삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Patient Name: 허일욱
Gender: M
Sample ID: N25-341

Primary Tumor Site: Lung
Collection Date: 2025.12.01

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Report Highlights
6 Relevant Biomarkers
21 Therapies Available
219 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	***EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G***	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	***KRAS amplification***	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	***5.67 Mut/Mb measured***

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	*EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G* epidermal growth factor receptor Allele Frequency: 21.56% Locus: chr7:55259515 Transcript: NM_005228.5	**afatinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **amivantamab + lazertinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **bevacizumab† + erlotinib** [2 / I, II+] **dacomitinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **erlotinib** [2 / I, II+] **erlotinib + ramucirumab** [1, 2 / I, II+] **gefitinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **osimertinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **osimertinib + chemotherapy** [1, 2 / I] **amivantamab + chemotherapy** [1, 2 / II+] **datopotamab deruxtecan-dlnk** [1 / II+] **BAT1706 + erlotinib** [2] gefitinib + chemotherapy [I] atezolizumab + bevacizumab + chemotherapy [II+]	None*	195

*** Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA**[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
*** Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance:** NCCN, ESMO
† Includes biosimilars/generics
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Relevant Biomarkers (continued)

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	*BRCA2 deletion* BRCA2, DNA repair associated Locus: chr13:32890491	None*	niraparib II+ olaparib II+ rucaparib II+	2
IIC	*MTAP deletion* methylthioadenosine phosphorylase Locus: chr9:21802646	None*	None*	14
IIC	*KRAS amplification* KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase Locus: chr12:25362709	None*	None*	6
IIC	*CDKN2A deletion* cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A Locus: chr9:21968178	None*	None*	4
IIC	*CDKN2B deletion* cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B Locus: chr9:22005728	None*	None*	1

*** Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA**[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
*** Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance:** NCCN, ESMO
† Includes biosimilars/generics
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Alerts informed by public data sources: ⊘ Contraindicated, Resistance, Breakthrough, Fast Track

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G	**izalontamab brengitecan** [1], **patritumab deruxtecan** [1] **DB-1310** [1]

Public data sources included in alerts: FDA[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

BAP1 p.(M1?) c.3G>T, Microsatellite stable, PARP1 p.(A502G) c.1505C>G, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, TPMT p.(Y240C) c.719A>G, NOTCH1 deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, RBM10 p.(Q623) c.1867C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden*

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
EGFR	p.(L858R)	c.2573T>G	COSM6224	chr7:55259515	21.56%	NM_005228.5	missense
BAP1	p.(M1?)	c.3G>T	.	chr3:52443892	27.25%	NM_004656.4	missense
PARP1	p.(A502G)	c.1505C>G	.	chr1:226567661	56.29%	NM_001618.4	missense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	50.65%	NM_000463.3	missense
TPMT	p.(Y240C)	c.719A>G	COSM4986703	chr6:18130918	51.00%	NM_000367.5	missense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	51.05%	NM_000903.3	missense
RBM10	p.(Q623*)	c.1867C>T	.	chrX:47041244	38.60%	NM_001204468.1	nonsense

Variant Details (continued)

DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
MAML3	p.(Q507_Q510del)	c.1455_1479delACAGCAACAGCAACAGCAGCAGinsGCAGCAACAGCAA	.	chr4:140811111	14.88%	NM_018717.5	nonframeshift Block Substitution
MAML3	p.(Q491Pfs*32)	c.1455_1506delACAGCAACAGCAACAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGinsGCAGCAACAGCAACAGCCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAA	.	chr4:140811084	75.00%	NM_018717.5	frameshift Block Substitution
NF1	p.(R601Q)	c.1802G>A	.	chr17:29550542	16.48%	NM_001042492.3	missense
SMAD2	p.(N322H)	c.964A>C	.	chr18:45374879	62.43%	NM_001003652.4	missense
ERCC2	p.(E264Q)	c.790G>C	.	chr19:45867518	52.98%	NM_000400.4	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
BRCA2	chr13:32890491	1	0.82
MTAP	chr9:21802646	0.33	0.65
KRAS	chr12:25362709	7.43	2.14
CDKN2A	chr9:21968178	0	0.57
CDKN2B	chr9:22005728	0.29	0.64
NOTCH1	chr9:139390441	0.4	0.67

Biomarker Descriptions

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G

epidermal growth factor receptor

Background: The EGFR gene encodes the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a member of the ERBB/human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) tyrosine kinase family[28]. In addition to EGFR/ERBB1/HER1, other members of the ERBB/HER family include ERBB2/HER2, ERBB3/HER3, and ERBB4/HER4[129]. EGFR ligand-induced dimerization results in kinase activation and leads to stimulation of oncogenic signaling pathways, including the PI3K/AKT/MTOR and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathways[130]. Activation of these pathways promotes cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival[131,132].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain (TKD) of EGFR are observed in approximately 10-20% of lung adenocarcinoma, and at higher frequencies in never-smoker, female, and Asian populations[4,7,133,134]. The most common mutations occur near the ATP-binding pocket of the TKD and include short in-frame deletions in exon 19 (EGFR exon 19 deletion) and the L858R amino acid substitution in exon 21[135]. These mutations constitutively activate EGFR resulting in downstream signaling, and represent 80% of the EGFR mutations observed in lung cancer[135]. A second group of less prevalent activating mutations includes E709K, G719X, S768I, L861Q, and short in-frame insertion mutations in exon 20[136,137,138,139]. EGFR activating mutations in lung cancer tend to be mutually exclusive to KRAS activating mutations[140]. In contrast, a different set of recurrent activating EGFR mutations in the extracellular domain includes R108K, A289V and G598V and are primarily observed in glioblastoma[135,141]. Amplification of EGFR is observed in several cancer types including 44% of glioblastoma multiforme, 12% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 10% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 8% of brain lower grade glioma, 6% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of bladder urothelial carcinoma cancer, lung adenocarcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma, 3% of cholangiocarcinoma, and 2% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, sarcoma, and breast invasive carcinoma[4,7,134,141,142]. Deletion of exons 2-7, encoding the extracellular domain of EGFR

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

(EGFRvIII), results in overexpression of a ligand-independent constitutively active protein and is observed in approximately 30% of glioblastoma[143,144,145]. Alterations in EGFR are rare in pediatric cancers[4,7]. Somatic mutations are observed in 2% of bone cancer and glioma, 1% of leukemia (4 in 354 cases), and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), peripheral nervous system cancers (1 in 1158 cases), and embryonal tumors (3 in 332 cases)[4,7]. Amplification of EGFR is observed in 2% of bone cancer and less than 1% of Wilms tumor (1 in 136 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases), and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)[4,7].

Potential relevance: Approved first-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) include erlotinib[146] (2004) and gefitinib[147] (2015), which block the activation of downstream signaling by reversible interaction with the ATP-binding site. Although initially approved for advanced lung cancer, the discovery that drug sensitivity was associated with exon 19 and exon 21 activating mutations allowed first-generation TKIs to become subsequently approved for front-line therapy in lung cancer tumors containing exon 19 or exon 21 activating mutations[148]. Second-generation TKIs afatinib[149] (2013) and dacomitinib[150] (2018) bind EGFR and other ERBB/HER gene family members irreversibly and were subsequently approved. First- and second-generation TKIs afatinib, dacomitinib, erlotinib, and gefitinib are recommended for the treatment NSCLC harboring EGFR exon 19 insertions, exon 19 deletions, point mutations L861Q, L858R, S768I, and codon 719 mutations, whereas most EGFR exon 20 insertions, except p.A763_Y764insFQEA, confer resistance to the same therapies[151,152,153,154]. In 2025, the FDA approved the irreversible EGFR inhibitor, sunvozertinib[155], for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer in adult patients with EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations whose disease has progressed on or after platinum-based chemotherapy. In 2022, the FDA granted breakthrough therapy designation to the irreversible EGFR inhibitor, CLN-081 (TPC-064)[156] for locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations. In lung cancer containing EGFR exon 19 or 21 activating mutations, treatment with TKIs is eventually associated with the emergence of drug resistance[157]. The primary resistance mutation that emerges following treatment with first-generation TKI is T790M, accounting for 50-60% of resistant cases[135]. Third generation TKIs were developed to maintain sensitivity in the presence of T790M[157]. Osimertinib[158] (2015) is an irreversible inhibitor indicated for metastatic EGFR T790M positive lung cancer and for the first-line treatment of metastatic NSCLC containing EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 L858R mutations. Like first-generation TKIs, treatment with osimertinib is associated with acquired resistance, specifically the C797S mutation, which occurs in 22-44% of cases[157]. The T790M and C797S mutations may be each selected following sequential treatment with a first-generation TKI followed by a third-generation TKI or vice versa[159]. T790M and C797S can occur in either cis or trans allelic orientation[159]. If C797S is observed following progression after treatment with a third-generation TKI in the first-line setting, sensitivity may be retained to first-generation TKIs[159]. If C797S co-occurs in trans with T790M following sequential treatment with first- and third-generation TKIs, patients may exhibit sensitivity to combination first- and third-generation TKIs, but resistance to third-generation TKIs alone[159,160]. However, C797S occurring in cis conformation with T790M, confers resistance to first- and third-generation TKIs[159]. Fourth-generation TKIs are in development to overcome acquired resistance mutations after osimertinib treatment, including BDTX-1535[161] (2024), a CNS-penetrating small molecule inhibitor, that received fast track designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients with EGFR C797S-positive NSCLC who have disease progression on or after a third-generation EGFR TKI. EGFR-targeting antibodies including cetuximab (2004), panitumumab (2006), and necitumumab (2016) are under investigation in combination with EGFR-targeting TKIs for efficacy against EGFR mutations[162]. The bispecific antibody, amivantamab[163] (2021), targeting EGFR and MET was approved for NSCLC tumors harboring EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations. A small molecule kinase inhibitor, lazertinib[164] (2024), was approved in combination with amivantamab as a first-line treatment for adult patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC with EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 L858R mutations. HLX-42[165], an anti-EFGR-antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) consisting of an anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody conjugated with a novel high potency DNA topoisomerase I (topo I) inhibitor, also received fast track designation (2024) for the treatment of patients with advanced or metastatic EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer whose disease has progressed on a third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor. CPO301[166] (2023) received a fast track designation from the FDA for the treatment of EGFR mutations in patients with metastatic NSCLC who are relapsed/refractory or ineligible for EGFR targeting therapy such as 3rd-generation EGFR inhibitors, including osimertinib. The Oncoprex immunogene therapy quaratusugene ozeplasmid[167] (2020), in combination with osimertinib, received fast track designation from the FDA for NSCLC tumors harboring EGFR mutations that progressed on osimertinib alone. Amplification and mutations of EGFR commonly occur in H3-wild type IDH-wild type diffuse pediatric high-grade glioma[80,168,169].

BRCA2 deletion

BRCA2, DNA repair associated

Background: The breast cancer early onset gene 2 (BRCA2) encodes one of two BRCA proteins (BRCA1 and BRCA2) initially discovered as major hereditary breast cancer genes. Although structurally unrelated, both BRCA1 and BRCA2 exhibit tumor suppressor function and are integrally involved in the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway, a pathway critical in the repair of damaged DNA[33,34]. Specifically, BRCA1/2 are required for repair of chromosomal double strand breaks (DSBs) which are highly unstable and compromise genome integrity[33,34]. Inherited pathogenic mutations in BRCA1/2 are known to confer increased risk in women for breast and ovarian cancer and in men for breast and prostate cancer[35,36,37]. For individuals diagnosed with inherited pathogenic or likely pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants, the cumulative risk of breast cancer by 80 years of age was 69-72% and the cumulative risk of ovarian cancer by 70 years was 20-48%[35,38].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alterations and prevalence: Inherited BRCA1/2 mutations occur in 1:400 to 1:500 individuals and are observed in 10-15% of ovarian cancer, 5-10% of breast cancer, and 1-4% of prostate cancer[39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46]. Somatic alterations in BRCA2 are observed in 5-15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3-4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, renal papillary cell carcinoma, and 2% of renal clear cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thymoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, and glioblastoma multiforme[4,7].

Potential relevance: Individuals possessing BRCA1/2 pathogenic germline or somatic mutations are shown to exhibit sensitivity to platinum based chemotherapy as well as treatment with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi)[47]. Inhibitors targeting PARP induce synthetic lethality in recombination deficient BRCA1/2 mutant cells[48,49]. Consequently, several PARP inhibitors have been FDA approved for BRCA1/2-mutated cancers. Olaparib[50] (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Additionally, olaparib[50] is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Rucaparib[51] is also approved (2020) for deleterious gBRCAm or sBRCAm mCRPC and ovarian cancer. Talazoparib[52] (2018) is indicated for the treatment of gBRCAm HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Additionally, talazoparib[52] in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Niraparib[53] (2017) is another PARPi approved for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers with a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation. Niraparib in combination with abiraterone acetate[54] received FDA approval (2023) for the treatment of deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA-mutated (BRCAm) mCRPC. Despite tolerability and efficacy, acquired resistance to PARP inhibition has been clinically reported[55]. One of the most common mechanisms of resistance includes secondary intragenic mutations that restore BRCA1/2 functionality[56]. In addition to PARP inhibitors, other drugs which promote synthetic lethality have been investigated for BRCA mutations. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex[57], for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Like PARPi, pidnarulex promotes synthetic lethality but through an alternative mechanism which involves stabilization of G-quadruplexes at the replication fork leading to DNA breaks and genomic instability.

MTAP deletion

methylthioadenosine phosphorylase

Background: The MTAP gene encodes methylthioadenosine phosphorylase[28]. Methylthioadenosine phosphorylase, a key enzyme in polyamine biosynthesis and methionine salvage pathways, catalyzes the reversible phosphorylation of S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine (MTA) to adenine and 5-methylthioribose-1-phosphate[64,65]. Loss of MTAP function is commonly observed in cancer due to deletion or promotor methylation which results in the loss of MTA phosphorylation and sensitivity of MTAP-deficient cells to purine synthesis inhibitors and to methionine deprivation[65].

Alterations and prevalence: MTAP is flanked by CDKN2A tumor suppressor on chromosome 9p21 and is frequently found to be co-deleted with CDKN2A in numerous solid and hematological cancers[65,66]. Consequently, biallelic loss of MTAP has been observed in 42% of glioblastoma multiforme, 32% of mesothelioma, 26% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 22% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 21% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 20% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, 15% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 12% of lung adenocarcinoma, 11% of cholangiocarcinoma, 9% of sarcoma, stomach adenocarcinoma and brain lower grade glioma, and 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, thymoma and liver hepatocellular carcinoma[4,7]. Somatic mutations in MTAP have been found in 3% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MTAP aberrations.

KRAS amplification

KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase

Background: The KRAS proto-oncogene encodes a GTPase that functions in signal transduction and is a member of the RAS superfamily which also includes NRAS and HRAS. RAS proteins mediate the transmission of growth signals from the cell surface to the nucleus via the PI3K/AKT/MTOR and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathways, which regulate cell division, differentiation, and survival[1,2,3].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent mutations in RAS oncogenes cause constitutive activation and are found in 20-30% of cancers. KRAS mutations are observed in up to 10-20% of uterine cancer, 30-35% of lung adenocarcinoma and colorectal cancer, and about 60%

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

of pancreatic cancer[4]. The majority of KRAS mutations consist of point mutations occurring at G12, G13, and Q61[4,5,6]. Mutations at A59, K117, and A146 have also been observed but are less frequent[7,8].

Potential relevance: The FDA has approved the small molecule inhibitors, sotorasib[9] (2021) and adagrasib[10] (2022), for the treatment of adult patients with KRAS G12C-mutated locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Sotorasib and adagrasib are also useful in certain circumstances for KRAS G12C-mutated pancreatic adenocarcinoma[11]. The FDA has approved the combination of kinase inhibitors, avutometinib and defactinib[12] (2025), for the treatment of adult patients with KRAS-mutated recurrent low-grade serous ovarian cancer (LGSOC) after prior systemic therapy. The FDA has granted breakthrough therapy designation (2022) to the KRAS G12C inhibitor, GDC-6036[13], for KRAS G12C-mutated NSCLC. The KRAS-G12C/NRAS-G12C dual inhibitor, elironrasib[14], and the KRAS G12C inhibitor, D3S-001[15], were both granted breakthrough therapy designation (2025) for KRAS G12C-mutated locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC in adults previously treated with chemotherapy and immunotherapy, excluding KRAS G12C inhibitors. The KRAS-G12C inhibitor, olomorasib[16], was granted breakthrough designation (2025) in combination with pembrolizumab[17] for unresectable advanced or metastatic NSCLC with a KRAS G12C mutation and PD-L1 expression ≥ 50%. The SHP2 inhibitor, BBP-398[18] was granted fast track designation (2022) in combination with sotorasib for previously treated patients with KRAS G12C-mutated metastatic NSCLC. The RAF/MEK clamp, avutometinib[19] was also granted fast track designation (2024) in combination with sotorasib for KRAS G12C-mutated metastatic NSCLC in patients who have received at least one prior systemic therapy and have not been previously treated with a KRAS G12C inhibitor. The KRAS G12C inhibitor, BBO-8520[20], was granted fast track designation in 2025 for previously treated KRAS G12C-mutated patients with metastatic NSCLC. The RAS inhibitor, daraxonrasib[21], was granted breakthrough designation (2025) for previously treated metastatic pancreatic cancer with KRAS G12 mutations. The KRAS G12D (ON/OFF) inhibitor, GFH-375[22], was also granted fast track designation (2025) for first-line and previously treated KRAS G12D-mutated locally advanced or metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The KRAS G12C inhibitor, D3S-001[23], was granted fast track designation in 2024 for KRAS G12C-mutated patients with advanced unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancers. The PLK1 inhibitor, onvansertib[24], was granted fast track designation (2020) in combination with bevacizumab and FOLFIRI for second-line treatment of patients with KRAS-mutated metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). The EGFR antagonists, cetuximab[25] and panitumumab[26], are contraindicated for treatment of colorectal cancer patients with KRAS mutations in exon 2 (codons 12 and 13), exon 3 (codons 59 and 61), and exon 4 (codons 117 and 146)[8]. Additionally, KRAS mutations are associated with poor prognosis in NSCLC[27].

CDKN2A deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A

Background: CDKN2A encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression[28]. CDKN2A, also known as p16/INK4A, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2B (p15/INK4B), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)[67]. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb[68,69,70]. CDKN2A encodes two alternative transcript variants, namely p16 and p14ARF, both of which exhibit differential tumor suppressor functions[71]. Specifically, the CDKN2A/p16 transcript inhibits cell cycle kinases CDK4 and CDK6, whereas the CDKN2A/p14ARF transcript stabilizes the tumor suppressor protein p53 to prevent its degradation[28,71,72]. CDKN2A aberrations commonly co-occur with CDKN2B[67]. Loss of CDKN2A/p16 results in downstream inactivation of the Rb and p53 pathways, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation[73]. Germline mutations of CDKN2A are known to confer a predisposition to melanoma and pancreatic cancer[74,75].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic alterations in CDKN2A often result in loss of function (LOF) which is attributed to copy number loss, truncating, or missense mutations[76]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in 20% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 15% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 13% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 7% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 4% of lung adenocarcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma[4,7]. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 56% of glioblastoma multiforme, 45% of mesothelioma, 39% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 32% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 31% of skin cutaneous melanoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 28% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 27% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 17% of lung adenocarcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and of brain lower grade glioma, 7% of adrenocortical carcinoma, 6% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of breast invasive carcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma and thymoma, 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe[4,7]. Alterations in CDKN2A are also observed in pediatric cancers[7]. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 68% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 40% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of glioma, 19% of bone cancer, and 6% of embryonal tumors[7]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in less that 1.5% of bone cancer (5 in 327 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 252 cases), and leukemia (1 in 354 cases)[7].

Potential relevance: Loss of CDKN2A can be useful in the diagnosis of mesothelioma, and mutations in CDKN2A are ancillary diagnostic markers of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors[77,78,79]. Additionally, deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging Grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma[80]. Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2A aberrations. However, CDKN2A LOF leading to CDK4/6 activation may confer sensitivity to CDK inhibitors such as palbociclib and abemaciclib[81,82,83]. Alternatively, CDKN2A expression and Rb inactivation demonstrate resistance to palbociclib in cases of glioblastoma multiforme[84]. CDKN2A (p16)

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

expression is associated with a favorable prognosis for progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in p16/HPV positive head and neck cancer[85,86,87,88].

CDKN2B deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B

Background: CDKN2B encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression[28,67]. CDKN2B, also known as p15/INK4B, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2A (p16/INK4A), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)[67]. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb[68,69,70]. CDKN2B is a tumor suppressor and aberrations in this gene commonly co-occur with CDKN2A[67]. Germline mutations in CDKN2B are linked to pancreatic cancer predisposition and familial renal cell carcinoma[28,89,90].

Alterations and prevalence: CDKN2B copy number loss is a frequently occurring somatic aberration that is observed in 55% of glioblastoma multiforme, 43% of mesothelioma, 35% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 31% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 29% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 28% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 27% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 25% of diffuse large B -cell lymphoma, 16% of lung adenocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 14% of cholangiocarcinoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and brain lower grade glioma, 5% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, thymoma, and kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, 3% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe[4,7]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are observed in 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma[4,7]. CDKN2B copy number loss is also observed in pediatric cancers, including 64% of childhood T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 37% of pediatric B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of pediatric gliomas, 14% of pediatric bone cancers, 6% of embryonal tumors, and 2% of peripheral nervous system cancers[4,7]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are observed in less than 1% of bone cancer (1 in 327 cases)[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2B aberrations. Homozygous deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma[80].

BAP1 p.(M1?) c.3G>T

BRCA1 associated protein 1

Background: The BAP1 gene encodes the BRCA1 associated protein 1 that belongs to the ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase subfamily of deubiquitinating enzymes[28]. BAP1 is a tumor suppressor deubiquitinase that is involved in chromatin modification, transcription, and cell cycle regulation[98]. BAP1 deubiquitylation targets include HCF-1, which modulates chromatin structure[98]. Germline mutations in BAP1 are associated with BAP1-tumor predisposition syndrome (BAP1-TPDS), a heritable condition which confers an elevated risk of developing uveal melanoma, malignant mesothelioma, and renal cell carcinoma[99,100,101,102,103,104].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations in BAP1 are observed in 21% of mesothelioma, 19% of cholangiocarcinoma, 16% of uveal melanoma, and 7% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma[4,7]. BAP1 biallelic deletions are observed in 11% of mesothelioma[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for BAP1 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[105]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[106,107]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[108]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[109]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[109]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[110,111,112,113,114]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[107]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[106,107,111,115].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[106,107,116,117]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[116,117].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[17] (2014) and nivolumab[118] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[17] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[17]. Dostarlimab[119] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[112,120]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[121] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[112,122,123]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[123]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[124,125]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[124,125].

PARP1 p.(A502G) c.1505C>G

poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1

Background: The PARP1 gene encodes the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 protein[28]. PARP1 belongs to the large PARP protein family that also includes PARP2, PARP3, and PARP4[58]. PARP enzymes are responsible for the transfer of ADP-ribose, known as poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation or PARylation, to a variety of protein targets resulting in the recruitment of proteins involved in DNA repair, DNA synthesis, nucleic acid metabolism, and regulation of chromatin structure[58,59]. PARP enzymes are involved in several DNA repair pathways[58,59]. In base excision repair (BER), PARP1 recognizes DNA single-strand breaks and is capable of auto-PARylation (self-PARylation) which promotes the recruitment of additional BER enzymes[59,60]. PARP1 is also responsible for sensing DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and assists in end resection during homologous recombination repair (HRR) through the recruitment MRE11 to DSBs[60]. PARylation of histones H1, H2A, and H2B by PARP1 promotes an open chromatin conformation, which allows DNA repair machinery access to sites of DNA damage[61].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in PARP1 are observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of skin cutaneous melanoma, and 3% of adrenocortical carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and colorectal adenocarcinoma[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for PARP1 aberrations. However, PARP inhibition is known to induce synthetic lethality in certain cancer types that are HRR deficient (HRD) due to mutations in the HRR pathway. This is achieved from PARP inhibitors (PARPi) by promoting the accumulation of DNA damage in cells with HRD, consequently resulting in cell death[62,63]. Although not indicated for specific alterations in PARP1, several PARPis including olaparib, rucaparib, talazoparib, and niraparib have been approved in various cancer types with HRD. Olaparib[50] (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Additionally, olaparib[50] is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA1. Rucaparib[51] (2016) was the first PARPi approved for the treatment of patients with either gBRCAm or sBRCAm epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers and is also approved (2020) for deleterious gBRCAm or sBRCAm mCRPC. Talazoparib[52] (2018) is indicated for the treatment of gBRCAm HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Niraparib[53] (2017) is another PARPi approved for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers with a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation.

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily[28,91]. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites[91,92]. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance[93]. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation[93,94,95,96]. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28,

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38[97].

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

TPMT p.(Y240C) c.719A>G

thiopurine S-methyltransferase

Background: The TPMT gene encodes thiopurine S-methyltransferase, a cytosolic enzyme that methylates aromatic and heterocyclic sulfhydryl compounds such as thiopurines[28,126,127]. TPMT is the major enzyme responsible for the metabolic inactivation of thiopurine chemotherapeutic drugs used in the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), including, 6-mercaptopurine, 6-thioguanine, and azathioprine[126,127,128]. Inherited TPMT polymorphisms, including TPMT*2, TPMT*3A, TPMT*3B, TPMT*3C, and TPMT*8, can result in TPMT deficiency, which is characterized by impaired enzymatic activity and confers an increased risk of severe toxicity to thiopurine drugs due to an increase in systemic drug exposure[126,128].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in TPMT are observed in 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and colorectal adenocarcinoma[4,7]. Biallelic loss of TPMT is observed in 1% of stomach adenocarcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and adrenocortical carcinoma[4,7]. Amplification of TPMT is observed in 7% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 6% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 4% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, uveal melanoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and skin cutaneous melanoma, 3% of cholangiocarcinoma, and 2% of breast invasive carcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and liver hepatocellular carcinoma[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for TPMT aberrations.

NOTCH1 deletion

notch 1

Background: The NOTCH1 gene encodes the notch receptor 1 protein, a type 1 transmembrane protein and member of the NOTCH family of genes, which also includes NOTCH2, NOTCH3, and NOTCH4. NOTCH proteins contain multiple epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like repeats in their extracellular domain, which are responsible for ligand binding and homodimerization, thereby promoting NOTCH signaling[170]. Following ligand binding, the NOTCH intracellular domain is released, which activates the transcription of several genes involved in regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, growth, and metabolism[171,172]. In cancer, depending on the tumor type, aberrations in the NOTCH family can be gain of function or loss of function suggesting both oncogenic and tumor suppressor roles for NOTCH family members[173,174,175,176].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in NOTCH1 are observed in 15-20% of head and neck cancer, 5-10% of glioma, melanoma, gastric, esophageal, lung, and uterine cancers[4,7,142]. Activating mutations in either the heterodimerization or PEST domains of NOTCH1 have been reported in greater than 50% of T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia[177,178].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for NOTCH1 aberrations.

RBM10 p.(Q623*) c.1867C>T

RNA binding motif protein 10

Background: RBM10 encodes RNA binding motif protein 10, a member of the RNA binding proteins (RBP) family[28,29]. RBM10 regulates RNA splicing and post-transcriptional modification of mRNA[29,30]. RBM10 is suggested to function as a tumor suppressor by promoting apoptosis and inhibiting cellular proliferation through regulation of the MDM2 and p53 feedback loops, as well as influencing BAX expression[29]. RBM10 has been observed to promote transformation and proliferation in lung cancer, supporting an oncogenic role for RBM10[31,32].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RBM10 are observed in 7% of lung adenocarcinoma, 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 3% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and kidney chromophobe[4,7]. Biallelic loss of RBM10 is observed in 3% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and 2% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[4,7]. Amplification of RBM10 is observed in 5% of

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, and 2% of sarcoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RBM10 aberrations.

Alerts Informed By Public Data Sources

Current FDA Information

Contraindicated Not recommended Resistance Breakthrough Fast Track

FDA information is current as of 2025-09-17. For the most up-to-date information, search www.fda.gov.

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G

izalontamab brengitecan

Cancer type: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Variant class: EGFR L858R mutation

Supporting Statement:
The FDA has granted Breakthrough designation to EGFR/HER3 targeting bispecific antibody-drug conjugate (ADC), izalontamab brengitecan, for the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harboring EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 L858R substitution mutations who experienced disease progression on or after treatment with an EGFR TKI and platinum-based chemotherapy.

Reference:

https://www.onclive.com/view/fda-grants-breakthrough-therapy-designation-to-izalontamab-brengitecan-in-egfr-nsclc

patritumab deruxtecan

Cancer type: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Variant class: EGFR L858R mutation or EGFRi sensitizing mutation

Supporting Statement:
The FDA has granted Breakthrough Therapy designation to a potential first-in-class HER3 directed antibody-drug conjugate, patritumab deruxtecan, for metastatic or locally advanced, EGFR-mutant non-small cell lung cancer.

Reference:

https://www.cancernetwork.com/view/fda-grants-breakthrough-therapy-status-to-patritumab-deruxtecan-for-egfr-metastatic-nsclc

DB-1310

Cancer type: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Variant class: EGFR L858R mutation

Supporting Statement:
The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to the HER3-targeting antibody-drug conjugate, DB-1310, for the treatment of adult patients with advanced, unresectable or metastatic non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer with EGFR exon 19 deletion or L858R mutation and who have progressed after treatment with a third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor and platinum-based chemotherapy.

Reference:

https://www.targetedonc.com/view/novel-her3-adc-receives-fda-fast-track-for-refractory-nsclc

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
osimertinib	●	●	●	●	● (III)
afatinib	●	●	●	●	● (II)
dacomitinib	●	●	●	●	● (II)
gefitinib	●	●	●	●	● (II)
erlotinib + ramucirumab	●	●	●	●	✖
amivantamab + carboplatin + pemetrexed	●	●	●	✖	✖
amivantamab + lazertinib	●	●	●	✖	✖
datopotamab deruxtecan-dlnk	●	●	✖	✖	✖
osimertinib + chemotherapy + pemetrexed	●	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab + erlotinib	✖	●	●	●	✖
erlotinib	✖	●	●	●	✖
osimertinib + carboplatin + pemetrexed	✖	●	✖	✖	✖
osimertinib + cisplatin + pemetrexed	✖	●	✖	✖	✖
BAT1706 + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Allergan) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Biocon) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Celltrion) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Mabxience) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Pfizer) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Samsung Bioepis) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Stada) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
atezolizumab + bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel	✖	✖	✖	●	✖
gefitinib + carboplatin + pemetrexed	✖	✖	✖	●	✖
adebrelimab, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
afatinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
befotertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
bevacizumab, almonertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
catequentinib, toripalimab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
furmonertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
gefitinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
gefitinib, endostatin	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
natural product, gefitinib, erlotinib, icotinib hydrochloride, osimertinib, almonertinib, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
almonertinib, apatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
almonertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
almonertinib, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
befotertinib, icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
bevacizumab, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
CK-101, gefitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
datopotamab deruxtecan-dlnk, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
furmonertinib, osimertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
gefitinib, afatinib, erlotinib, metformin hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
glumetinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
icotinib hydrochloride, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
icotinib hydrochloride, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
icotinib hydrochloride, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
izalontamab brengitecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
izalontamab brengitecan, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
JMT-101, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
osimertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
osimertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
osimertinib, datopotamab deruxtecan-dlnk	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
sacituzumab tirumotecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
sacituzumab tirumotecan, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
savolitinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
SH-1028	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
TY-9591, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
PM-1080, almonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II/III)
SCTB-14, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II/III)
ABSK-043, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
afatinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, adebrelimab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, chemoradiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, dacomitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
amivantamab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
amivantamab, lazertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
atezolizumab, bevacizumab, tiragolumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
befotertinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
bevacizumab, afatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
bevacizumab, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
cadonilimab, chemotherapy, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
camrelizumab, apatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
capmatinib, osimertinib, ramucirumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
catequentinib, almonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
catequentinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
chemotherapy, atezolizumab, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, osimertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
erlotinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
erlotinib, OBI-833	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
furmonertinib, chemotherapy, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
gefitinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
gefitinib, icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
gefitinib, thalidomide	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
icotinib hydrochloride, autologous RAK cell	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
icotinib hydrochloride, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
ivonescimab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
izalontamab brengitecan, almonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
JS-207, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
lazertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
lazertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
lazertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
osimertinib, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
PLB-1004, bozitinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
ramucirumab, erlotinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sunvozertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sunvozertinib, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sunvozertinib, golidocitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
tislelizumab, chemotherapy, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
toripalimab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
toripalimab, bevacizumab, Clostridium butyricum, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
toripalimab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
TY-9591, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
vabametkib, lazertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
YL-202	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
zorifertinib, pirotinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
AP-L1898	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
BH-30643	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
bozitinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
BPI-361175	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
chemotherapy, DZD-6008	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
dacomitinib, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
DAJH-1050766	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
DB-1310, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
dositinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
FWD-1509	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
H-002	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
ifebemtinib, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
MRTX0902	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
necitumumab, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
quaratusugene ozeplasmid, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
RC-108, furmonertinib, toripalimab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
sotiburafusp alfa, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
sotiburafusp alfa, HB-0030	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
sunvozertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
TRX-221	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
WSD-0922	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
alisertib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
almonertinib, midazolam	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
ASKC-202	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
AZD-9592	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
BG-60366	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
BPI-1178, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
catequentinib, gefitinib, metformin hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
DZD-6008	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR p.(L858R) c.2573T>G (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
genolimzumab, fruquintinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
IBI-318, lenvatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
KQB-198, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
LAVA-1223	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
MRX-2843, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
osimertinib, carotuximab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
osimertinib, Minnelide	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
osimertinib, tegatrabetan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
patritumab deruxtecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
repotrectinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
VIC-1911, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
WTS-004	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
YH-013	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
zipalertinib, chemotherapy, glumetinib, pimitespib, quemliclustat	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

BRCA2 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
olaparib	✖	○	✖	✖	● (II)
niraparib	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
rucaparib	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
pamiparib, tislelizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

MTAP deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
AMG 193	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
CTS-3497	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
IDE397	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
MRTX-1719	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
TNG-456, abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

MTAP deletion (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
TNG-462, pembrolizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
ABSK-131	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
GH-56	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
GTA-182	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
HSK-41959	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
ISM-3412	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
PH020-803	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
S-095035	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
SYH-2039	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

KRAS amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
regorafenib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
JAB-23E73	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
ASP-5834	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
BBO-11818, pembrolizumab, cetuximab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
BGB-53038	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
KO-2806	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

CDKN2A deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
palbociclib, abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
AMG 193	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
ABSK-131	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

CDKN2B deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib, abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	***19.95%***
BRCA2	***CNV, CN:1.0***
BRCA2	***LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x1***

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.10(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-09-17. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-09-02. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-09-17. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-09-02. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-09-02. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Pylayeva-Gupta et al. RAS oncogenes: weaving a tumorigenic web. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2011 Oct 13;11(11):761-74. PMID: 21993244
2. Karnoub et al. Ras oncogenes: split personalities. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2008 Jul;9(7):517-31. PMID: 18568040
3. Scott et al. Therapeutic Approaches to RAS Mutation. Cancer J. 2016 May-Jun;22(3):165-74. doi: 10.1097/PPO.0000000000000187. PMID: 27341593
4. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
5. Román et al. KRAS oncogene in non-small cell lung cancer: clinical perspectives on the treatment of an old target. Mol Cancer. 2018 Feb 19;17(1):33. doi: 10.1186/s12943-018-0789-x. PMID: 29455666
6. Dinu et al. Prognostic significance of KRAS gene mutations in colorectal cancer--preliminary study. J Med Life. 2014 Oct-Dec;7(4):581-7. PMID: 25713627
7. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
8. Allegra et al. Extended RAS Gene Mutation Testing in Metastatic Colorectal Carcinoma to Predict Response to Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Monoclonal Antibody Therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Provisional Clinical Opinion Update 2015. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016 Jan 10;34(2):179-85. PMID: 26438111
9. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/214665Orig1s009correctedlbl.pdf
10. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/216340s005lbl.pdf
11. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma [Version 2.2025]
12. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/219616s000lbl.pdf
13. https://assets.cwp.roche.com/f/126832/x/5738a7538b/irp230202.pdf
14. https://ir.revmed.com/node/11881/pdf
15. https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/d3-bio-inc-announces-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-and-orphan-drug-designation-for-d3s-001-for-the-treatment-of-patients-with-kras-g12c-mutated-cancers-302540808.html
16. https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/lillys-olomorasib-receives-us-fdas-breakthrough-therapy-designation-for-the-treatment-of-certain-newly-diagnosed-metastatic-kras-g12c-mutant-lung-cancers-302545643.html
17. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf
18. https://bridgebio.com/news/bridgebio-pharma-announces-first-lung-cancer-patient-dosed-in-phase-1-2-trial-and-us-fda-fast-track-designation-for-shp2-inhibitor-bbp-398-in-combination-with-amgens-lumakras-sotorasib/
19. https://investor.verastem.com/news-releases/news-release-details/verastem-oncology-granted-fast-track-designation-combination
20. https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20250109170439/en/
21. https://ir.revmed.com/news-releases/news-release-details/revolution-medicines-announces-fda-breakthrough-therapy
22. https://investor.verastem.com/news-releases/news-release-details/verastem-oncology-granted-fast-track-designation-vs-7375
23. https://www.d3bio.com/press-releases/d3-bios-d3s-001-receives-u-s-fda-fast-track-designation-for-the-treatment-of-colorectal-cancer-with-kras-g12c-mutation
24. https://cardiffoncology.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Cardiff_Oncology_Investor_Presentation-_July_2021.pdf
25. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/125084s279lbl.pdf
26. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125147s213lbl.pdf
27. Slebos et al. K-ras oncogene activation as a prognostic marker in adenocarcinoma of the lung. N. Engl. J. Med. 1990 Aug 30;323(9):561-5. PMID: 2199829
28. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
29. Cao et al. RBM10 Regulates Tumor Apoptosis, Proliferation, and Metastasis. Front Oncol. 2021;11:603932. PMID: 33718153
30. Zhang et al. RNA binding motif protein 10 suppresses lung cancer progression by controlling alternative splicing of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4H. EBioMedicine. 2020 Nov;61:103067. PMID: 33130397
31. Sun et al. Functional role of RBM10 in lung adenocarcinoma proliferation. Int J Oncol. 2019 Feb;54(2):467-478. PMID: 30483773
32. Loiselle et al. RBM10 promotes transformation-associated processes in small cell lung cancer and is directly regulated by RBM5. PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0180258. PMID: 28662214
33. Liu et al. Distinct functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in double-strand break repair. Breast Cancer Res. 2002;4(1):9-13. PMID: 11879553

References (continued)

34. Jasin. Homologous repair of DNA damage and tumorigenesis: the BRCA connection. Oncogene. 2002 Dec 16;21(58):8981-93. PMID: 12483514
35. Kuchenbaecker et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. JAMA. 2017 Jun 20;317(23):2402-2416. PMID: 28632866
36. Tai et al. Breast cancer risk among male BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2007 Dec 5;99(23):1811-4. PMID: 18042939
37. Levy-Lahad et al. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Br. J. Cancer. 2007 Jan 15;96(1):11-5. PMID: 17213823
38. Chen et al. Penetrance of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Women Who Carry a BRCA1/2 Mutation and Do Not Use Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy: An Updated Meta-Analysis . JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2020 Aug;4(4):pkaa029. PMID: 32676552
39. Petrucelli et al. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. GeneReviews® [Internet]. PMID: 20301425
40. Pruthi et al. Identification and Management of Women With BRCA Mutations or Hereditary Predisposition for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2010 Dec;85(12):1111-20. PMID: 21123638
41. Walsh et al. Mutations in 12 genes for inherited ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinoma identified by massively parallel sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011 Nov 1;108(44):18032-7. PMID: 22006311
42. Alsop et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. J. Clin. Oncol. 2012 Jul 20;30(21):2654-63. PMID: 22711857
43. Whittemore et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutation carriers among U.S. non-Hispanic Whites. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 2004 Dec;13(12):2078-83. PMID: 15598764
44. King et al. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Science. 2003 Oct 24;302(5645):643-6. PMID: 14576434
45. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Br. J. Cancer. 2000 Nov;83(10):1301-8. PMID: 11044354
46. Shao et al. A comprehensive literature review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of pan-cancer BRCA mutations, homologous recombination repair gene mutations, and homologous recombination deficiencies. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2022 Jul;63(6):308-316. PMID: 36054589
47. Hodgson et al. Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes. Br. J. Cancer. 2018 Nov;119(11):1401-1409. PMID: 30353044
48. Bryant et al. Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. Nature. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):913-7. PMID: 15829966
49. Farmer et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. Nature. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):917-21. PMID: 15829967
50. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/208558s031lbl.pdf
51. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf
52. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/217439s003lbl.pdf
53. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/214876s003s004lbl.pdf
54. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/216793s000lbl.pdf
55. Barber et al. Secondary mutations in BRCA2 associated with clinical resistance to a PARP inhibitor. J. Pathol. 2013 Feb;229(3):422-9. PMID: 23165508
56. D'Andrea. Mechanisms of PARP inhibitor sensitivity and resistance. DNA Repair (Amst.). 2018 Nov;71:172-176. PMID: 30177437
57. https://www.senhwabio.com//en/news/20220125
58. Amé et al. The PARP superfamily. Bioessays. 2004 Aug;26(8):882-93. PMID: 15273990
59. Morales et al. Review of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) mechanisms of action and rationale for targeting in cancer and other diseases. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr. 2014;24(1):15-28. PMID: 24579667
60. Ray et al. The multifaceted roles of PARP1 in DNA repair and chromatin remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017 Oct;18(10):610-621. PMID: 28676700
61. Schreiber et al. Poly(ADP-ribose): novel functions for an old molecule. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006 Jul;7(7):517-28. PMID: 16829982
62. Pilié et al. PARP Inhibitors: Extending Benefit Beyond BRCA-Mutant Cancers. Clin Cancer Res. 2019 Jul 1;25(13):3759-3771. PMID: 30760478
63. Lord et al. PARP inhibitors: Synthetic lethality in the clinic. Science. 2017 Mar 17;355(6330):1152-1158. PMID: 28302823

References (continued)

64. Harasawa et al. Chemotherapy targeting methylthioadenosine phosphorylase (MTAP) deficiency in adult T cell leukemia (ATL). Leukemia. 2002 Sep;16(9):1799-807. PMID: 12200696
65. Bertino et al. Targeting tumors that lack methylthioadenosine phosphorylase (MTAP) activity: current strategies. Cancer Biol Ther. 2011 Apr 1;11(7):627-32. PMID: 21301207
66. Katya et al. Cancer Dependencies: PRMT5 and MAT2A in MTAP/p16-Deleted Cancers. 10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-030419-033444
67. Xia et al. Dominant role of CDKN2B/p15INK4B of 9p21.3 tumor suppressor hub in inhibition of cell-cycle and glycolysis. Nat Commun. 2021 Apr 6;12(1):2047. PMID: 33824349
68. Scruggs et al. Loss of CDKN2B Promotes Fibrosis via Increased Fibroblast Differentiation Rather Than Proliferation. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2018 Aug;59(2):200-214. PMID: 29420051
69. Roussel. The INK4 family of cell cycle inhibitors in cancer. Oncogene. 1999 Sep 20;18(38):5311-7. PMID: 10498883
70. Aytac et al. Rb independent inhibition of cell growth by p15(INK4B). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1999 Aug 27;262(2):534-8. PMID: 10462509
71. Hill et al. The genetics of melanoma: recent advances. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2013;14:257-79. PMID: 23875803
72. Kim et al. The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging. Cell. 2006 Oct 20;127(2):265-75. PMID: 17055429
73. Sekulic et al. Malignant melanoma in the 21st century: the emerging molecular landscape. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2008 Jul;83(7):825-46. PMID: 18613999
74. Orlow et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in individuals with cutaneous malignant melanoma. J. Invest. Dermatol. 2007 May;127(5):1234-43. PMID: 17218939
75. Bartsch et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in familial pancreatic cancer. Ann. Surg. 2002 Dec;236(6):730-7. PMID: 12454511
76. Adib et al. CDKN2A Alterations and Response to Immunotherapy in Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2021 Jul 15;27(14):4025-4035. PMID: 34074656
77. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Mesothelioma: Peritoneal [Version 2.2025]
78. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Mesothelioma: Pleural [Version 2.2025]
79. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 1.2025]
80. Louis et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 6: new entity and diagnostic principle recommendations of the cIMPACT-Utrecht meeting on future CNS tumor classification and grading. Brain Pathol. 2020 Jul;30(4):844-856. PMID: 32307792
81. Longwen et al. Frequent genetic aberrations in the cell cycle related genes in mucosal melanoma indicate the potential for targeted therapy. J Transl Med. 2019 Jul 29;17(1):245. PMID: 31358010
82. Logan et al. PD-0332991, a potent and selective inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6, demonstrates inhibition of proliferation in renal cell carcinoma at nanomolar concentrations and molecular markers predict for sensitivity. Anticancer Res. 2013 Aug;33(8):2997-3004. PMID: 23898052
83. von et al. Preclinical Characterization of Novel Chordoma Cell Systems and Their Targeting by Pharmacological Inhibitors of the CDK4/6 Cell-Cycle Pathway. Cancer Res. 2015 Sep 15;75(18):3823-31. PMID: 26183925
84. Cen et al. p16-Cdk4-Rb axis controls sensitivity to a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor PD0332991 in glioblastoma xenograft cells. Neuro-oncology. 2012 Jul;14(7):870-81. PMID: 22711607
85. Vitzthum et al. The role of p16 as a biomarker in nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer. Oncotarget. 2018 Sep 7;9(70):33247-33248. PMID: 30279955
86. Chung et al. p16 protein expression and human papillomavirus status as prognostic biomarkers of nonoropharyngeal head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2014 Dec 10;32(35):3930-8. PMID: 25267748
87. Bryant et al. Prognostic Role of p16 in Nonoropharyngeal Head and Neck Cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2018 Dec 1;110(12):1393-1399. PMID: 29878161
88. Stephen et al. Significance of p16 in Site-specific HPV Positive and HPV Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Clin Oncol. 2013;2(1):51-61. PMID: 23935769
89. Jafri et al. Germline Mutations in the CDKN2B Tumor Suppressor Gene Predispose to Renal Cell Carcinoma. . Cancer Discov.2015 Jul;5(7):723-9. PMID: 25873077
90. Tu et al. CDKN2B deletion is essential for pancreatic cancer development instead of unmeaningful co-deletion due to juxtaposition to CDKN2A. Oncogene. 2018 Jan 4;37(1):128-138. PMID: 28892048
91. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387

References (continued)

92. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
93. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
94. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
95. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
96. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
97. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:OP2100624. PMID: 34860573
98. Murali et al. Tumours associated with BAP1 mutations. Pathology. 2013 Feb;45(2):116-26. PMID: 23277170
99. Wiesner et al. Germline mutations in BAP1 predispose to melanocytic tumors. Nat. Genet. 2011 Aug 28;43(10):1018-21. PMID: 21874003
100. Wadt et al. A cryptic BAP1 splice mutation in a family with uveal and cutaneous melanoma, and paraganglioma. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2012 Nov;25(6):815-8. PMID: 22889334
101. Cheung et al. Further evidence for germline BAP1 mutations predisposing to melanoma and malignant mesothelioma. Cancer Genet. 2013 May;206(5):206-10. PMID: 23849051
102. Njauw et al. Germline BAP1 inactivation is preferentially associated with metastatic ocular melanoma and cutaneous-ocular melanoma families. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(4):e35295. PMID: 22545102
103. Pilarski et al. Expanding the clinical phenotype of hereditary BAP1 cancer predisposition syndrome, reporting three new cases. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2014 Feb;53(2):177-82. PMID: 24243779
104. Popova et al. Germline BAP1 mutations predispose to renal cell carcinomas. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2013 Jun 6;92(6):974-80. PMID: 23684012
105. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
106. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
107. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
108. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
109. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
110. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
111. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
112. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 4.2025]
113. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
114. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
115. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
116. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
117. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
118. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf
119. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
120. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 3.2025]
121. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf

References (continued)

122. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
123. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
124. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
125. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
126. Katara et al. TPMT Polymorphism: When Shield Becomes Weakness. Interdiscip Sci. 2016 Jun;8(2):150-155. PMID: 26297310
127. Yong et al. The role of pharmacogenetics in cancer therapeutics. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2006 Jul;62(1):35-46. PMID: 16842377
128. McLeod et al. Genetic polymorphism of thiopurine methyltransferase and its clinical relevance for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia. 2000 Apr;14(4):567-72. PMID: 10764140
129. King et al. Amplification of a novel v-erbB-related gene in a human mammary carcinoma. Science. 1985 Sep 6;229(4717):974-6. PMID: 2992089
130. Liu et al. EGFR-TKIs resistance via EGFR-independent signaling pathways. Mol Cancer. 2018 Feb 19;17(1):53. PMID: 29455669
131. Zhixiang. ErbB Receptors and Cancer. Methods Mol. Biol. 2017;1652:3-35. PMID: 28791631
132. Gutierrez et al. HER2: biology, detection, and clinical implications. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 2011 Jan;135(1):55-62. PMID: 21204711
133. Pines et al. Oncogenic mutant forms of EGFR: lessons in signal transduction and targets for cancer therapy. FEBS Lett. 2010 Jun 18;584(12):2699-706. PMID: 20388509
134. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature. 2014 Jul 31;511(7511):543-50. doi: 10.1038/nature13385. Epub 2014 Jul 9. PMID: 25079552
135. da et al. EGFR mutations and lung cancer. Annu Rev Pathol. 2011;6:49-69. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathol-011110-130206. PMID: 20887192
136. Arcila et al. EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations in lung adenocarcinomas: prevalence, molecular heterogeneity, and clinicopathologic characteristics. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2013 Feb;12(2):220-9. PMID: 23371856
137. Kobayashi et al. EGFR Exon 18 Mutations in Lung Cancer: Molecular Predictors of Augmented Sensitivity to Afatinib or Neratinib as Compared with First- or Third-Generation TKIs. Clin Cancer Res. 2015 Dec 1;21(23):5305-13. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1046. Epub 2015 Jul 23. PMID: 26206867
138. Yasuda et al. Structural, biochemical, and clinical characterization of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) exon 20 insertion mutations in lung cancer. Sci Transl Med. 2013 Dec 18;5(216):216ra177. PMID: 24353160
139. Chiu et al. Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Treatment Response in Advanced Lung Adenocarcinomas with G719X/L861Q/S768I Mutations. J Thorac Oncol. 2015 May;10(5):793-9. PMID: 25668120
140. Karachaliou et al. KRAS mutations in lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer. 2013 May;14(3):205-14. PMID: 23122493
141. Brennan et al. The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. Cell. 2013 Oct 10;155(2):462-77. PMID: 24120142
142. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
143. Mitsudomi et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor in relation to tumor development: EGFR gene and cancer. FEBS J. 2010 Jan;277(2):301-8. PMID: 19922469
144. Gazdar. Activating and resistance mutations of EGFR in non-small-cell lung cancer: role in clinical response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Oncogene. 2009 Aug;28 Suppl 1:S24-31. PMID: 19680293
145. Gan et al. The EGFRvIII variant in glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Neurosci. 2009 Jun;16(6):748-54. PMID: 19324552
146. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/021743s025lbl.pdf
147. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/206995s004lbl.pdf
148. Riely et al. Clinical course of patients with non-small cell lung cancer and epidermal growth factor receptor exon 19 and exon 21 mutations treated with gefitinib or erlotinib. Clin Cancer Res. 2006 Feb 1;12(3 Pt 1):839-44. PMID: 16467097
149. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/201292s017lbl.pdf
150. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/211288s003lbl.pdf
151. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer [Version 8.2025]

References (continued)

152. Naidoo et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor exon 20 insertions in advanced lung adenocarcinomas: Clinical outcomes and response to erlotinib. Cancer. 2015 Sep 15;121(18):3212-3220. PMID: 26096453
153. Vyse et al. Targeting EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2019;4:5. PMID: 30854234
154. Yi et al. A comparison of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation testing methods in different tissue types in non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Mol Med. 2014 Aug;34(2):464-74. PMID: 24891042
155. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/219839s000lbl.pdf
156. https://investors.cullinanoncology.com/news-releases/news-release-details/fda-grants-breakthrough-therapy-designation-cullinan-oncologys
157. Madic et al. EGFR C797S, EGFR T790M and EGFR sensitizing mutations in non-small cell lung cancer revealed by six-color crystal digital PCR. Oncotarget. 2018 Dec 21;9(100):37393-37406. PMID: 30647840
158. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/208065s033lbl.pdf
159. Niederst et al. The Allelic Context of the C797S Mutation Acquired upon Treatment with Third-Generation EGFR Inhibitors Impacts Sensitivity to Subsequent Treatment Strategies. Clin. Cancer Res. 2015 Sep 1;21(17):3924-33. PMID: 25964297
160. Wang et al. Lung Adenocarcinoma Harboring EGFR T790M and In Trans C797S Responds to Combination Therapy of First- and Third-Generation EGFR TKIs and Shifts Allelic Configuration at Resistance. J Thorac Oncol. 2017 Nov;12(11):1723-1727. PMID: 28662863
161. https://investors.blackdiamondtherapeutics.com//news-releases/news-release-details/black-diamond-therapeutics-announces-corporate-update-and
162. Ciardiello et al. The role of anti-EGFR therapies in EGFR-TKI-resistant advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. 2024 Jan;122:102664. PMID: 38064878
163. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/761210s008lbl.pdf
164. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/219008s000lbledt.pdf
165. https://iis.aastocks.com/20231227/11015917-0.PDF
166. https://www1.hkexnews.hk/listedco/listconews/sehk/2024/1008/2024100800433.pdf
167. https://www.genprex.com/news/genprex-receives-u-s-fda-fast-track-designation-for-gene-therapy-that-targets-lung-cancer/
168. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers [Version 3.2025]
169. Buccoliero et al. Pediatric High Grade Glioma Classification Criteria and Molecular Features of a Case Series. Genes (Basel). 2022 Mar 31;13(4). PMID: 35456430
170. Sakamoto et al. Distinct roles of EGF repeats for the Notch signaling system. Exp. Cell Res. 2005 Jan 15;302(2):281-91. PMID: 15561108
171. Bray. Notch signalling in context. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2016 Nov;17(11):722-735. PMID: 27507209
172. Kopan et al. The canonical Notch signaling pathway: unfolding the activation mechanism. Cell. 2009 Apr 17;137(2):216-33. PMID: 19379690
173. Lobry et al. Oncogenic and tumor suppressor functions of Notch in cancer: it's NOTCH what you think. J. Exp. Med. 2011 Sep 26;208(10):1931-5. PMID: 21948802
174. Goriki et al. Unravelling disparate roles of NOTCH in bladder cancer. Nat Rev Urol. 2018 Jun;15(6):345-357. PMID: 29643502
175. Wang et al. Loss-of-function mutations in Notch receptors in cutaneous and lung squamous cell carcinoma. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011 Oct 25;108(43):17761-6. PMID: 22006338
176. Xiu et al. The role of oncogenic Notch2 signaling in cancer: a novel therapeutic target. Am J Cancer Res. 2019;9(5):837-854. PMID: 31218097
177. Weng et al. Activating mutations of NOTCH1 in human T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Science. 2004 Oct 8;306(5694):269-71. PMID: 15472075
178. Breit et al. Activating NOTCH1 mutations predict favorable early treatment response and long-term outcome in childhood precursor T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2006 Aug 15;108(4):1151-7. PMID: 16614245