SML Samkwang Medical Lab

삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Report Date: 06 Nov 2025   1 of 11

Patient Name:	강선임	**Primary Tumor Site:**	thyroid
Gender:	F	**Collection Date:**	2025.10.15
Sample ID:	N25-274		

Sample Cancer Type: Thyroid Gland Papillary Carcinoma

Report Highlights

1 Relevant Biomarkers
23 Therapies Available
20 Clinical Trials

Relevant Thyroid Gland Papillary Carcinoma Findings

Gene	Finding
BRAF	*BRAF p.(V600E) c.1799T>A*
NTRK1	None detected
NTRK2	None detected
NTRK3	None detected
RET	None detected

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	*0.0 Mut/Mb measured*

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	*BRAF p.(V600E) c.1799T>A* B-Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase Allele Frequency: 37.30% Locus: chr7:140453136 Transcript: NM_004333.6	**dabrafenib + trametinib** [1] dabrafenib vemurafenib	**binimetinib + encorafenib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **cetuximab + encorafenib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **cetuximab + encorafenib + chemotherapy** [1 / I, II+] **cobimetinib + vemurafenib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **dabrafenib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **dabrafenib + trametinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **vemurafenib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **atezolizumab + cobimetinib + vemurafenib** [1 / II+] **trametinib** [1, 2] encorafenib [I, II+] encorafenib + panitumumab [I, II+] encorafenib + panitumumab + chemotherapy [I, II+] ipilimumab + nivolumab [I, II+] bevacizumab + chemotherapy [I]	20

* **Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA**[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
* **Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance:** NCCN, ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Relevant Biomarkers (continued)

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
			anti-PD-1 [II+]	
			dabrafenib + pembrolizumab + trametinib [II+]	
			ipilimumab [II+]	
			nivolumab [II+]	
			nivolumab + relatlimab [II+]	
			pembrolizumab [II+]	
			dabrafenib + MEK inhibitor	
			selumetinib	
			tovorafenib	

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

⚠ **Alerts informed by public data sources:** ⊘ Contraindicated, 🛡 Resistance, 🚀 Breakthrough, 🅰 Fast Track

BRAF p.(V600E) c.1799T>A   🅰 **plixorafenib** [1]

Public data sources included in alerts: FDA[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources
Microsatellite stable, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, HLA-B deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
BRAF	p.(V600E)	c.1799T>A	COSM476	chr7:140453136	37.30%	NM_004333.6	missense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	50.30%	NM_000463.3	missense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	99.20%	NM_000903.3	missense
HLA-B	p.(I90K)	c.269_270delTCinsAG	.	chr6:31324538	25.00%	NM_005514.8	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
HLA-B	chr6:31322252	0.88	0.67

Biomarker Descriptions

BRAF p.(V600E) c.1799T>A

B-Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase

Background: The BRAF gene encodes the B-Raf proto-oncogene serine/threonine kinase, a member of the RAF family of serine/threonine protein kinases which also includes ARAF and RAF1(CRAF)[10]. BRAF is among the most commonly mutated kinases in cancer. Activation of the MAPK pathway occurs through BRAF mutations and leads to an increase in cell division, dedifferentiation, and survival[11,12]. BRAF mutations are categorized into three distinct functional classes, namely, class 1, 2, and 3, and are defined by the dependency on the RAS pathway[13]. Class 1 and 2 BRAF mutants are RAS-independent in that they signal as active monomers (Class 1)

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

or dimers (Class 2) and become uncoupled from RAS GTPase signaling, resulting in constitutive activation of BRAF[13]. Class 3 mutants are RAS dependent as the kinase domain function is impaired or dead[13,14,15].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in BRAF are observed in 59% of thyroid carcinoma, 53% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 12% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 8% of lung adenocarcinoma, 5% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 2-3% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, glioblastoma multiforme, uterine carcinosarcoma, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[8,9]. Mutations at V600 belong to class 1 and include V600E, the most recurrent somatic BRAF mutation across diverse cancer types[14,16]. Class 2 mutations include K601E/N/T, L597Q/V, G469A/V/R, G464V/E, and BRAF fusions[14]. Class 3 mutations include D287H, V459L, G466V/E/A, S467L, G469E, and N581S/I[14]. BRAF V600E is universally present in hairy cell leukemia, mature B-cell cancers, and prevalent in histiocytic neoplasms[17,18,19]. Other recurrent BRAF somatic mutations cluster in the glycine-rich phosphate-binding loop at codons 464-469 in exon 11, as well as additional codons flanking V600 in the activation loop[16]. BRAF amplification is observed in 8% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 4% of skin cutaneous melanoma, and 2% of sarcoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and glioblastoma multiforme[8,9]. BRAF fusions are mutually exclusive to BRAF V600 mutations and have been described in melanoma, thyroid cancer, pilocytic astrocytoma, NSCLC, and several other cancer types[20,21,22,23,24]. Part of the oncogenic mechanism of BRAF gene fusions is the removal of the N-terminal auto-inhibitory domain, leading to constitutive kinase activation[15,20,22]. Alterations in BRAF are rare in pediatric cancers, with the most predominant being the V600E mutation and the BRAF::KIAA1549 fusion, both of which are observed in low-grade gliomas[25]. Somatic mutations are observed in 6% of glioma and less than 1% of bone cancer (2 in 327 cases), Wilms tumor (1 in 710 cases), and peripheral nervous system cancers (1 in 1158 cases)[8,9]. Amplification of BRAF is observed in 1% or less of Wilms tumor (2 in 136 cases) and B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases)[8,9].

Potential relevance: Vemurafenib[26] (2011) is the first targeted therapy approved for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with a BRAF V600E mutation, and it is also approved for BRAF V600E-positive Erdheim-Chester Disease (2017). BRAF class 1 mutations, including V600E, are sensitive to vemurafenib, whereas class 2 and 3 mutations are insensitive[14]. BRAF kinase inhibitors including dabrafenib[27] (2013) and encorafenib[28] (2018) are also approved for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with BRAF V600E/K mutations. Encorafenib[28] is approved in combination with cetuximab[29] (2020) for the treatment of BRAF V600E mutated colorectal cancer. Due to the tight coupling of RAF and MEK signaling, several MEK inhibitors have been approved for patients harboring BRAF alterations[14]. The MEK inhibitors, trametinib[30] (2013) and binimetinib[31] (2018), were approved for the treatment of metastatic melanoma with BRAF V600E/K mutations. Combination therapies of BRAF plus MEK inhibitors have been approved in melanoma and NSCLC[32]. The combinations of dabrafenib/trametinib[30](2015) and vemurafenib/cobimetinib[33] (2015) were approved for the treatment of patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma with a BRAF V600E/K mutation. Subsequently, the combination of dabrafenib and trametinib was approved for metastatic NSCLC (2017), children with low-grade gliomas, and children and adults with solid tumors (2022) harboring a BRAF V600E mutation[27]. The PD-L1 antibody, atezolizumab[34], has also been approved in combination with cobimetinib and vemurafenib for BRAF V600 mutation-positive unresectable or metastatic melanoma. The FDA has granted fast track designation (2023) to ABM-1310[35] for BRAF V600E-mutated glioblastoma (GBM) patients. In 2018, binimetinib[36] was also granted breakthrough designation in combination with cetuximab and encorafenib for BRAF V600E mutant metastatic colorectal cancer. The ERK inhibitor ulixertinib[37] was granted fast track designation in 2020 for the treatment of patients with non-colorectal solid tumors harboring BRAF mutations G469A/V, L485W, or L597Q. The FDA granted fast track designation (2022) to the pan-RAF inhibitor, KIN-2787[38], for the treatment of BRAF class II or III alteration-positive malignant or unresectable melanoma. The FDA also granted fast track designation (2023) to the BRAF inhibitor, plixorafenib (PLX-8394)[39], for BRAF Class I (V600) and Class II (including fusions) altered cancer patients who have already undergone previous treatments. BRAF fusion is a suggested mechanism of resistance to BRAF targeted therapy in melanoma[40]. Additional mechanisms of resistance to BRAF targeted therapy include BRAF amplification, alternative splice transcripts, as well as activation of PI3K signaling and activating mutations in KRAS, NRAS, and MAP2K1/2 (MEK1/2)[41,42,43,44,45,46,47]. Clinical responses to sorafenib and trametinib in limited case studies of patients with BRAF fusions have been reported[24].

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[48]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[49,50]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[51]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[52]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[52]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[53,54,55,56,57]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[50]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[49,50,54,58].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[49,50,59,60]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[59,60].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[61] (2014) and nivolumab[62] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[61] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[61]. Dostarlimab[63] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[55,64]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[65] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[55,66,67]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[67]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[68,69]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[68,69].

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily[1,70]. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites[70,71]. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance[72]. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation[72,73,74,75]. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38[76].

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma[8,9].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

HLA-B deletion

major histocompatibility complex, class I, B

Background: The HLA-B gene encodes the major histocompatibility complex, class I, B[1]. MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I molecules are located on the cell surface of nucleated cells and present antigens from within the cell for recognition by cytotoxic T cells[2]. MHC class I molecules are heterodimers composed of two polypeptide chains, α and B2M[3]. The classical MHC class I genes include HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C and encode the α polypeptide chains, which present short polypeptide chains, of 7 to 11 amino acids, to the immune system to distinguish self from non-self[4,5,6]. Downregulation of MHC class I promotes tumor evasion of the immune system, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for HLA-B[7].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in HLA-B are observed in 10% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 5% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, 4% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and colorectal adenocarcinoma, 3% of uterine cancer, and 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma[8,9]. Biallelic loss of HLA-B is observed in 5% of DLBCL[8,9].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for HLA-B aberrations.

Alerts Informed By Public Data Sources

Current FDA Information

🚫 Contraindicated   ⛔ Not recommended   🛡 Resistance   🚀 Breakthrough   🪧 Fast Track

FDA information is current as of 2025-09-17. For the most up-to-date information, search www.fda.gov.

BRAF p.(V600E) c.1799T>A

🚀 **binimetinib + cetuximab + encorafenib**

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer   Variant class: BRAF V600E mutation

Supporting Statement:
The FDA has granted Breakthrough Therapy designation to the MEK inhibitor, binimetinib, in combination with cetuximab and encorafenib for BRAF V600E mutant metastatic colorectal cancer.

Reference:

https://markets.businessinsider.com/news/stocks/array-biopharma-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-for-braftovi-in-combination-with-mektovi-and-cetuximab-for-brafv600e-mutant-metastatic-colorectal-cancer-1027437791

🪧 **plixorafenib**

Cancer type: Solid Tumor   Variant class: BRAF V600 mutation

Supporting Statement:
The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to a novel small molecule inhibitor, plixorafenib (PLX-8394), for the treatment of patients with cancers harboring BRAF Class 1 (V600) and Class 2 (including fusions) alterations who have exhausted prior therapies.

Reference:

https://fore.bio/fore-biotherapeutics-announces-fast-track-designation-granted-by-fda-to-fore8394-for-the-treatment-of-cancers-harboring-braf-class-1-and-class-2-alterations/

🪧 **ABM-1310**

Cancer type: Glioblastoma IDH-wildtype (Grade 4)   Variant class: BRAF V600E mutation

Supporting Statement:
The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to ABM-1310 for the treatment of glioblastoma (GBM) patients with BRAF V600E mutation.

Reference:

https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/abm-therapeutics-abm-1310-granted-fast-track-designation-by-the-fda-following-orphan-drug-designation-301937168.html

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

● In this cancer type   ○ In other cancer type   ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types   ✖ No evidence

BRAF p.(V600E) c.1799T>A

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
dabrafenib + trametinib	◑	◑	○	○	✖
dabrafenib	○	◑	○	✖	● (II)
vemurafenib	○	◑	○	✖	✖
cobimetinib + vemurafenib	○	○	○	○	● (II/III)
binimetinib + encorafenib	○	○	○	○	✖
cetuximab + encorafenib	○	○	○	○	✖
trametinib	○	○	○	✖	✖
atezolizumab + cobimetinib + vemurafenib	○	○	✖	✖	✖
cetuximab + encorafenib + FOLFOX	○	○	✖	✖	✖
encorafenib	✖	○	✖	○	✖
dabrafenib + pembrolizumab + trametinib	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
encorafenib + panitumumab	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
encorafenib + panitumumab + FOLFOX	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
selumetinib	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
tovorafenib	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
anti-PD-1	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
bevacizumab + CAPOX	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
bevacizumab + FOLFOX	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
bevacizumab + FOLFOXIRI	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
dabrafenib + MEK inhibitor	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
ipilimumab	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
ipilimumab + nivolumab	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
nivolumab	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
nivolumab + relatlimab	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
pembrolizumab	✖	✖	✖	○	✖
dabrafenib, trametinib, cabozantinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
trametinib, dabrafenib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
dabrafenib, trametinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
plixorafenib, cobicistat	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type   ○ In other cancer type   ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types   ✖ No evidence

BRAF p.(V600E) c.1799T>A (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
vemurafenib, cobimetinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
RX208, serplulimab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
RX208, trametinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
BDTX-4933	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
exarafenib, binimetinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
HSK42360	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
JSI-1187	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
PF-07799933, cetuximab, binimetinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
RO-7276389, cobimetinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
RX208	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
ZEN-3694, binimetinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	*0.0%*
Not Detected	*Not Applicable*

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.10(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-09-17. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-09-02. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-09-17. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-09-02. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-09-02. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804

2. Hulpke et al. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. Trends Biochem Sci. PMID: 23849087

3. Adams et al. The adaptable major histocompatibility complex (MHC) fold: structure and function of nonclassical and MHC class I-like molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:529-61. PMID: 23298204

4. Rossjohn et al. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2015;33:169-200. PMID: 25493333

5. Parham. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005 Mar;5(3):201-14. PMID: 15719024

6. Sidney et al. HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. BMC Immunol. 2008 Jan 22;9:1. PMID: 18211710

7. Cornel et al. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2020 Jul 2;12(7). PMID: 32630675

8. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849

9. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877

10. Yuryev et al. The RAF family: an expanding network of post-translational controls and protein-protein interactions. Cell Res. 1998 Jun;8(2):81-98. PMID: 9669024

11. Cheng et al. Molecular testing for BRAF mutations to inform melanoma treatment decisions: a move toward precision medicine. Mod. Pathol. 2018 Jan;31(1):24-38. PMID: 29148538

12. Alrabadi et al. Detection of driver mutations in BRAF can aid in diagnosis and early treatment of dedifferentiated metastatic melanoma. Mod. Pathol. 2019 Mar;32(3):330-337. PMID: 30315274

13. Quan et al. The association between BRAF mutation class and clinical features in BRAF-mutant Chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients. Journal of Translational Medicine, 29 Aug 2019, 17(1):298. PMID: 31470866

14. Yao et al. Tumours with class 3 BRAF mutants are sensitive to the inhibition of activated RAS. Nature. 2017 Aug 10;548(7666):234-238. PMID: 28783719

15. Bracht et al. BRAF Mutations Classes I, II, and III in NSCLC Patients Included in the SLLIP Trial: The Need for a New Pre-Clinical Treatment Rationale. Cancers (Basel). 2019 Sep 17;11(9). PMID: 31533235

16. Wan et al. Mechanism of activation of the RAF-ERK signaling pathway by oncogenic mutations of B-RAF. Cell. 2004 Mar 19;116(6):855-67. PMID: 15035987

17. Tiacci et al. BRAF mutations in hairy-cell leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2011 Jun 16;364(24):2305-15. PMID: 21663470

18. Diamond et al. Diverse and Targetable Kinase Alterations Drive Histiocytic Neoplasms. Cancer Discov. 2016 Feb;6(2):154-65. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0913. Epub 2015 Nov 13. PMID: 26566875

19. Imielinski et al. Oncogenic and sorafenib-sensitive ARAF mutations in lung adenocarcinoma. J Clin Invest. 2014 Apr;124(4):1582-6. doi: 10.1172/JCI72763. Epub 2014 Feb 24. PMID: 24569458

20. Ciampi et al. Oncogenic AKAP9-BRAF fusion is a novel mechanism of MAPK pathway activation in thyroid cancer. J. Clin. Invest. 2005 Jan;115(1):94-101. PMID: 15630448

21. Palanisamy et al. Rearrangements of the RAF kinase pathway in prostate cancer, gastric cancer and melanoma. Nat. Med. 2010 Jul;16(7):793-8. PMID: 20526349

22. Jones et al. Tandem duplication producing a novel oncogenic BRAF fusion gene defines the majority of pilocytic astrocytomas. Cancer Res. 2008 Nov 1;68(21):8673-7. PMID: 18974108

23. Cin et al. Oncogenic FAM131B-BRAF fusion resulting from 7q34 deletion comprises an alternative mechanism of MAPK pathway activation in pilocytic astrocytoma. Acta Neuropathol. 2011 Jun;121(6):763-74. doi: 10.1007/s00401-011-0817-z. Epub 2011 Mar 20. PMID: 21424530

24. Ross et al. The distribution of BRAF gene fusions in solid tumors and response to targeted therapy. Int. J. Cancer. 2016 Feb 15;138(4):881-90. PMID: 26314551

25. Tan et al. Paediatric Gliomas: BRAF and Histone H3 as Biomarkers, Therapy and Perspective of Liquid Biopsies. Cancers (Basel). 2021 Feb 4;13(4). PMID: 33557011

26. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/202429s019lbl.pdf

27. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/202806s038,217514s009lbl.pdf

28. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/210496s018lbl.pdf

29. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/125084s279lbl.pdf

References (continued)

30.   https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/204114s038,217513s009lbl.pdf

31.   https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/210498s011lbl.pdf

32.   Subbiah et al. Clinical Development of BRAF plus MEK Inhibitor Combinations. Trends Cancer. 2020 Sep;6(9):797-810. PMID: 32540454

33.   https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/206192s006lbl.pdf

34.   https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/761034s057lbl.pdf

35.   https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/abm-therapeutics-abm-1310-granted-fast-track-designation-by-the-fda-following-orphan-drug-designation-301937168.html

36.   https://markets.businessinsider.com/news/stocks/array-biopharma-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-for-braftovi-in-combination-with-mektovi-and-cetuximab-for-brafv600e-mutant-metastatic-colorectal-cancer-1027437791

37.   https://biomed-valley.com/news/#press-releases

38.   https://investors.kinnate.com/news-releases/news-release-details/kinnate-biopharma-inc-receives-fast-track-designation-us-food

39.   https://fore.bio/fore-biotherapeutics-announces-fast-track-designation-granted-by-fda-to-fore8394-for-the-treatment-of-cancers-harboring-braf-class-1-and-class-2-alterations/

40.   Kulkarni et al. BRAF Fusion as a Novel Mechanism of Acquired Resistance to Vemurafenib in BRAFV600E Mutant Melanoma. Clin. Cancer Res. 2017 Sep 15;23(18):5631-5638. PMID: 28539463

41.   Johnson et al. Acquired BRAF inhibitor resistance: A multicenter meta-analysis of the spectrum and frequencies, clinical behaviour, and phenotypic associations of resistance mechanisms. Eur. J. Cancer. 2015 Dec;51(18):2792-9. PMID: 26608120

42.   Nazarian et al. Melanomas acquire resistance to B-RAF(V600E) inhibition by RTK or N-RAS upregulation. Nature. 2010 Dec 16;468(7326):973-7. doi: 10.1038/nature09626. Epub 2010 Nov 24. PMID: 21107323

43.   Rizos et al. BRAF inhibitor resistance mechanisms in metastatic melanoma: spectrum and clinical impact. Clin. Cancer Res. 2014 Apr 1;20(7):1965-77. PMID: 24463458

44.   Shi et al. A novel AKT1 mutant amplifies an adaptive melanoma response to BRAF inhibition. Cancer Discov. 2014 Jan;4(1):69-79. PMID: 24265152

45.   Van et al. The genetic landscape of clinical resistance to RAF inhibition in metastatic melanoma. Cancer Discov. 2014 Jan;4(1):94-109. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0617. Epub 2013 Nov 21. PMID: 24265153

46.   Villanueva et al. Concurrent MEK2 mutation and BRAF amplification confer resistance to BRAF and MEK inhibitors in melanoma. Cell Rep. 2013 Sep 26;4(6):1090-9. PMID: 24055054

47.   Shi et al. Acquired resistance and clonal evolution in melanoma during BRAF inhibitor therapy. Cancer Discov. 2014 Jan;4(1):80-93. PMID: 24265155

48.   Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011

49.   Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754

50.   Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854

51.   Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133

52.   Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339

53.   Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358

54.   Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460

55.   NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 4.2025]

56.   Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785

57.   Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947

58.   Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427

59.   Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546

References (continued)

60. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653

61. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s178lbl.pdf

62. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s131lbl.pdf

63. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf

64. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 3.2025]

65. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s136lbl.pdf

66. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608

67. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982

68. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522

69. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031

70. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387

71. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166

72. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295

73. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693

74. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298

75. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150

76. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:OP2100624. PMID: 34860573