

Patient Name: 윤병수
Gender: Male
Sample ID: N26-37

Primary Tumor Site: Brain
Collection Date: 2026.01.13

Sample Cancer Type: Gliomas, Glioneuronal Tumors, and Neuronal Tumors

Table of Contents	Page	Report Highlights
Variant Details	2	6 Relevant Biomarkers
Biomarker Descriptions	4	4 Therapies Available
Relevant Therapy Summary	12	15 Clinical Trials

Relevant Gliomas, Glioneuronal Tumors, and Neuronal Tumors Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	MSH2	None detected
ATRX	None detected	MSH6	None detected
BCOR	None detected	MYCN	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
FGFR1	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
FGFR2	None detected	PDGFRA	None detected
FGFR3	None detected	PMS2	None detected
IDH1	None detected	RET	None detected
IDH2	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected	TERT	None detected
MLH1	None detected	TP53	None detected

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	6.64 Mut/Mb measured

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	H3-3A p.(K28M) c.83A>T H3.3 histone A Allele Frequency: 22.56% Locus: chr1:226252135 Transcript: NM_001379043.1 Prognostic significance: NCCN: Adverse Diagnostic significance: Diffuse Midline Glioma H3 K27-altered (Grade 4)	dordaviprone ¹	None*	11

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA¹, NCCN, EMA², ESMO

* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Relevant Biomarkers (continued)

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	BRCA2 deletion BRCA2, DNA repair associated Locus: chr13:32890491	None*	niraparib II+ olaparib II+ rucaparib II+	2
IIC	ATM deletion ATM serine/threonine kinase Locus: chr11:108098341	None*	None*	4
IIC	CDK12 deletion cyclin dependent kinase 12 Locus: chr17:37618286	None*	None*	1
IIC	CHEK1 deletion checkpoint kinase 1 Locus: chr11:125496639	None*	None*	1
IIC	RAD51D deletion RAD51 paralog D Locus: chr17:33427950	None*	None*	1

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

*KMT2B deletion, MAP2K7 deletion, Microsatellite stable, NF1 p.(D2095Mfs*16) c.6283delG, POLD1 deletion, RAD51B deletion, XRCC3 deletion, HLA-B deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, PPM1D p.(R536Gfs*3) c.1606delA, DSC1 deletion, CIC deletion, ARHGAP35 deletion, Tumor Mutational Burden*

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
H3-3A	p.(K28M)	c.83A>T	COSM327928	chr1:226252135	22.56%	NM_001379043.1	missense
NF1	p.(D2095Mfs*16)	c.6283delG	.	chr17:29663787	29.75%	NM_001042492.3	frameshift Deletion
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	50.78%	NM_000903.3	missense
PPM1D	p.(R536Gfs*3)	c.1606delA	.	chr17:58740697	23.40%	NM_003620.4	frameshift Deletion
MUTYH	p.(A281V)	c.842C>T	.	chr1:45797929	49.80%	NM_001128425.2	missense
ASXL2	p.(M320I)	c.960G>A	.	chr2:25978963	49.57%	NM_018263.6	missense
MAML3	p.(Q488_Q494delinsHD S)	c.1455_1506delACAGC AACAGCAACAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGinsGCAGCAACACG ACAGCCAGCAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAA	.	chr4:140811084	12.50%	NM_018717.5	nonframeshift Block Substitution

Variant Details (continued)

DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
MAML3	p.(Q491Pfs*32)	c.1455_1506delACAGC . AACAGCAACAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGCAGCAGCAGCAGC AGinsGCAGCAACAGC AACAGCCAGCAGCAG CAGCAGCAGCAGCAA	.	chr4:140811084	87.50%	NM_018717.5	frameshift Block Substitution
MSH3	p.(A61_P63dup)	c.189_190insGCAGCG . CCC	.	chr5:79950735	57.43%	NM_002439.5	nonframeshift Insertion
ABL1	p.(E470G)	c.1409A>G	.	chr9:133753940	21.90%	NM_005157.6	missense
PARP4	p.(?)	c.3285_3285+5delinsA . GT	.	chr13:25021149	100.00%	NM_006437.4	unknown
AXIN2	p.(D843H)	c.2527G>C	.	chr17:63526099	52.57%	NM_004655.4	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
BRCA2	chr13:32890491	1	0.77
ATM	chr11:108098341	1	0.8
CDK12	chr17:37618286	1	0.73
CHEK1	chr11:125496639	1	0.83
RAD51D	chr17:33427950	1	0.83
KMT2B	chr19:36209128	0.72	0.68
MAP2K7	chr19:7968792	0	0.5
POLD1	chr19:50902079	0.78	0.69
RAD51B	chr14:68290164	1	0.8
XRCC3	chr14:104165043	0.4	0.6
HLA-B	chr6:31322252	0.4	0.6
DSC1	chr18:28710424	0.52	0.63
CIC	chr19:42775916	0.62	0.65
ARHGAP35	chr19:47421913	0.76	0.69
FGFR3	chr4:1801456	0.56	0.64
TERT	chr5:1253783	0.6	0.65
PRDM9	chr5:23509577	0.72	0.68
CCND1	chr11:69455949	0.36	0.59
EMSY	chr11:76157926	0.66	0.67
AKT1	chr14:105236628	0.2	0.55
RARA	chr17:38487425	0.7	0.68
SETBP1	chr18:42281265	0.68	0.67

Variant Details (continued)

Copy Number Variations (continued)

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
AKT2	chr19:40739751	0.56	0.64

Biomarker Descriptions

H3-3A p.(K28M) c.83A>T

H3.3 histone A

Background: The H3-3A gene encodes H3.3 histone A, also known as H3F3A, a sequence variant member of the histone H3 family and the predominant form of histone H3 in non-dividing cells⁷⁴. Histone H3, along with histones H4, H2A, and H2B, form the nucleosome, which is a component of chromatin⁷⁵. Histones play a role in transcription regulation, DNA repair, replication, and chromosome stability⁷⁵. Specifically, H3-3A marks enhancers and affects the transcriptional potential of target genes depending on where it binds in the genome⁷⁶. Mutations in H3 have been observed to impact global histone methylation and gene transcription, which may promote tumorigenesis⁷⁷.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in H3-3A are rare but mutually exclusive to IDH1 mutations in glioblastoma (GBM)⁷⁸. In a study of diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs) and non-brainstem pediatric glioblastoma (non-BS-PG), 14% of non-BS-PGs harbored a somatic G34R mutation in H3F3A⁷⁹. In the same study, 78% of DIPGs and 22% of non-BS-PGs contained a K27M mutation in either H3-3A or H3C2⁷⁹. Somatic mutations are observed in adult malignancies, including 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma^{11,12}. H3-3A amplification is observed in 9% of breast invasive carcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 5% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 3% of thymoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{11,12}. Alterations in H3-3A have also been reported in pediatric cancers^{11,12}. Somatic mutations are observed in 17% of gliomas, 3% of soft tissue sarcoma, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of embryonal tumors (2 in 332 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (1 in 252 cases), leukemia (1 in 311 cases), bone cancer (1 in 327 cases) and Wilms tumor (1 in 710 cases)^{11,12}. Amplification of H3-3A is observed in 3% of Wilms tumor and less than 1% of leukemia (2 in 250 cases) and B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 731 cases)^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: The H3-3A K27M mutation is a diagnostic marker for diffuse midline glioma H3 K27-altered (Grade 4) and is associated with an adverse prognosis⁸⁰. The FDA has approved the protease activator, dordaviprone⁸¹ (2025), for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with diffuse midline glioma harboring an H3-3A K27M mutation. The FDA has also granted breakthrough designation to BCB-276⁸², a CART-T cell therapy, for the treatment of pediatric patients with DIPG.

BRCA2 deletion

BRCA2, DNA repair associated

Background: The breast cancer early onset gene 2 (BRCA2) encodes one of two BRCA proteins (BRCA1 and BRCA2) initially discovered as major hereditary breast cancer genes. Although structurally unrelated, both BRCA1 and BRCA2 exhibit tumor suppressor function and are integrally involved in the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway, a pathway critical in the repair of damaged DNA^{41,42}. Specifically, BRCA1/2 are required for repair of chromosomal double strand breaks (DSBs) which are highly unstable and compromise genome integrity^{41,42}. Inherited pathogenic mutations in BRCA1/2 are known to confer increased risk in women for breast and ovarian cancer and in men for breast and prostate cancer^{43,44,45}. For individuals diagnosed with inherited pathogenic or likely pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants, the cumulative risk of breast cancer by 80 years of age was 69-72% and the cumulative risk of ovarian cancer by 70 years was 20-48%^{43,46}.

Alterations and prevalence: Inherited BRCA1/2 mutations occur in 1:400 to 1:500 individuals and are observed in 10-15% of ovarian cancer, 5-10% of breast cancer, and 1-4% of prostate cancer^{47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54}. Somatic alterations in BRCA2 are observed in 5-15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3-4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, renal papillary cell carcinoma, and 2% of renal clear cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thymoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, and glioblastoma multiforme^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Individuals possessing BRCA1/2 pathogenic germline or somatic mutations are shown to exhibit sensitivity to platinum based chemotherapy as well as treatment with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi)⁵⁵. Inhibitors targeting

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

PARP induce synthetic lethality in recombination deficient BRCA1/2 mutant cells^{56,57}. Consequently, several PARP inhibitors have been FDA approved for BRCA1/2-mutated cancers. Olaparib⁵⁸ (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Additionally, olaparib⁵⁸ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Rucaparib⁵⁹ is also approved (2020) for deleterious gBRCAm or sBRCAm mCRPC and ovarian cancer. Talazoparib⁶⁰ (2018) is indicated for the treatment of gBRCAm HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Additionally, talazoparib⁶⁰ in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for mCRPC with mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Niraparib⁶¹ (2017) is another PARPi approved for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers with a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation. Niraparib in combination with abiraterone acetate⁶² received FDA approval (2023) for the treatment of deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA-mutated (BRCAm) mCRPC. In 2019, niraparib⁶³ received breakthrough designation for the treatment of patients with BRCA1/2 gene-mutated mCRPC who have received prior taxane chemotherapy and androgen receptor (AR)-targeted therapy. Despite tolerability and efficacy, acquired resistance to PARP inhibition has been clinically reported⁶⁴. One of the most common mechanisms of resistance includes secondary intragenic mutations that restore BRCA1/2 functionality⁶⁵. In addition to PARP inhibitors, other drugs which promote synthetic lethality have been investigated for BRCA mutations. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex⁶⁶, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Like PARPi, pidnarulex promotes synthetic lethality but through an alternative mechanism which involves stabilization of G-quadruplexes at the replication fork leading to DNA breaks and genomic instability.

ATM deletion

ATM serine/threonine kinase

Background: The ATM gene encodes a serine/threonine kinase that belongs to the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase related kinases (PIKKs) family of genes that also includes ATR and PRKDC (also known as DNA-PKc)⁹⁹. ATM and ATR act as master regulators of DNA damage response. Specifically, ATM is involved in double-stranded break (DSB) repair while ATR is involved in single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) repair¹⁰⁰. ATM is recruited to the DNA damage site by the MRE11/RAD50/NBN (MRN) complex that senses DSB^{100,101}. Upon activation, ATM phosphorylates several downstream proteins such as the NBN, MDC1, BRCA1, CHK2 and TP53BP1 proteins¹⁰². ATM is a tumor suppressor gene and loss of function mutations in ATM are implicated in the BRCAness phenotype, which is characterized by a defect in homologous recombination repair (HRR), mimicking BRCA1 or BRCA2 loss^{97,103}. Germline mutations in ATM often result in Ataxia-telangiectasia, a hereditary disease also referred to as DNA damage response syndrome that is characterized by chromosomal instability¹⁰⁴.

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations in ATM are observed in 17% of endometrial carcinoma, 15% of undifferentiated stomach adenocarcinoma, 13% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 12% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 9% of melanoma as well as esophagogastric adenocarcinoma and 8% of non-small cell lung cancer^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib⁵⁸ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes ATM. Additionally, talazoparib⁶⁰ in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes ATM. Consistent with other genes associated with the BRCAness phenotype, ATM mutations may aid in selecting patients likely to respond to PARP inhibitors^{103,105,106}. Specifically, in a phase II trial of metastatic, castration-resistant prostate cancer, four of six patients with germline or somatic ATM mutations demonstrated clinical responses to olaparib¹⁰⁷. However, gene-level analyses from the phase III PROfound trial indicate that ATM-mutated tumors do not experience meaningful radiographic progression-free survival (rPFS) or overall survival (OS) benefit from olaparib, and that the observed survival advantage in the broader HRR-altered population is largely driven by BRCA1/2 alterations rather than ATM^{108,109}. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex⁶⁶, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

CDK12 deletion

cyclin dependent kinase 12

Background: CDK12 encodes the cyclin-dependent kinase 12 protein and is required for the maintenance of genomic stability^{94,95,96}. CDK12 phosphorylates RNA polymerase II and is a regulator of transcription elongation and expression of DNA repair genes^{94,95,96,97,98}. Alterations in CDK12 impair the transcription of homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes such as BRCA1, ATR, FANCI, and FANCD2, contributing to a BRCAness phenotype^{96,97}. CDK12 is a tumor suppressor gene and loss of function mutations are observed

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

in various solid tumors⁹⁸. However, observations of CDK12 amplification and overexpression in breast cancer indicate that it could also function as an oncogene⁹⁸.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic alterations of CDK12 include mutations and amplification. Missense and truncating mutations in CDK12 are observed in 8% of undifferentiated stomach adenocarcinoma, 7% of bladder urothelial, and 6% endometrial carcinoma^{1,11}. CDK12 is amplified in 9% of esophagogastric adenocarcinoma and invasive breast carcinoma, 8% of undifferentiated stomach adenocarcinoma, and 3% of bladder urothelial and endometrial carcinoma^{1,11}.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib⁵⁸ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes CDK12. Additionally, talazoparib⁶⁰ in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for mCRPC with mutations in HRR genes that includes CDK12. Consistent with other genes associated with homologous recombination repair, CDK12 loss may aid in selecting patients likely to respond to PARP inhibitors^{97,98}. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex⁶⁶, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

CHEK1 deletion

checkpoint kinase 1

Background: The CHEK1 gene encodes the checkpoint kinase 1 protein and belongs to a family of serine/threonine checkpoint kinases, that also includes CHEK2¹. Checkpoint kinases play an important role in S phase and G2/M transition and DNA damage induced cell cycle arrest⁸³. CHEK1 is a tumor suppressor and it interacts with proteins involved in transcription regulation, cell-cycle arrest, and DNA repair including homologous recombination repair (HRR)^{84,85}. Upon DNA damage, CHEK1 is phosphorylated and activated by DNA damage repair proteins ATM and ATR⁸⁴. Activated CHEK1 subsequently phosphorylates and negatively regulates downstream proteins such as CDC25A thereby slowing or stalling DNA replication^{84,86}.

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations of CHEK1 include mutations and copy number loss. Somatic mutations of CHEK1 are observed in 3% of endometrial carcinoma, 2% of non-small cell lung cancer and 1% of cervical squamous carcinoma cases^{11,87}. CHEK1 copy number loss occurs in 10% of seminoma, 8% of non-seminomatous germ cell tumor, 5% of ocular melanoma, and 3% of melanoma cases^{11,87}.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib⁵⁸ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes CHEK1. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex⁶⁶, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

RAD51D deletion

RAD51 paralog D

Background: The RAD51D gene encodes the RAD51 paralog D protein, a member of the RAD51 recombinase family that also includes RAD51, RAD51B (RAD51L1), RAD51C (RAD51L2), XRCC2, and XRCC3 paralogs. The RAD51 family proteins are involved in homologous recombination repair (HRR) and DNA repair of double-strand breaks (DSB)¹¹⁰. RAD51D associates with other RAD51 paralogs to form RAD51B-RAD51C-RAD51D-XRCC2 (BCDX2) complex¹¹¹. The BCDX2 complex binds single- and double-stranded DNA to hydrolyze ATP¹¹². RAD51D is a tumor suppressor gene. Loss of function mutations in RAD51D are implicated in the BRCAness phenotype, which is characterized by a defect in HRR, mimicking BRCA1 or BRCA2 loss^{97,103}. Germline point mutations in RAD51D are implicated in non-BRCA2 associated breast, ovarian, and colorectal cancer¹¹³.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RAD51D are rare but have been reported in 1-2% of uterine cancer¹¹.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib⁵⁸ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes RAD51D. Additionally, consistent with other genes associated with the BRCAness phenotype, RAD51D mutations may aid in selecting patients likely to respond to PARP inhibitors¹⁰³. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex⁶⁶, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

KMT2B deletion

lysine methyltransferase 2B

Background: The KMT2B gene encodes the lysine methyltransferase 2B protein, a transcriptional coactivator and histone H3 lysine K (H3K4) methyltransferase¹. KMT2B belongs to the SET domain protein methyltransferase superfamily³⁵. Specifically, KMT2B along with KDM6A promotes the transcription of the oncogene MYC by H3K4 methylation³⁶.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in KMT2B are observed in 22% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 13% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 11% colorectal adenocarcinoma, 10% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 7% of adrenocortical carcinoma, and 5% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for KMT2B aberrations.

MAP2K7 deletion

mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7

Background: The MAP2K7 gene encodes the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7, also known as MEK7¹. MAP2K7 is involved in the JNK signaling pathway along with MAP3K4, MAP3K12, MAP2K4, MAPK8, MAPK9, and MAPK10^{37,38,39}. Activation of MAPK proteins occurs through a kinase signaling cascade^{37,38,40}. Specifically, MAP3Ks are responsible for phosphorylation of MAP2K family members^{37,38,40}. Once activated, MAP2Ks are responsible for the phosphorylation of various MAPK proteins whose signaling is involved in several cellular processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, and inflammation^{37,38,40}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MAP2K7 are observed in 7% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2% of skin cutaneous melanoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma^{11,12}. Biallelic deletions are observed in 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and 1% of uveal melanoma^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MAP2K7 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome¹¹⁶. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{117,118}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2¹¹⁹. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250¹²⁰. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)¹²⁰. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{121,122,123,124,125}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes¹¹⁸. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{117,118,122,126}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{117,118,127,128}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{127,128}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab¹²⁹ (2014) and nivolumab¹³⁰ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab¹²⁹ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication¹²⁹. Dostarlimab¹³¹ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{123,132}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab¹³³ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{123,134,135}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients¹³⁵. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

with MSI-H tumors^{136,137}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{136,137}.

NF1 p.(D2095Mfs*16) c.6283delG

neurofibromin 1

Background: The NF1 gene encodes the neurofibromin protein, a tumor suppressor within the Ras-GTPase-activating protein (GAP) family²². NF1 regulates cellular levels of activated RAS proteins including KRAS, NRAS, and HRAS, by down regulating the active GTP-bound state to an inactive GDP-bound state^{22,23}. Inactivation of NF1 due to missense mutations results in sustained intracellular levels of RAS-GTP and prolonged activation of the RAS/RAF/MAPK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathways leading to increased proliferation and survival²². Constitutional mutations in NF1 are associated with neurofibromatosis type 1, a RASopathy autosomal dominant tumor syndrome with predisposition to myeloid malignancies such as juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML) and myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN)^{22,24,25}.

Alterations and prevalence: NF1 aberrations include missense mutations, insertions, indels, aberrant splicing, microdeletions, and rearrangements²². The majority of NF1 mutated tumors exhibit biallelic inactivation of NF1, supporting the 'two-hit' hypothesis of carcinogenesis^{22,26}. Somatic mutations in NF1 are observed in several cancer types including 17% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 14% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 12% of glioblastoma multiforme, lung adenocarcinoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma^{11,12}. Structural variants in NF1 are observed in 3% of cholangiocarcinoma^{11,12}. Biallelic deletion of NF1 is observed in 6% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 4% of sarcoma, and 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, glioblastoma multiforme, uterine carcinosarcoma, and acute myeloid leukemia^{11,12}. Alterations in NF1 are also observed in pediatric cancers¹². Somatic mutations in NF1 are observed in 8% of soft tissue sarcoma (3 in 38 cases), 4% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (9 in 252 cases), 3% of Hodgkin lymphoma (2 in 61 cases), 2% of glioma (6 in 297 cases), 1% of bone cancer (4 in 327 cases) and leukemia (4 in 354 cases), and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system tumors (7 in 1158 cases), embryonal tumors (2 in 332 cases), and Wilms tumor (1 in 710 cases)¹². Biallelic deletion of NF1 is observed in 2% of bone cancer (1 in 42 cases) and less than 1% of leukemia (2 in 250 cases), Wilms tumor (1 in 136 cases), and B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (5 in 731 cases)¹².

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for NF1 aberrations. Somatic mutation of NF1 is useful as an ancillary diagnostic marker for malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST)²⁰.

POLD1 deletion

DNA polymerase delta 1, catalytic subunit

Background: The POLD1 gene encodes the DNA polymerase delta 1, catalytic subunit protein¹. POLD1 is one of four subunits that make up the DNA polymerase delta (Pol δ) enzyme along with POLD2, POLD3, and POLD4^{2,3}. Specifically, POLD1 is responsible for the polymerase and 3'-5' exonuclease activity of Pol δ in the synthesis of DNA during DNA replication and repair observed in homologous recombination repair (HRR), mismatch repair (MMR), and nucleotide excision repair (NER)^{2,3,4,5}. Independent of Pol δ , POLD1 associates with γ -tubulin ring complexes to control cytoplasmic microtubule growth². Germline mutations in POLD1 are associated with polymerase proofreading-associated polyposis, which confers predisposition to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas^{6,7,8,9,10}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in POLD1 are observed in 8% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 4% of skin cutaneous melanoma, and 3% of stomach adenocarcinoma^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for POLD1 aberrations.

RAD51B deletion

RAD51 paralog B

Background: The RAD51B gene encodes the RAD51 paralog B protein, a member of the RAD51 recombinase family that also includes RAD51, RAD51C (RAD51L2), RAD51D (RAD51L3), XRCC2, and XRCC3 paralogs. The RAD51 family of proteins are involved in homologous recombination repair (HRR) and DNA repair of double-strand breaks (DSB)¹¹⁰. RAD51B associates with other RAD51 paralogs to form RAD51B-RAD51C-RAD51D-XRCC2 (BCDX2) complex¹¹¹. The BCDX2 complex binds single- and double-stranded DNA to hydrolyze ATP¹¹². RAD51B is a tumor suppressor gene. Loss of function mutations in RAD51B are implicated in the BRCAness phenotype, which is characterized by a defect in HRR mimicking BRCA1 or BRCA2 loss^{97,103}. Biallelic expression of RAD51B is required for chromosomal integrity and haploinsufficiency leads to aberrant HRR resulting in centrosome fragmentation, aneuploidy, and mild hypersensitivity to DNA-damaging agents¹¹⁴. Genetic variation within the RAD51B locus on 14q24.1 is significantly associated with familial breast cancer risk¹¹⁵.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RAD51B are observed in up to 3% of uterine cancer^{11,12}. Loss of function mutations in RAD51B are rare, but variation within the RAD51B locus is significantly associated with familial breast cancer risk¹¹⁵.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib⁵⁸ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes RAD51B. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex⁶⁶, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

XRCC3 deletion

X-ray repair cross complementing 3

Background: The XRCC3 gene encodes the X-ray cross complementing 3 protein, a member of the RAD51 recombinase family that also includes RAD51, RAD51C, RAD51D, and XRCC2 paralogs^{1,27}. XRCC3 complexes with RAD51C to form the CX3 complex, which functions in strand exchange and Holliday junction resolution during homologous recombination repair (HRR)^{27,28}. XRCC3 may complex with BRCA2, FANCD2, and FANCG to maintain chromosome stability²⁹.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in XRCC3 are observed in 1% of uveal melanoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma^{11,12}. Biallelic deletions in XRCC3 are observed in 3% of cholangiocarcinoma and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and bladder urothelial carcinoma^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for XRCC3 aberrations. Pre-clinical evidence suggests that XRCC3 mutations may demonstrate sensitivity to cisplatin²⁹.

HLA-B deletion

major histocompatibility complex, class I, B

Background: The HLA-B gene encodes the major histocompatibility complex, class I, B¹. MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I molecules are located on the cell surface of nucleated cells and present antigens from within the cell for recognition by cytotoxic T cells⁸⁸. MHC class I molecules are heterodimers composed of two polypeptide chains, α and B2M⁸⁹. The classical MHC class I genes include HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C and encode the α polypeptide chains, which present short polypeptide chains, of 7 to 11 amino acids, to the immune system to distinguish self from non-self^{90,91,92}. Downregulation of MHC class I promotes tumor evasion of the immune system, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for HLA-B⁹³.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in HLA-B are observed in 10% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 5% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, 4% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and colorectal adenocarcinoma, 3% of uterine cancer, and 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma^{11,12}. Biallelic loss of HLA-B is observed in 5% of DLBCL^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for HLA-B aberrations.

PPM1D p.(R536Gfs*3) c.1606delA

protein phosphatase, Mg2+/Mn2+ dependent 1D

Background: The PPM1D gene encodes the protein phosphatase, Mg²⁺/Mn²⁺ dependent 1D, also known as wild-type p53-induced phosphatase 1 (WIP1) or protein phosphatase 2C delta (PP2C δ)⁶⁷. PPM1D is a member of the PP2C family of Ser/Thr protein phosphatases¹. PPM1D negatively regulates several key tumor suppressor pathways including ATM, CHK2, P38 MARK and P53, which are involved in cell stress, cell cycle regulation, DNA damage repair and tumor cell metabolism^{67,68}. PPM1D amplification/overexpression and/or mutations occur in various solid cancers, including breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, ovarian carcinoma and neuroblastoma⁶⁸. Truncating mutations in the last exon of PPM1D lead to the production of a stable, enzymatically active protein and are commonly associated with clonal hematopoiesis, a condition that has been shown to be present in patients with therapy-related myeloid neoplasm such as therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia (t-AML) or therapy-related myelodysplastic syndrome (t-MDS)^{69,70}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in PPM1D are predominantly truncating or missense and are observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 2% of stomach adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, and colorectal adenocarcinoma^{11,12}. Amplification of PPM1D is observed in 8% of breast invasive carcinoma, 5% of mesothelioma, 4% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 3% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of adrenocortical carcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, thymoma, sarcoma, and lung adenocarcinoma^{11,12}. Alterations in PPM1D are also observed in pediatric cancers¹². Somatic mutations are observed in 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma and glioma, and less than 1% of leukemia (1 in

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

311 cases), embryonal tumor (1 in 332 cases), and peripheral nervous system cancers (3 in 1158 cases)¹². PPM1D amplification is observed in 2% of peripheral nervous system cancers, and less than 1% of Wilms tumor (1 in 136 cases) and B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (1 in 731 cases)¹².

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for PPM1D aberrations. Overexpression of PPM1D has been associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma and prostate cancer^{71,72,73}.

DSC1 deletion

desmocollin 1

Background: The DSC1 gene encodes desmocollin 1, a member of the desmocollin (DSC) subfamily of the cadherin superfamily, which also includes DSC2 and DSC3¹. DSCs along with desmogleins (DSGs) function as membrane-spanning constituents of the desmosomes¹³. Desmosomes are protein complexes in the intracellular junctions that confer stability and strengthen cell-cell adhesion¹⁴. Deregulation of DSC expression is suggested to impact β -catenin signaling and has been observed in a number of cancer types, supporting a potential role for DSC1 in tumorigenesis^{13,15,16,17}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in DSC1 are observed in 17% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, and 3% of lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and colorectal adenocarcinoma^{11,12}. Biallelic deletion of DSC1 is observed in 2% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for DSC1 aberrations.

CIC deletion

capicua transcriptional repressor

Background: The CIC gene encodes the capicua transcriptional repressor, a member of the high mobility group (HMG)-box superfamily^{1,18}. The HMG-box domain mediates CIC binding to an octameric consensus sequence at the promoters of target genes^{1,18}. CIC interacts with the HDAC complex and SWI/SNF to transcriptionally repress target genes, which include members of the E-Twenty Six (ETS) oncogene family ETV1, ETV4 and ETV5¹⁸. CIC aberrations lead to increased RTK/MAPK signaling and oncogenesis, supporting a tumor suppressor role for CIC¹⁸.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in CIC are observed in 21% of brain lower grade glioma, 11% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 8% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of stomach adenocarcinoma, and 6% of colorectal adenocarcinoma^{11,12}. Biallelic loss of CIC is observed 2% of prostate adenocarcinoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)^{11,12}. Recurrent CIC fusions are found in Ewing-like sarcoma (ELS) (CIC::DUX4 and CIC::FOXO4), angiosarcoma (CIC::LEUTX), peripheral neuroectodermal tumors (CIC::NUTM1) and oligodendroglioma^{18,19}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CIC aberrations. CIC fusions, including CIC::DUX4 fusion, t(10;19)(q26;q13) and t(4;19)(q35;q13), are ancillary diagnostic markers for CIC-Rearranged Sarcoma^{20,21}.

ARHGAP35 deletion

Rho GTPase activating protein 35

Background: ARHGAP35 encodes Rho GTPase activating protein 35, human glucocorticoid receptor DNA binding factor. ARHGAP35 functions as a repressor of glucocorticoid receptor transcription¹. Rho GTPases regulate various cellular processes such as cell adhesion, cell migration and play a critical role in metastasis through the negative regulation of RhoA which is localized to the cell membrane^{30,31}. Aberrations in ARHGAP35, including mutations, have been observed to result in both loss and gain of function thereby promoting tumor growth and metastasis^{32,33}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations of ARHGAP35 are observed in 20% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 11% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 6% of skin cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 4% of stomach adenocarcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma^{11,12}. In endometrial cancer, R997* has been observed to be recurrent and has been observed to confer loss of RhoGAP activity due to protein truncation and loss of its RhoGAP domain³⁴. Amplification of ARHGAP35 is observed in 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 2% of adrenocortical carcinoma, and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma^{11,12}. Biallelic loss of ARHGAP35 has been observed in 2% of sarcoma^{11,12}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ARHGAP35 aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNA1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYO10, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFB1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABC1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKB, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBF, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERF1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFB2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, REL, RET, ROS1, RSP02, RSP03, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBF, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERF1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFB2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

In this cancer type
 In other cancer type
 In this cancer type and other cancer types
 No evidence

H3-3A p.(K28M) c.83A>T

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
dordaviprone	●	●	×	×	● (I)
dordaviprone, everolimus, radiation therapy	×	×	×	×	● (III)
dordaviprone, radiation therapy	×	×	×	×	● (III)
CBLC-137	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)
repotrectinib, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)
autologous anti-H3.3K27M TCR-expressing T cells, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	● (I)
AZD1390, radiation therapy	×	×	×	×	● (I)
CART-GD3	×	×	×	×	● (I)
nivolumab, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	● (I)
ONC-206	×	×	×	×	● (I)
triapine, radiation therapy	×	×	×	×	● (I)

BRCA2 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
olaparib	×	○	×	×	● (II)
niraparib	×	○	×	×	×
rucaparib	×	○	×	×	×
pamiparib, tislelizumab	×	×	×	×	● (II)

ATM deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
olaparib	×	×	×	×	● (II)
pamiparib, tislelizumab	×	×	×	×	● (II)
senaparib, IMP-9064	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)

CDK12 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pamiparib, tislelizumab	×	×	×	×	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

In this cancer type
 In other cancer type
 In this cancer type and other cancer types
 No evidence

CHEK1 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pamiparib, tislelizumab	✘	✘	✘	✘	● (II)

RAD51D deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pamiparib, tislelizumab	✘	✘	✘	✘	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	13.71%
BRCA2	CNV, CN:1.0
BRCA2	LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x1
ATM	CNV, CN:1.0
ATM	LOH, 11q22.3(108098341-108236285)x1
CDK12	CNV, CN:1.0
CDK12	LOH, 17q12(37618286-37687611)x1
CHEK1	CNV, CN:1.0
CHEK1	LOH, 11q24.2(125496639-125525271)x1
RAD51B	CNV, CN:1.0
RAD51B	LOH, 14q24.1(68290164-69061406)x1
RAD51D	CNV, CN:1.0
RAD51D	LOH, 17q12(33427950-33446720)x1

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent OncoPrint Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on OncoPrint Reporter (6.2.4 data version 2025.12(007)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-11-25. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-11-03. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-11-25. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-11-03. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-11-03. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
2. Shen et al. The catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase δ is a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling T protein. *Exp Cell Res.* 2019 Feb 15;375(2):36-40. PMID: 30625304
3. McVey et al. Eukaryotic DNA Polymerases in Homologous Recombination. *Annu Rev Genet.* 2016 Nov 23;50:393-421. PMID: 27893960
4. Li. Mechanisms and functions of DNA mismatch repair. *Cell Res.* 2008 Jan;18(1):85-98. PMID: 18157157
5. Marteijn et al. Understanding nucleotide excision repair and its roles in cancer and ageing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2014 Jul;15(7):465-81. PMID: 24954209
6. Valle et al. Update on genetic predisposition to colorectal cancer and polyposis. *Mol Aspects Med.* 2019 Oct;69:10-26. PMID: 30862463
7. Bellido et al. POLE and POLD1 mutations in 529 kindred with familial colorectal cancer and/or polyposis: review of reported cases and recommendations for genetic testing and surveillance. *Genet Med.* 2016 Apr;18(4):325-32. PMID: 26133394
8. Esteban-Jurado et al. POLE and POLD1 screening in 155 patients with multiple polyps and early-onset colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2017 Apr 18;8(16):26732-26743. PMID: 28423643
9. Palles et al. Germline mutations affecting the proofreading domains of POLE and POLD1 predispose to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Nat Genet.* 2013 Feb;45(2):136-44. PMID: 23263490
10. Valle et al. New insights into POLE and POLD1 germline mutations in familial colorectal cancer and polyposis. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2014 Jul 1;23(13):3506-12. PMID: 24501277
11. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nat. Genet.* 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
12. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
13. Chidgey et al. Desmosomes: a role in cancer?. *Br J Cancer.* 2007 Jun 18;96(12):1783-7. PMID: 17519903
14. Dubash et al. Desmosomes. *Curr Biol.* 2011 Jul 26;21(14):R529-31. PMID: 21783027
15. Hardman et al. Desmosomal cadherin misexpression alters beta-catenin stability and epidermal differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2005 Feb;25(3):969-78. PMID: 15657425
16. Wang et al. Lower DSC1 expression is related to the poor differentiation and prognosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2016 Dec;142(12):2461-2468. PMID: 27601166
17. Oshiro et al. Epigenetic silencing of DSC3 is a common event in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2005;7(5):R669-80. PMID: 16168112
18. Wong et al. Making heads or tails - the emergence of capicua (CIC) as an important multifunctional tumour suppressor. *J Pathol.* 2020 Apr;250(5):532-540. PMID: 32073140
19. Huang et al. Recurrent CIC Gene Abnormalities in Angiosarcomas: A Molecular Study of 120 Cases With Concurrent Investigation of PLCG1, KDR, MYC, and FLT4 Gene Alterations. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2016 May;40(5):645-55. PMID: 26735859
20. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 1.2025]
21. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Bone Cancer [Version 1.2026]
22. Philpott et al. The NF1 somatic mutational landscape in sporadic human cancers. 2017 Jun 21;11(1):13. doi: 10.1186/s40246-017-0109-3. PMID: 28637487
23. Scheffzek et al. The Ras-RasGAP complex: structural basis for GTPase activation and its loss in oncogenic Ras mutants. *Science.* 1997 Jul 18;277(5324):333-8. PMID: 9219684
24. Fioretos et al. Isochromosome 17q in blast crisis of chronic myeloid leukemia and in other hematologic malignancies is the result of clustered breakpoints in 17p11 and is not associated with coding TP53 mutations. *Blood.* 1999 Jul 1;94(1):225-32. PMID: 10381517
25. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 1.2026]
26. Brems et al. Mechanisms in the pathogenesis of malignant tumours in neurofibromatosis type 1. *Lancet Oncol.* 2009 May;10(5):508-15. PMID: 19410195
27. Prakash et al. Homologous recombination and human health: the roles of BRCA1, BRCA2, and associated proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2015 Apr 1;7(4):a016600. PMID: 25833843
28. Liu et al. Role of RAD51C and XRCC3 in genetic recombination and DNA repair. *J Biol Chem.* 2007 Jan 19;282(3):1973-9. PMID: 17114795

References (continued)

29. Wilson et al. FANCG promotes formation of a newly identified protein complex containing BRCA2, FANCD2 and XRCC3. *Oncogene*. 2008 Jun 12;27(26):3641-52. PMID: 18212739
30. Croft et al. Regulating the conversion between rounded and elongated modes of cancer cell movement. *Cancer Cell*. 2008 Nov 4;14(5):349-51. PMID: 18977323
31. Garrett et al. Reoperative median sternotomy. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1989 Aug;48(2):305. PMID: 2764627
32. Héraud et al. *Cells*. 2019 Apr 12;8(4). PMID: 31013840
33. Zhao et al. Glucocorticoid receptor DNA binding factor 1 expression and osteosarcoma prognosis. *Tumour Biol*. 2014 Dec;35(12):12449-58. PMID: 25185653
34. Jonsson et al. Fresh gas flow in coaxial Mapleson A and D circuits during spontaneous breathing. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1986 Oct;30(7):588-93. PMID: 3101384
35. Froimchuk et al. Histone H3 lysine 4 methyltransferase KMT2D. *Gene*. 2017 Sep 5;627:337-342. PMID: 28669924
36. Leng et al. Histone 3 lysine-27 demethylase KDM6A coordinates with KMT2B to play an oncogenic role in NSCLC by regulating H3K4me3. *Oncogene*. 2020 Oct;39(41):6468-6479. PMID: 32879445
37. Pritchard et al. Molecular pathways: mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway mutations and drug resistance. *Clin. Cancer Res*. 2013 May 1;19(9):2301-9. PMID: 23406774
38. Bubici et al. JNK signalling in cancer: in need of new, smarter therapeutic targets. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2014 Jan;171(1):24-37. PMID: 24117156
39. Cargnello et al. Activation and function of the MAPKs and their substrates, the MAPK-activated protein kinases. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*. 2011 Mar;75(1):50-83. PMID: 21372320
40. Lee et al. Targeting MAPK Signaling in Cancer: Mechanisms of Drug Resistance and Sensitivity. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020 Feb 7;21(3). PMID: 32046099
41. Liu et al. Distinct functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in double-strand break repair. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2002;4(1):9-13. PMID: 11879553
42. Jasin. Homologous repair of DNA damage and tumorigenesis: the BRCA connection. *Oncogene*. 2002 Dec 16;21(58):8981-93. PMID: 12483514
43. Kuchenbaecker et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. *JAMA*. 2017 Jun 20;317(23):2402-2416. PMID: 28632866
44. Tai et al. Breast cancer risk among male BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst*. 2007 Dec 5;99(23):1811-4. PMID: 18042939
45. Levy-Lahad et al. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2007 Jan 15;96(1):11-5. PMID: 17213823
46. Chen et al. Penetrance of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Women Who Carry a BRCA1/2 Mutation and Do Not Use Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *JNCI Cancer Spectr*. 2020 Aug;4(4):pkaa029. PMID: 32676552
47. Petrucelli et al. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *GeneReviews® [Internet]*. PMID: 20301425
48. Pruthi et al. Identification and Management of Women With BRCA Mutations or Hereditary Predisposition for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *Mayo Clin. Proc*. 2010 Dec;85(12):1111-20. PMID: 21123638
49. Walsh et al. Mutations in 12 genes for inherited ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinoma identified by massively parallel sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2011 Nov 1;108(44):18032-7. PMID: 22006311
50. Alsop et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2012 Jul 20;30(21):2654-63. PMID: 22711857
51. Whittemore et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutation carriers among U.S. non-Hispanic Whites. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev*. 2004 Dec;13(12):2078-83. PMID: 15598764
52. King et al. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Science*. 2003 Oct 24;302(5645):643-6. PMID: 14576434
53. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2000 Nov;83(10):1301-8. PMID: 11044354
54. Shao et al. A comprehensive literature review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of pan-cancer BRCA mutations, homologous recombination repair gene mutations, and homologous recombination deficiencies. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2022 Jul;63(6):308-316. PMID: 36054589
55. Hodgson et al. Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2018 Nov;119(11):1401-1409. PMID: 30353044

References (continued)

56. Bryant et al. Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. *Nature*. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):913-7. PMID: 15829966
57. Farmer et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. *Nature*. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):917-21. PMID: 15829967
58. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/208558s031lbl.pdf
59. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf
60. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/217439s003lbl.pdf
61. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/214876s003s004lbl.pdf
62. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/216793s000lbl.pdf
63. <https://www.jnj.com/media-center/press-releases/janssen-announces-u-s-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-granted-for-niraparib-for-the-treatment-of-metastatic-castration-resistant-prostate-cancer>
64. Barber et al. Secondary mutations in BRCA2 associated with clinical resistance to a PARP inhibitor. *J. Pathol.* 2013 Feb;229(3):422-9. PMID: 23165508
65. D'Andrea. Mechanisms of PARP inhibitor sensitivity and resistance. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*. 2018 Nov;71:172-176. PMID: 30177437
66. <https://www.senhwabio.com/en/news/20220125>
67. Deng et al. The role of PPM1D in cancer and advances in studies of its inhibitors. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2020 May;125:109956. PMID: 32006900
68. Le Guezennec et al. WIP1 phosphatase at the crossroads of cancer and aging. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2010 Feb;35(2):109-14. PMID: 19879149
69. Hsu et al. PPM1D Mutations Drive Clonal Hematopoiesis in Response to Cytotoxic Chemotherapy. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2018 Nov 1;23(5):700-713.e6. PMID: 30388424
70. Miller et al. PPM1D modulates hematopoietic cell fitness and response to DNA damage and is a therapeutic target in myeloid malignancy. *Blood.* 2023 Dec 14;142(24):2079-2091. PMID: 37595362
71. Yang et al. PPM1D overexpression predicts poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2015 Mar;36(3):2179-84. PMID: 25412952
72. Sun et al. Overexpression of Wild-Type p53-Induced Phosphatase 1 Confers Poor Prognosis of Patients with Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma. *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2015 Apr;21(2):283-91. PMID: 25060857
73. Wu et al. PPM1D exerts its oncogenic properties in human pancreatic cancer through multiple mechanisms. *Apoptosis.* 2016 Mar;21(3):365-78. PMID: 26714478
74. Hideaki et al. Histone H3.1 and H3.3 complexes mediate nucleosome assembly pathways dependent or independent of DNA synthesis. *Cell.* 2004 Jan 9;116(1):51-61. PMID: 14718166
75. Audia et al. Histone Modifications and Cancer. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2016 Apr 1;8(4):a019521. PMID: 27037415
76. Chen et al. H3.3 actively marks enhancers and primes gene transcription via opening higher-ordered chromatin. *Genes Dev.* 2013 Oct 1;27(19):2109-24. PMID: 24065740
77. Wan et al. Histone H3 Mutations in Cancer. *Curr Pharmacol Rep.* 2018;4(4):292-300. PMID: 30101054
78. Dominik et al. Hotspot Mutations in H3F3A and IDH1 Define Distinct Epigenetic and Biological Subgroups of Glioblastoma. *Cancer Cell.* 2012 Oct 16;22(4):425-37. PMID: 23079654
79. Gang et al. Somatic histone H3 alterations in pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas and non-brainstem glioblastomas. *Nat Genet.* 2012 Jan 29;44(3):251-3. PMID: 22286216
80. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Central Nervous System Cancers [Version 2.2025]
81. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/219876s000lbl.pdf
82. <https://www.oncnursingnews.com/view/breakthrough-designation-granted-to-bcb-276-for-pediatric-dipg>
83. Patil et al. Checkpoint kinase 1 in DNA damage response and cell cycle regulation. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2013 Nov;70(21):4009-21. PMID: 23508805
84. Bartek et al. Chk1 and Chk2 kinases in checkpoint control and cancer. *Cancer Cell.* 2003 May;3(5):421-9. PMID: 12781359
85. Huang et al. Chk1 and Chk2 are differentially involved in homologous recombination repair and cell cycle arrest in response to DNA double-strand breaks induced by camptothecins. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2008 Jun;7(6):1440-9. PMID: 18566216
86. Zhang et al. Roles of Chk1 in cell biology and cancer therapy. *Int. J. Cancer.* 2014 Mar 1;134(5):1013-23. PMID: 23613359
87. Sen et al. CHK1 Inhibition in Small-Cell Lung Cancer Produces Single-Agent Activity in Biomarker-Defined Disease Subsets and Combination Activity with Cisplatin or Olaparib. *Cancer Res.* 2017 Jul 15;77(14):3870-3884. PMID: 28490518

References (continued)

88. Hulpke et al. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. *Trends Biochem Sci.* PMID: 23849087
89. Adams et al. The adaptable major histocompatibility complex (MHC) fold: structure and function of nonclassical and MHC class I-like molecules. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2013;31:529-61. PMID: 23298204
90. Rossjohn et al. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2015;33:169-200. PMID: 25493333
91. Parham. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2005 Mar;5(3):201-14. PMID: 15719024
92. Sidney et al. HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. *BMC Immunol.* 2008 Jan 22;9:1. PMID: 18211710
93. Cornel et al. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancers (Basel).* 2020 Jul 2;12(7). PMID: 32630675
94. Malgorzata et al. CDK12 loss in cancer cells affects DNA damage response genes through premature cleavage and polyadenylation. *Nat Commun.* 2019 Apr 15;10(1):1757. PMID: 30988284
95. Joshi et al. Ovarian cancer-associated mutations disable catalytic activity of CDK12, a kinase that promotes homologous recombination repair and resistance to cisplatin and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2014 Mar 28;289(13):9247-53. PMID: 24554720
96. Blazek et al. The Cyclin K/Cdk12 complex maintains genomic stability via regulation of expression of DNA damage response genes. *Genes Dev.* 2011 Oct 15;25(20):2158-72. PMID: 22012619
97. Lord et al. BRCAness revisited. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 2016 Feb;16(2):110-20. PMID: 26775620
98. Paculová et al. The emerging roles of CDK12 in tumorigenesis. doi: 10.1186/s13008-017-0033-x. eCollection 2017. PMID: 29090014
99. Maréchal et al. DNA damage sensing by the ATM and ATR kinases. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2013 Sep 1;5(9). PMID: 24003211
100. Matsuoka et al. ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage. *Science.* 2007 May 25;316(5828):1160-6. PMID: 17525332
101. Ditch et al. The ATM protein kinase and cellular redox signaling: beyond the DNA damage response. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2012 Jan;37(1):15-22. PMID: 22079189
102. Kozlov et al. Autophosphorylation and ATM activation: additional sites add to the complexity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011 Mar 18;286(11):9107-19. PMID: 21149446
103. Lim et al. Evaluation of the methods to identify patients who may benefit from PARP inhibitor use. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer.* 2016 Jun;23(6):R267-85. PMID: 27226207
104. Cynthia et al. Ataxia telangiectasia: a review. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* 2016 Nov 25;11(1):159. PMID: 27884168
105. Gilardini Montani et al. ATM-depletion in breast cancer cells confers sensitivity to PARP inhibition. *CR.* PMID: 24252502
106. Pennington et al. Germline and somatic mutations in homologous recombination genes predict platinum response and survival in ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinomas. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014 Feb 1;20(3):764-75. PMID: 24240112
107. Mateo et al. DNA-Repair Defects and Olaparib in Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2015 Oct 29;373(18):1697-708. PMID: 26510020
108. Naqvi et al. Heterogeneity of the Treatment Effect with PARP Inhibitors in Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer: A Living Interactive Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Eur Urol.* 2025 Jun;87(6):626-640. PMID: 39848867
109. Evans et al. Exploring the Impact of Treatment Switching on Overall Survival from the PROfound Study in Homologous Recombination Repair (HRR)-Mutated Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC). *Target Oncol.* 2021 Sep;16(5):613-623. PMID: 34478046
110. Sullivan et al. RAD-ical New Insights into RAD51 Regulation. *Genes (Basel).* 2018 Dec 13;9(12). PMID: 30551670
111. Suwaki et al. RAD51 paralogs: roles in DNA damage signalling, recombinational repair and tumorigenesis. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2011 Oct;22(8):898-905. PMID: 21821141
112. Chun et al. Rad51 paralog complexes BCDX2 and CX3 act at different stages in the BRCA1-BRCA2-dependent homologous recombination pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2013 Jan;33(2):387-95. PMID: 23149936
113. Godin et al. Novel insights into RAD51 activity and regulation during homologous recombination and DNA replication. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2016 Oct;94(5):407-418. PMID: 27224545
114. Date et al. Haploinsufficiency of RAD51B causes centrosome fragmentation and aneuploidy in human cells. *Cancer Res.* 2006 Jun 15;66(12):6018-24. PMID: 16778173
115. Pelttari et al. RAD51B in Familial Breast Cancer. *PLoS ONE.* 2016;11(5):e0153788. PMID: 27149063

References (continued)

116. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
117. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. *Front Oncol*. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
118. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J*. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
119. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. *Front Microbiol*. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
120. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res*. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
121. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. *Cancer Res*. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
122. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. *Carcinogenesis*. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
123. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 5.2025]
124. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. *Dis. Markers*. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
125. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
126. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
127. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. *Nat Commun*. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
128. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol*. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
129. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf
130. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf
131. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
132. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 4.2025]
133. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf
134. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med*. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
135. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. *Ann. Oncol*. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
136. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. *J Pers Med*. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
137. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Treat. Rev*. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031