCACAGAGCACA, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
STK11	p.(D53Tfs*11)	c.157delG	.	chr19:1207064	26.09%	NM_000455.5	frameshift Deletion
TET2	p.(K1363Nfs*89)	c.4088_4089insCACAG . AGCACA	.	chr4:106190809	4.64%	NM_001127208.3	frameshift Insertion
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	99.55%	NM_000903.3	missense
PIK3CD	p.(N39D)	c.115A>G	.	chr1:9770628	47.15%	NM_005026.5	missense
DNMT3A	p.(L639F)	c.1915C>T	.	chr2:25466788	10.11%	NM_022552.5	missense
KIT	p.(S785F)	c.2354C>T	.	chr4:55598157	12.91%	NM_000222.3	missense
MAML3	p.(Q507_Q510del)	c.1515_1526delGCAGC . AGCAGCA	.	chr4:140811063	98.59%	NM_018717.5	nonframeshift Deletion
MAML3	p.(Q499Afs*20)	c.1494_1518delGCAGC . AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGCAGinsAGCAGCAG CAGCAGC	.	chr4:140811072	1.41%	NM_018717.5	frameshift Block Substitution
CEP44	p.(T351A)	c.1051A>G	.	chr4:175237406	49.20%	NM_001145314.1	missense
HDAC9	p.(S270T)	c.809G>C	.	chr7:18674262	16.76%	NM_178425.3	missense
LARP4B	p.(H420R)	c.1259A>G	.	chr10:871230	18.65%	NM_015155.3	missense
SYT10	p.(H494Y)	c.1480C>T	.	chr12:33532787	47.07%	NM_198992.4	missense
PARP4	p.(N1081S)	c.3242A>G	.	chr13:25021197	16.71%	NM_006437.4	missense
SLITRK2	p.(A105S)	c.313G>T	.	chrX:144904256	24.28%	NM_001144009.2	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
BRCA2	chr13:32890491	1	0.99
RAD51B	chr14:68290164	1	0.89

Biomarker Descriptions

BRCA2 deletion

BRCA2, DNA repair associated

Background: The breast cancer early onset gene 2 (BRCA2) encodes one of two BRCA proteins (BRCA1 and BRCA2) initially discovered as major hereditary breast cancer genes. Although structurally unrelated, both BRCA1 and BRCA2 exhibit tumor suppressor function and are integrally involved in the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway, a pathway critical in the repair of damaged DNA^{46,47}. Specifically, BRCA1/2 are required for repair of chromosomal double strand breaks (DSBs) which are highly unstable and compromise genome integrity^{46,47}. Inherited pathogenic mutations in BRCA1/2 are known to confer increased risk in women for breast and ovarian cancer and in men for breast and prostate cancer^{48,49,50}. For individuals diagnosed with inherited pathogenic or likely pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants, the cumulative risk of breast cancer by 80 years of age was 69-72% and the cumulative risk of ovarian cancer by 70 years was 20-48%^{48,51}.

Alterations and prevalence: Inherited BRCA1/2 mutations occur in 1:400 to 1:500 individuals and are observed in 10-15% of ovarian cancer, 5-10% of breast cancer, and 1-4% of prostate cancer^{52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59}. Somatic alterations in BRCA2 are observed in 5-15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, colorectal

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3-4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, renal papillary cell carcinoma, and 2% of renal clear cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thymoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, and glioblastoma multiforme^{8,9}.

Potential relevance: Individuals possessing BRCA1/2 pathogenic germline or somatic mutations are shown to exhibit sensitivity to platinum based chemotherapy as well as treatment with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi)⁶⁰. Inhibitors targeting PARP induce synthetic lethality in recombination deficient BRCA1/2 mutant cells^{61,62}. Consequently, several PARP inhibitors have been FDA approved for BRCA1/2-mutated cancers. Olaparib²² (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Additionally, olaparib²² is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Rucaparib⁶³ is also approved (2020) for deleterious gBRCAm or sBRCAm mCRPC and ovarian cancer. Talazoparib⁶⁴ (2018) is indicated for the treatment of gBRCAm HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Additionally, talazoparib⁶⁴ in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for mCRPC with mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Niraparib⁶⁵ (2017) is another PARPi approved for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers with a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation. Niraparib in combination with abiraterone acetate⁶⁶ received FDA approval (2023) for the treatment of deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA-mutated (BRCAm) mCRPC. In 2019, niraparib⁶⁷ received breakthrough designation for the treatment of patients with BRCA1/2 gene-mutated mCRPC who have received prior taxane chemotherapy and androgen receptor (AR)-targeted therapy. Despite tolerability and efficacy, acquired resistance to PARP inhibition has been clinically reported⁶⁸. One of the most common mechanisms of resistance includes secondary intragenic mutations that restore BRCA1/2 functionality⁶⁹. In addition to PARP inhibitors, other drugs which promote synthetic lethality have been investigated for BRCA mutations. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex²³, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Like PARPi, pidnarulex promotes synthetic lethality but through an alternative mechanism which involves stabilization of G-quadruplexes at the replication fork leading to DNA breaks and genomic instability.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome²⁴. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{25,26}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2²⁷. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250²⁸. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)²⁸. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{29,30,31,32,33}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes²⁶. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{25,26,30,34}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{25,26,35,36}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{35,36}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab³⁷ (2014) and nivolumab³⁸ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab³⁷ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication³⁷. Dostarlimab³⁹ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{31,40}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab⁴¹ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{31,42,43}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁴³. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

with MSI-H tumors^{44,45}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{44,45}.

RAD51B deletion

RAD51 paralog B

Background: The RAD51B gene encodes the RAD51 paralog B protein, a member of the RAD51 recombinase family that also includes RAD51, RAD51C (RAD51L2), RAD51D (RAD51L3), XRCC2, and XRCC3 paralogs. The RAD51 family of proteins are involved in homologous recombination repair (HRR) and DNA repair of double-strand breaks (DSB)¹⁵. RAD51B associates with other RAD51 paralogs to form RAD51B-RAD51C-RAD51D-XRCC2 (BCDX2) complex¹⁶. The BCDX2 complex binds single- and double-stranded DNA to hydrolyze ATP¹⁷. RAD51B is a tumor suppressor gene. Loss of function mutations in RAD51B are implicated in the BRCAness phenotype, which is characterized by a defect in HRR mimicking BRCA1 or BRCA2 loss^{18,19}. Biallelic expression of RAD51B is required for chromosomal integrity and haploinsufficiency leads to aberrant HRR resulting in centrosome fragmentation, aneuploidy, and mild hypersensitivity to DNA-damaging agents²⁰. Genetic variation within the RAD51B locus on 14q24.1 is significantly associated with familial breast cancer risk²¹.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RAD51B are observed in up to 3% of uterine cancer^{8,9}. Loss of function mutations in RAD51B are rare, but variation within the RAD51B locus is significantly associated with familial breast cancer risk²¹.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib²² is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes RAD51B. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex²³, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

STK11 p.(D53Tfs*11) c.157delG

serine/threonine kinase 11

Background: The STK11 gene, also known as liver kinase B1 (LKB1), encodes the serine/threonine kinase 11 protein. STK11 is a tumor suppressor with multiple substrates including AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) that regulates cell metabolism, growth, and tumor suppression¹. STK11 preserves hematopoietic stem cell homeostasis, and its loss drives metabolic dysfunction and promotes leukemic progression in myeloproliferative neoplasms via ROS and HIF-1 α activation^{2,3}. Germline mutations in STK11 are associated with Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, an autosomal dominant disorder, characterized by gastrointestinal polyp formation and elevated risk of neoplastic development^{4,5}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in STK11 are observed in 13% of lung adenocarcinoma, 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, 3% of cholangiocarcinoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 2% of skin cutaneous melanoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{6,7,8,9}. Mutations in STK11 are found to co-occur with KEAP1 and KRAS mutations in lung cancer^{8,9}. Copy number deletion leads to inactivation of STK11 in cervical, ovarian, and lung cancers, among others^{4,7,8,9,10}. Biallelic loss of STK11 is observed in 3% of sarcoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma^{8,9}. Alterations in STK11 are also observed in pediatric cancers¹¹. Biallelic loss of STK11 is observed in 6% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (45 in 731 cases), 2% of leukemia (4 in 250 cases), and less than 1% of Wilms tumor (1 in 136 cases)¹¹. Somatic mutations are observed in 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (1 in 41 cases) and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases) and glioma (1 in 297 cases)¹¹.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for STK11 aberrations. However, in 2023, the FDA granted fast track designation to a first-in-class inhibitor of the CoREST complex (Co-repressor of Repressor Element-1 Silencing Transcription), TNG-260¹² in combination with an anti-PD-1 antibody, for advanced non-small cell lung cancer harboring STK11-mutations. The presence of STK11 mutations may be a mechanism of resistance to immunotherapies. Mutations in STK11 are associated with reduced expression of PD-L1, which may contribute to the ineffectiveness of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in STK11 mutant tumors¹³. In a phase III clinical trial of nivolumab in lung adenocarcinoma, patients with KRAS and STK11 co-mutations demonstrated a worse (0/6) objective response rate (ORR) in comparison to patients with KRAS and TP53 co-mutations (4/7) or KRAS mutations only (2/11) (ORR= 0% vs 57.1% vs 18.25%, respectively)¹⁴.

TET2 p.(K1363Nfs*89) c.4088_4089insCACAGAGCACACA

tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2

Background: TET2 encodes the tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2 protein and belongs to the ten-eleven translocation (TET) family, which also includes TET1 and TET3^{70,71}. The TET enzymes are involved in DNA demethylation, specifically in the conversion of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, 5-formylcytosine, and 5-carboxylcytosine^{72,73}. The TET proteins contain a C-terminal core catalytic domain that consists of a cysteine-rich domain and a double-stranded β -helix domain (DSBH)^{72,73}. TET1 and TET3

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

possess a DNA-binding N-terminal CXXC zinc finger domain, whereas TET2, lacking this domain, is regulated by the neighboring CXXC4 protein, which harbors a CXXC domain and recruits TET2 to unmethylated CpG sites^{72,73}. As a tumor suppressor gene, loss of function mutations in TET2 are associated with loss of catalytic activity and transformation to hematological malignancies^{71,74,75}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic TET2 mutations, including nonsense, frameshift, splice site, and missense mutations, are observed in 20-25% of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) associated diseases, including 40-60% chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML)⁷⁶. TET2 mutations at H1881 and R1896 are frequently observed in myeloid malignancies^{74,77}. TET2 mutations are also observed in 9% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and acute myeloid leukemia (AML), 8% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of sarcoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and kidney chromophobe^{8,9}. Alterations in TET2 are also observed in the pediatric population⁹. Somatic mutations are observed in 3% of Hodgkin lymphoma (2 in 61 cases) and leukemia (9 in 311 cases), and less than 1% of bone cancer (3 in 327 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases), glioma (1 in 297 cases), and embryonal tumor (1 in 332 cases)⁹. Biallelic deletion of TET2 is observed in 2% of leukemia (6 in 250 cases), and less than 1% of Wilms tumor (1 in 136 cases) and B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (4 in 731 cases)⁹.

Potential relevance: The presence of TET2 mutations may be used as one of the major diagnostic criteria in pre-primary myelofibrosis (pre-PMF) and overt PMF in the absence of JAK2/CALR/MPL mutations⁷⁸. TET2 mutations are associated with poor prognosis in PMF and an increased rate of transformation to leukemia⁷⁹. TET2 mutations may be utilized for the diagnosis of angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL) versus other peripheral T-cell lymphomas (PTCLs)⁸⁰.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNND1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYO10, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PDXNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLC1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFB1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKB, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTSLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDIT3, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERF1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PDXNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLC1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFB1,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations (continued)

TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBF3, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFB2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

In this cancer type
 In other cancer type
 In this cancer type and other cancer types
 No evidence

BRCA2 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
olaparib	×	○	×	×	● (II)
niraparib	×	○	×	×	×
rucaparib	×	○	×	×	×
pamiparib, tislelizumab	×	×	×	×	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	11.19%
BRCA2	CNV, CN:1.0
BRCA2	LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x1
RAD51B	CNV, CN:1.0
RAD51B	LOH, 14q24.1(68290164-69061406)x1

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent OncoPrint Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on OncoPrint Reporter (6.2.4 data version 2025.12(007)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-11-25. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-11-03. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-11-25. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-11-03. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-11-03. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Li et al. Role of the LKB1/AMPK pathway in tumor invasion and metastasis of cancer cells (Review). *Oncol. Rep.* 2015 Dec;34(6):2821-6. PMID: 26398719
2. Gan et al. Lkb1 regulates quiescence and metabolic homeostasis of haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature.* 2010 Dec 2;468(7324):701-4. PMID: 21124456
3. Marinaccio et al. LKB1/ STK11 Is a Tumor Suppressor in the Progression of Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. *Cancer Discov.* 2021 Jun;11(6):1398-1410. PMID: 33579786
4. Zhou et al. LKB1 Tumor Suppressor: Therapeutic Opportunities Knock when LKB1 Is Inactivated. *Genes Dis.* 2014 Sep 1;1(1):64-74. PMID: 25679014
5. Hemminki et al. A serine/threonine kinase gene defective in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. *Nature.* 1998 Jan 8;391(6663):184-7. PMID: 9428765
6. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat. Genet.* 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
7. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. *Nature.* 2014 Jul 31;511(7511):543-50. doi: 10.1038/nature13385. Epub 2014 Jul 9. PMID: 25079552
8. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nat. Genet.* 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
9. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
10. Sanchez-Cespedes et al. Inactivation of LKB1/STK11 is a common event in adenocarcinomas of the lung. *Cancer Res.* 2002 Jul 1;62(13):3659-62. PMID: 12097271
11. De Braekeleer et al. ETV6 fusion genes in hematological malignancies: a review. *Leuk. Res.* 2012 Aug;36(8):945-61. PMID: 22578774
12. <https://ir.tangotx.com//news-releases/news-release-details/tango-therapeutics-announces-first-patient-dosed-tng260-phase-12>
13. Koyama et al. STK11/LKB1 Deficiency Promotes Neutrophil Recruitment and Proinflammatory Cytokine Production to Suppress T-cell Activity in the Lung Tumor Microenvironment. *Cancer Res.* 2016 Mar 1;76(5):999-1008. PMID: 26833127
14. Skoulidis et al. STK11/LKB1 Mutations and PD-1 Inhibitor Resistance in KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov.* 2018 Jul;8(7):822-835. PMID: 29773717
15. Sullivan et al. RAD-ical New Insights into RAD51 Regulation. *Genes (Basel).* 2018 Dec 13;9(12). PMID: 30551670
16. Suwaki et al. RAD51 paralogs: roles in DNA damage signalling, recombinational repair and tumorigenesis. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2011 Oct;22(8):898-905. PMID: 21821141
17. Chun et al. Rad51 paralog complexes BCDX2 and CX3 act at different stages in the BRCA1-BRCA2-dependent homologous recombination pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2013 Jan;33(2):387-95. PMID: 23149936
18. Lim et al. Evaluation of the methods to identify patients who may benefit from PARP inhibitor use. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer.* 2016 Jun;23(6):R267-85. PMID: 27226207
19. Lord et al. BRCAness revisited. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 2016 Feb;16(2):110-20. PMID: 26775620
20. Date et al. Haploinsufficiency of RAD51B causes centrosome fragmentation and aneuploidy in human cells. *Cancer Res.* 2006 Jun 15;66(12):6018-24. PMID: 16778173
21. Pelttari et al. RAD51B in Familial Breast Cancer. *PLoS ONE.* 2016;11(5):e0153788. PMID: 27149063
22. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/208558s031lbl.pdf
23. <https://www.senhwbio.com//en/news/20220125>
24. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature.* 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
25. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
26. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J.* 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
27. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. *Front Microbiol.* 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
28. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
29. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. *Cancer Res.* 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358

References (continued)

30. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. *Carcinogenesis*. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
31. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 5.2025]
32. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. *Dis. Markers*. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
33. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
34. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
35. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. *Nat Commun*. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
36. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol*. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
37. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf
38. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf
39. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
40. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 4.2025]
41. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf
42. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med*. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
43. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. *Ann. Oncol*. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
44. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. *J Pers Med*. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
45. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Treat. Rev*. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
46. Liu et al. Distinct functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in double-strand break repair. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2002;4(1):9-13. PMID: 11879553
47. Jasin. Homologous repair of DNA damage and tumorigenesis: the BRCA connection. *Oncogene*. 2002 Dec 16;21(58):8981-93. PMID: 12483514
48. Kuchenbaecker et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. *JAMA*. 2017 Jun 20;317(23):2402-2416. PMID: 28632866
49. Tai et al. Breast cancer risk among male BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst*. 2007 Dec 5;99(23):1811-4. PMID: 18042939
50. Levy-Lahad et al. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2007 Jan 15;96(1):11-5. PMID: 17213823
51. Chen et al. Penetrance of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Women Who Carry a BRCA1/2 Mutation and Do Not Use Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *JNCI Cancer Spectr*. 2020 Aug;4(4):pkaa029. PMID: 32676552
52. Petrucelli et al. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *GeneReviews® [Internet]*. PMID: 20301425
53. Pruthi et al. Identification and Management of Women With BRCA Mutations or Hereditary Predisposition for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *Mayo Clin. Proc*. 2010 Dec;85(12):1111-20. PMID: 21123638
54. Walsh et al. Mutations in 12 genes for inherited ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinoma identified by massively parallel sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A*. 2011 Nov 1;108(44):18032-7. PMID: 22006311
55. Alsop et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2012 Jul 20;30(21):2654-63. PMID: 22711857
56. Whittemore et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutation carriers among U.S. non-Hispanic Whites. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev*. 2004 Dec;13(12):2078-83. PMID: 15598764
57. King et al. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Science*. 2003 Oct 24;302(5645):643-6. PMID: 14576434
58. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. *Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Br. J. Cancer*. 2000 Nov;83(10):1301-8. PMID: 11044354

References (continued)

59. Shao et al. A comprehensive literature review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of pan-cancer BRCA mutations, homologous recombination repair gene mutations, and homologous recombination deficiencies. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2022 Jul;63(6):308-316. PMID: 36054589
60. Hodgson et al. Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2018 Nov;119(11):1401-1409. PMID: 30353044
61. Bryant et al. Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. *Nature*. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):913-7. PMID: 15829966
62. Farmer et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. *Nature*. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):917-21. PMID: 15829967
63. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf
64. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/217439s003lbl.pdf
65. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/214876s003s004lbl.pdf
66. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/216793s000lbl.pdf
67. <https://www.jnj.com/media-center/press-releases/janssen-announces-u-s-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-granted-for-niraparib-for-the-treatment-of-metastatic-castration-resistant-prostate-cancer>
68. Barber et al. Secondary mutations in BRCA2 associated with clinical resistance to a PARP inhibitor. *J. Pathol*. 2013 Feb;229(3):422-9. PMID: 23165508
69. D'Andrea. Mechanisms of PARP inhibitor sensitivity and resistance. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*. 2018 Nov;71:172-176. PMID: 30177437
70. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
71. Pan et al. The TET2 interactors and their links to hematological malignancies. *IUBMB Life*. 2015 Jun;67(6):438-45. PMID: 26099018
72. An et al. TET family dioxygenases and DNA demethylation in stem cells and cancers. *Exp. Mol. Med*. 2017 Apr 28;49(4):e323. PMID: 28450733
73. Rasmussen et al. Role of TET enzymes in DNA methylation, development, and cancer. *Genes Dev*. 2016 Apr 1;30(7):733-50. PMID: 27036965
74. Ko et al. Impaired hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine in myeloid cancers with mutant TET2. *Nature*. 2010 Dec 9;468(7325):839-43. PMID: 21057493
75. Solary et al. The Ten-Eleven Translocation-2 (TET2) gene in hematopoiesis and hematopoietic diseases. *Leukemia*. 2014 Mar;28(3):485-96. PMID: 24220273
76. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 1.2026]
77. Kosmider et al. TET2 mutation is an independent favorable prognostic factor in myelodysplastic syndromes (MDSs). *Blood*. 2009 Oct 8;114(15):3285-91. PMID: 19666869
78. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 2.2025]
79. Lundberg et al. Clonal evolution and clinical correlates of somatic mutations in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood*. 2014 Apr 3;123(14):2220-8. PMID: 24478400
80. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-T-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]