

Patient Name: 김예숙  
Gender: F  
Sample ID: N25-345

Primary Tumor Site: lung  
Collection Date: 2025.11.28

## Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page	Report Highlights
Variant Details	2	2 Relevant Biomarkers
Biomarker Descriptions	2	1 Therapies Available
Relevant Therapy Summary	5	2 Clinical Trials

## Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	0.0 Mut/Mb measured

## Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	Microsatellite stable	None*	lenvatinib + pembrolizumab + berahyaluronidase alfa <sup>1</sup>	0
IIC	TP53 p.(R267W) c.799C>T tumor protein p53 Allele Frequency: 2.45% Locus: chr17:7577139 Transcript: NM_000546.6	None*	None*	2

\* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA<sup>1</sup>, NCCN, EMA<sup>2</sup>, ESMO

\* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

### Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

MLH1 p.(V384D) c.1151T>A, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
TP53	p.(R267W)	c.799C>T	.	chr17:7577139	2.45%	NM_000546.6	missense
MLH1	p.(V384D)	c.1151T>A	.	chr3:37067240	51.58%	NM_000249.4	missense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	52.63%	NM_000903.3	missense
HLA-B	p.([T118I;L119I])	c.353_355delCCCinsTCA	.	chr6:31324208	100.00%	NM_005514.8	missense, missense

Biomarker Descriptions

Microsatellite stable

**Background:** Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome<sup>48</sup>. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue<sup>8,10</sup>. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2<sup>9</sup>. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250<sup>49</sup>. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)<sup>49</sup>. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS<sup>11,50,51,52,53</sup>. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes<sup>10</sup>. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer<sup>8,10,11,12</sup>.

**Alterations and prevalence:** The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma<sup>8,10,54,55</sup>. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers<sup>54,55</sup>.

**Potential relevance:** Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab<sup>20</sup> (2014) and nivolumab<sup>21</sup> (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab<sup>20</sup> is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication<sup>20</sup>. Dostarlimab<sup>56</sup> (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer<sup>51,57</sup>. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab<sup>22</sup> (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location<sup>51,58,59</sup>. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients<sup>59</sup>. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors<sup>60,61</sup>. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers<sup>60,61</sup>.

TP53 p.(R267W) c.799C>T

tumor protein p53

**Background:** The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair<sup>1</sup>. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis<sup>25</sup>. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential<sup>26</sup>. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers<sup>27,28</sup>.

## Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

**Alterations and prevalence:** TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)<sup>17,18,29,30,31,32</sup>. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282<sup>17,18</sup>. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes<sup>33,34,35,36</sup>. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers<sup>17,18</sup>. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)<sup>17,18</sup>. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)<sup>17,18</sup>.

**Potential relevance:** The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586<sup>37</sup> (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation<sup>38,39</sup>. TP53 mutations are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma<sup>40</sup>. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)<sup>41,42,43,44,45</sup>. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant<sup>46</sup>. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system<sup>47</sup>.

### MLH1 p.(V384D) c.1151T>A

*mutL homolog 1*

**Background:** The MLH1 gene encodes the mutL homolog 1 protein<sup>1</sup>. MLH1 is a tumor suppressor gene that heterodimerizes with PMS2 to form the MutLa complex, PMS1 to form the MutLβ complex, and MLH3 to form the MutLy complex<sup>2</sup>. The MutLa complex functions as an endonuclease that is specifically involved in the mismatch repair (MMR) process and mutations in MLH1 result in the inactivation of MutLa and degradation of PMS2<sup>2,3</sup>. Loss of MLH1 protein expression and MLH1 promoter hypermethylation correlates with mutations in these genes and are used to pre-screen colorectal cancer or endometrial hyperplasia<sup>4,5</sup>. MLH1, along with MSH6, MSH2, and PMS2 form the core components of the MMR pathway<sup>2</sup>. The MMR pathway is critical to the repair of mismatch errors which typically occur during DNA replication<sup>2</sup>. Deficiency in MMR (dMMR) is characterized by mutations and loss of expression in these genes<sup>6</sup>. dMMR is associated with microsatellite instability (MSI), which is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue<sup>7,8,9</sup>. MSI-high (MSI-H) is a hallmark of Lynch Syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in MMR genes<sup>7,10</sup>. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer<sup>8,10,11,12</sup>. Specifically, MLH1 mutations are associated with an increased risk of ovarian and pancreatic cancer<sup>13,14,15,16</sup>.

**Alterations and prevalence:** Somatic mutations in MLH1 are observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2-3% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and melanoma<sup>17,18</sup>. Alterations in MLH1 are observed in pediatric cancers<sup>17,18</sup>. Somatic mutations are observed in 1% of bone cancer and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), embryonal tumor (2 in 332 cases), and leukemia (2 in 311 cases)<sup>17,18</sup>.

**Potential relevance:** The PARP inhibitor, talazoparib<sup>19</sup> in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes MLH1. Additionally, pembrolizumab (2014) is an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor that is approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed on prior therapies<sup>20</sup>. Nivolumab (2015), an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, is approved alone or in combination with the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab (2011), for patients with dMMR colorectal cancer that have progressed on prior treatment<sup>21,22</sup>. MLH1 mutations are consistent with high grade in pediatric diffuse gliomas<sup>23,24</sup>.

## Genes Assayed

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNA1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4,

## Genes Assayed (continued)

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYO1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLC01B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFB1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERFF1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLC01B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFB2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, REL, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

### Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERFF1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFB2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

In this cancer type

In other cancer type

In this cancer type and other cancer types

No evidence

Microsatellite stable

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
lenvatinib + pembrolizumab + berahyaluronidase alfa	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>

TP53 p.(R267W) c.799C>T

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
SYN-608	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div> (I)
SYN-818, olaparib	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div>	<div></div> (I)

\* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	0.0%
Not Detected	Not Applicable

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.2.4 data version 2025.12(007)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from [www.fda.gov](http://www.fda.gov) and is current as of 2025-11-25. NCCN information was sourced from [www.nccn.org](http://www.nccn.org) and is current as of 2025-11-03. EMA information was sourced from [www.ema.europa.eu](http://www.ema.europa.eu) and is current as of 2025-11-25. ESMO information was sourced from [www.esmo.org](http://www.esmo.org) and is current as of 2025-11-03. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-11-03. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search [www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov) by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

## References

1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
2. Li. Mechanisms and functions of DNA mismatch repair. *Cell Res.* 2008 Jan;18(1):85-98. PMID: 18157157
3. Zhao et al. Mismatch Repair Deficiency/Microsatellite Instability-High as a Predictor for anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Immunotherapy Efficacy. *J Hematol Oncol.* 12(1),54. PMID: 31151482
4. Berends et al. MLH1 and MSH2 protein expression as a pre-screening marker in hereditary and non-hereditary endometrial hyperplasia and cancer. *Int. J. Cancer.* 2001 May 1;92(3):398-403. PMID: 11291077
5. Gausachs et al. MLH1 promoter hypermethylation in the analytical algorithm of Lynch syndrome: a cost-effectiveness study. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 2012 Jul;20(7):762-8. PMID: 22274583
6. Martin et al. Therapeutic targeting of the DNA mismatch repair pathway. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010 Nov 1;16(21):5107-13. PMID: 20823149
7. Lynch et al. Review of the Lynch syndrome: history, molecular genetics, screening, differential diagnosis, and medicolegal ramifications. *Clin. Genet.* 2009 Jul;76(1):1-18. PMID: 19659756
8. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
9. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. *Front Microbiol.* 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
10. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J.* 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
11. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. *Carcinogenesis.* 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
12. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
13. Bonadona et al. Cancer risks associated with germline mutations in MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 genes in Lynch syndrome. *JAMA.* 2011 Jun 8;305(22):2304-10. PMID: 21642682
14. Engel et al. Risks of less common cancers in proven mutation carriers with lynch syndrome. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012 Dec 10;30(35):4409-15. PMID: 23091106
15. Grant et al. Prevalence of germline mutations in cancer predisposition genes in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology.* 2015 Mar;148(3):556-64. PMID: 25479140
16. Hu et al. Association Between Inherited Germline Mutations in Cancer Predisposition Genes and Risk of Pancreatic Cancer. *JAMA.* 2018 Jun 19;319(23):2401-2409. PMID: 29922827
17. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nat. Genet.* 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
18. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
19. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2025/217439s003lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/217439s003lbl.pdf)
20. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf)
21. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf)
22. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf)
23. Buccoliero et al. Pediatric High Grade Glioma Classification Criteria and Molecular Features of a Case Series. *Genes (Basel).* 2022 Mar 31;13(4). PMID: 35456430
24. Friker et al. MSH2, MSH6, MLH1, and PMS2 immunohistochemistry as highly sensitive screening method for DNA mismatch repair deficiency syndromes in pediatric high-grade glioma. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2025 Feb 2;149(1):11. PMID: 39894875
25. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. *J Biomed Res.* 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
26. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Cell.* 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
27. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
28. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
29. Peter S et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. *Nature.* 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745



## References (continued)

30. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature*. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
31. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat. Genet.* 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
32. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. *Nature*. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
33. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. *Hum. Mutat.* 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
34. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. *Genes Cancer*. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
35. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. *Oncogene*. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
36. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. *Hum. Mutat.* 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
37. <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html>
38. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. *Front Oncol.* 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
39. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
40. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Neuro Oncol.* 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
41. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. *Blood*. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
42. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 1.2026]
43. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 2.2025]
44. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 1.2026]
45. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
46. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 3.2025]
47. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Nat. Med.* 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
48. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
49. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
50. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. *Cancer Res.* 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
51. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 5.2025]
52. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. *Dis. Markers*. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
53. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
54. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. *Nat Commun.* 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
55. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
56. [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf)
57. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 4.2025]
58. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
59. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982

## References (continued)

60. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. *J Pers Med*. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
61. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Treat. Rev*. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031