

Patient Name: 이덕현
Gender: M
Sample ID: N25-334

Primary Tumor Site: large intestine
Collection Date: 20251125

Sample Cancer Type: Colon Cancer

Table of Contents

Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	2
Relevant Therapy Summary	6

Page

Report Highlights

2 Relevant Biomarkers
0 Therapies Available
3 Clinical Trials

Relevant Colon Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
BRAF	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	PIK3CA	None detected
KRAS	None detected	POLD1	None detected
NRAS	None detected	POLE	None detected
NTRK1	None detected	RET	None detected
NTRK2	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Microsatellite Status	Microsatellite stable
Tumor Mutational Burden	2.84 Mut/Mb measured

HRD Status: **HR Proficient (HRD-)**

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	CTNNB1 p.(T41A) c.121A>G catenin beta 1 Allele Frequency: 38.25% Locus: chr3:41266124 Transcript: NM_001904.4	None*	None*	2
IIC	MYCL amplification MYCL proto-oncogene, bHLH transcription factor Locus: chr1:40362966	None*	None*	1

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists*. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

KMT2D p.(Q3360*) c.10078C>T, MDM2 amplification, Microsatellite stable, TP53 p.(R306*) c.916C>T, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
CTNNB1	p.(T41A)	c.121A>G	COSM5664	chr3:41266124	38.25%	NM_001904.4	missense
KMT2D	p.(Q3360*)	c.10078C>T	.	chr12:49431061	30.08%	NM_003482.4	nonsense
TP53	p.(R306*)	c.916C>T	COSM10663	chr17:7577022	40.88%	NM_000546.6	nonsense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	99.35%	NM_000463.3	missense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	53.56%	NM_000903.3	missense
OR2L8	p.(G196C)	c.586_588delGGCinsT	GT	chr1:248112745	1.94%	NM_001001963.1	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
MYCL	chr1:40362966	6.21	2.48
MDM2	chr12:69202958	5.84	2.34
MYCN	chr2:16082167	1.14	0.7
FGFR3	chr4:1801456	0.91	0.62
TERT	chr5:1253783	1.09	0.68
PRDM9	chr5:23509577	0.99	0.64
RUNX1T1	chr8:92982878	1.04	0.67
FAM135B	chr8:139144776	0.94	0.63

Biomarker Descriptions

CTNNB1 p.(T41A) c.121A>G

catenin beta 1

Background: The CTNNB1 gene encodes catenin beta-1 (β-catenin), an integral component of cadherin-based adherens junctions, which are involved in maintaining adhesion and regulating the growth of epithelial cell layers¹. CTNNB1 binds to the APC protein in the cytoplasm and interacts with TCF and LEF transcription factors in the nucleus to regulate WNT signaling². Steady-state levels of CTNNB1 are regulated by ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis^{3,4,5}. CTNNB1 exon 3 mutations can lead to persistent activation of the WNT/β-catenin pathway and alter downstream nuclear transcription⁶.

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations leading to CTNNB1 activation are common in cancer. The most prevalent alterations include missense mutations in exon 3 at codons S33, S37, T41, and S45 that block phosphorylation by GSK-3β and inhibit CTNNB1 degradation^{6,7,8,9}. These activating mutations are observed in diverse solid tumors and have a prevalence of 20-30% in hepatocellular carcinoma, 20% in uterine carcinoma, and 15% in adrenocortical carcinoma^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16}. Alterations in CTNNB1 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{15,16}. Somatic mutations are observed in 36% of hepatobiliary cancer (4 in 11 cases), 6% of

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

embryonal tumor (21 in 332 cases), 3% of soft tissue sarcoma (1 in 38 cases), 2% of Wilms tumor (11 in 710 cases), and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases) and bone cancer (1 in 327 cases)^{15,16}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies have been approved for CTNNB1 aberrations. CTNNB1 alterations have been proposed to promote cancer progression and limit the response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in EGFR mutant lung cancer¹⁷. Mutation of CTNNB1 is considered an ancillary diagnostic biomarker for desmoid fibromatosis and WNT-activated medulloblastoma^{18,19,20}.

MYCL amplification

MYCL proto-oncogene, bHLH transcription factor

Background: The MYCL gene encodes MYCL proto-oncogene, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor²¹. MYCL is a member of MYC oncogene family that includes related transcription factors, MYC and MYCN which regulate transcription in 10-15% of promoter regions^{21,22}. MYCL, along with MYC and MYCN, control cell proliferation, replication, evasion of growth suppression and cell death²³.

Alterations and prevalence: Amplification of MYCL was first discovered in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell lines and is observed in 8% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 6% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, as well as 3% uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma^{15,16,24}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MYCL aberrations.

KMT2D p.(Q3360*) c.10078C>T

lysine methyltransferase 2D

Background: The KMT2D gene encodes the lysine methyltransferase 2D protein, a transcriptional coactivator and histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) methyltransferase²¹. KMT2D belongs to the SET domain protein methyltransferase superfamily⁷⁴. KMT2D is known to be involved in the regulation of cell differentiation, metabolism, and tumor suppression due to its methyltransferase activity⁷⁴. Mutations or deletions in the enzymatic SET domain of KMT2D are believed to result in loss of function and may contribute to defective enhancer regulation and altered gene expression⁷⁴.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in KMT2D are predominantly missense or truncating and are observed in 29% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 28% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 27% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 22% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 21% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 17% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and 14% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma^{15,16}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for KMT2D aberrations.

MDM2 amplification

MDM2 proto-oncogene

Background: The MDM2 gene encodes the murine double minute 2 proto-oncogene. MDM2 is structurally related to murine double minute 4 (MDM4), with both proteins containing an N-terminal domain that binds p53, a zinc-finger domain, and a C-terminal RING domain⁴⁷. MDM2 and MDM4 are oncogenes that function as negative regulators of the tumor suppressor TP53, and can homo- or heterodimerize with p53 through their RING domains⁴⁷. Specifically, the MDM2 RING domain functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase and is responsible for the polyubiquitination and degradation of the p53 protein when MDM2 is present at high levels⁴⁸. Alternately, low levels of MDM2 activity promote mono-ubiquitination and nuclear export of p53⁴⁸. MDM2 amplification and overexpression disrupt the p53 protein function, thereby contributing to tumorigenesis and supporting an oncogenic role for MDM2⁴⁸.

Alterations and prevalence: MDM2 is amplified in up to 13% of sarcoma, 8% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, glioblastoma, and 7% of adrenal cortical carcinoma^{15,16}. MDM2 overexpression is observed in lung, breast, liver, esophagogastric, and colorectal cancers⁴⁹. The most common co-occurring aberrations with MDM2 amplification or overexpression are CDK4 amplification and TP53 mutation^{50,51}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MDM2 aberrations. Amplification of region 12q13-15, which includes MDM2, is useful as an ancillary diagnostic marker of atypical lipomatous tumor/well differentiated liposarcoma (ALT/WDLS) and dedifferentiated liposarcoma¹⁸.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome⁵². Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{53,54}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR)

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2⁵⁵. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250⁵⁶. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)⁵⁶. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{57,58,59,60,61}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes⁵⁴. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{53,54,58,62}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{53,54,63,64}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{63,64}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab⁶⁵ (2014) and nivolumab⁶⁶ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab⁶⁵ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication⁶⁵. Dostarlimab⁶⁷ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{59,68}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab⁶⁹ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{59,70,71}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁷¹. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{72,73}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{72,73}.

TP53 p.(R306*) c.916C>T

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair²¹. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis²⁵. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential²⁶. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{27,28}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{15,16,29,30,31,32}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{15,16}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{33,34,35,36}. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{15,16}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)^{15,16}. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)^{15,16}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586³⁷ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{38,39}. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma²⁰. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{40,41,42,43,44}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant⁴⁵. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system⁴⁶.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily^{21,75}. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites^{75,76}. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance⁷⁷. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation^{77,78,79,80}. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38⁸¹.

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{15,16}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLC01B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBF, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCN, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFR, PDGFRB, PDI3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLC01B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations (continued)

TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSP02, RSP03, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ● In this cancer type and other cancer types ✕ No evidence

CTNNB1 p.(T41A) c.121A>G

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
FOG-001, nivolumab	✗	✗	✗	✗	● (I/II)
tegatrabetan	✗	✗	✗	✗	● (I/II)

MYCL amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
MRT-2359	✗	✗	✗	✗	● (I/II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	30.77%
RAD54L	LOH, 1p34.1(46714017-46743978)x2

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.10(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-09-17. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-09-02. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-09-17. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-09-02. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-09-02. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Valenta et al. The many faces and functions of β -catenin. *EMBO J.* 2012 Jun 13;31(12):2714-36. PMID: 22617422
2. Korinek et al. Constitutive transcriptional activation by a beta-catenin-Tcf complex in APC-/ colon carcinoma. *Science.* 1997 Mar 21;275(5307):1784-7. PMID: 9065401
3. Aberle et al. beta-catenin is a target for the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *EMBO J.* 1997 Jul 1;16(13):3797-804. PMID: 9233789
4. Winston et al. The SCF β -TRCP-ubiquitin ligase complex associates specifically with phosphorylated destruction motifs in β -catenin and stimulates β -catenin ubiquitination in vitro. *Genes Dev.* 1999 Feb 1;13(3):270-83. PMID: 9990852
5. Kitagawa et al. An F-box protein, FWD1, mediates ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis of β -catenin. *EMBO J.* 1999 May 4;18(9):2401-10. PMID: 10228155
6. Gao et al. Exon 3 mutations of CTNNB1 drive tumorigenesis: a review. *Oncotarget.* 2018 Jan 12;9(4):5492-5508. PMID: 29435196
7. Liu et al. Control of β -catenin phosphorylation/degradation by a dual-kinase mechanism. *Cell.* 2002 Mar 22;108(6):837-47. PMID: 11955436
8. Miyoshi et al. Activation of the β -catenin gene in primary hepatocellular carcinomas by somatic alterations involving exon 3. *Cancer Res.* 1998 Jun 15;58(12):2524-7. PMID: 9635572
9. Morin et al. Activation of β -catenin-Tcf signaling in colon cancer by mutations in β -catenin or APC. *Science.* 1997 Mar 21;275(5307):1787-90. PMID: 9065402
10. Schulze et al. Exome sequencing of hepatocellular carcinomas identifies new mutational signatures and potential therapeutic targets. *Nat. Genet.* 2015 May;47(5):505-511. PMID: 25822088
11. Ahn et al. Genomic portrait of resectable hepatocellular carcinomas: implications of RB1 and FGF19 aberrations for patient stratification. *Hepatology.* 2014 Dec;60(6):1972-82. PMID: 24798001
12. Harding et al. Prospective Genotyping of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Clinical Implications of Next-Generation Sequencing for Matching Patients to Targeted and Immune Therapies. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2018 Oct 29. PMID: 30373752
13. Cancer et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. *Nature.* 2013 May 2;497(7447):67-73. PMID: 23636398
14. Soumerai et al. Clinical Utility of Prospective Molecular Characterization in Advanced Endometrial Cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2018 Dec 1;24(23):5939-5947. PMID: 30068706
15. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nat. Genet.* 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
16. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
17. Blakely et al. Evolution and clinical impact of co-occurring genetic alterations in advanced-stage EGFR-mutant lung cancers. *Nat. Genet.* 2017 Dec;49(12):1693-1704. PMID: 29106415
18. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 1.2025]
19. Moreno et al. High frequency of WNT-activated medulloblastomas with CTNNB1 wild type suggests a higher proportion of hereditary cases in a Latin-Iberian population. *Front Oncol.* 2023;13:1237170. PMID: 37746264
20. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Neuro Oncol.* 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
21. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
22. Dang et al. The c-Myc target gene network. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 2006 Aug;16(4):253-64. PMID: 16904903
23. Bachmann et al. J. Biol. Chem. 2018 Nov 30;293(48):18757-18769. PMID: 30404920
24. Nau et al. L-myc, a new myc-related gene amplified and expressed in human small cell lung cancer. *Nature.* 1985 Nov 7-13;318(6041):69-73. PMID: 2997622
25. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. *J Biomed Res.* 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
26. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Cell.* 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
27. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
28. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
29. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. *Nature.* 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745

References (continued)

30. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature*. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
31. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat. Genet.* 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
32. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. *Nature*. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
33. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. *Hum. Mutat.* 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
34. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. *Genes Cancer*. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
35. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. *Oncogene*. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
36. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. *Hum. Mutat.* 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
37. <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html>
38. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. *Front Oncol.* 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
39. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
40. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. *Blood*. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
41. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
42. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 2.2025]
43. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
44. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
45. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 3.2025]
46. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Nat. Med.* 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
47. Toledo et al. MDM2 and MDM4: p53 regulators as targets in anticancer therapy. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2007;39(7-8):1476-82. PMID: 17499002
48. Zhao et al. The regulation of MDM2 oncogene and its impact on human cancers. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. (Shanghai)*. 2014 Mar;46(3):180-9. PMID: 24389645
49. Helei et al. The role of MDM2 amplification and overexpression in therapeutic resistance of malignant tumors. *Cancer Cell International* volume 19, Article number: 216 (2019). PMID: 31440117
50. Dembla et al. Prevalence of MDM2 amplification and coalterations in 523 advanced cancer patients in the MD Anderson phase 1 clinic. *Oncotarget*. 2018 Sep 4;9(69):33232-33243. PMID: 30237864
51. Momand et al. The MDM2 gene amplification database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1998 Aug 1;26(15):3453-9. PMID: 9671804
52. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
53. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
54. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J.* 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
55. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. *Front Microbiol.* 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
56. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
57. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. *Cancer Res.* 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
58. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. *Carcinogenesis*. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460

References (continued)

59. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 4.2025]
60. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. *Dis. Markers.* 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
61. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
62. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
63. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. *Nat Commun.* 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
64. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
65. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf
66. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf
67. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
68. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 3.2025]
69. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf
70. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
71. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
72. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. *J Pers Med.* 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
73. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
74. Froimchuk et al. Histone H3 lysine 4 methyltransferase KMT2D. *Gene.* 2017 Sep 5;627:337-342. PMID: 28669924
75. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
76. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. *Oncogene.* 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
77. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. *Br J Cancer.* 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
78. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. *Mol Carcinog.* 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
79. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. *Oncotarget.* 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
80. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
81. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:OP2100624. PMID: 34860573