삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Patient Name: 윤종삼
Gender: M
Sample ID: N25-272

Primary Tumor Site: lung
Collection Date: 2025.10.15

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page

Report Highlights
4 Relevant Biomarkers
20 Therapies Available
199 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	***EGFR exon 19 deletion***	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	***1.9 Mut/Mb measured***

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	*EGFR exon 19 deletion* epidermal growth factor receptor Allele Frequency: 17.71% Locus: chr7:55242467 Transcript: NM_005228.5	**afatinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **amivantamab + lazertinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **bevacizumab† + erlotinib** [2 / I, II+] **dacomitinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **erlotinib** [2 / I, II+] **erlotinib + ramucirumab** [1, 2 / I, II+] **gefitinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **osimertinib** [1, 2 / I, II+] **osimertinib + chemotherapy** [1, 2 / I] **amivantamab + chemotherapy** [1, 2 / II+] **BAT1706 + erlotinib** [2] gefitinib + chemotherapy [I] atezolizumab + bevacizumab + chemotherapy [II+]	None*	194

*** Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA**[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
*** Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance:** NCCN, ESMO
† Includes biosimilars/generics
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Relevant Biomarkers (continued)

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	*BRCA2 deletion* BRCA2, DNA repair associated Locus: chr13:32890491	None*	niraparib II+ olaparib II+ rucaparib II+	2
IIC	*CDKN2A deletion* cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A Locus: chr9:21968178	None*	None*	3
IIC	*ATRX deletion* ATRX, chromatin remodeler Locus: chrX:76763769	None*	None*	1

*** Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA**[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
*** Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance:** NCCN, ESMO
† Includes biosimilars/generics
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Alerts informed by public data sources: Contraindicated, Resistance, Breakthrough, Fast Track

EGFR exon 19 deletion	**patritumab deruxtecan** [1]

Public data sources included in alerts: FDA[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

CUL4B deletion, MAP2K7 deletion, Microsatellite stable, TGFBR2 p.(R553C) c.1657C>T, ZRSR2 deletion, BCOR deletion, USP9X deletion, DDX3X deletion, KDM6A deletion, RBM10 deletion, KDM5C deletion, SMC1A deletion, AMER1 deletion, ZMYM3 deletion, STAG2 deletion, PHF6 deletion, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
EGFR	p.(E746_S752delinsV)	c.2237_2255delAATTAAGAGAAGCAACATCinsT	COSM12384	chr7:55242467	17.71%	NM_005228.5	nonframeshift Block Substitution
TGFBR2	p.(R553C)	c.1657C>T	COSM583215	chr3:30732969	11.90%	NM_001024847.2	missense
MAP3K1	p.(M661_L662insPCM)	c.1984_1985insCATGCATGC	.	chr5:56174820	9.02%	NM_005921.2	nonframeshift Insertion
CELF2	p.(?)	c.977-6_977-5insGTTT	.	chr10:11356096	94.64%	NM_006561.3	unknown
PARP4	p.(?)	c.3285_3285+9delinsAGTAAGG	.	chr13:25021145	8.33%	NM_006437.4	unknown
PARP4	p.(?)	c.3285_3285+5delinsAGT	.	chr13:25021149	91.67%	NM_006437.4	unknown

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
BRCA2	chr13:32890491	1	0.88
CDKN2A	chr9:21968178	0.28	0.66

Variant Details (continued)

Copy Number Variations (continued)

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
ATRX	chrX:76763769	0	0.56
CUL4B	chrX:119660593	0	0.6
MAP2K7	chr19:7968792	0.23	0.64
ZRSR2	chrX:15808582	0	0.55
BCOR	chrX:39911340	0	0.59
USP9X	chrX:40982869	0	0.55
DDX3X	chrX:41193501	0	0.52
KDM6A	chrX:44732715	0	0.58
RBM10	chrX:47006798	0	0.55
KDM5C	chrX:53221892	0	0.56
SMC1A	chrX:53406966	0	0.58
AMER1	chrX:63409727	0	0.56
ZMYM3	chrX:70460753	0	0.56
STAG2	chrX:123156472	0	0.59
PHF6	chrX:133511628	0	0.56
ARAF	chrX:47422311	0	0.59
AR	chrX:66766015	0	0.55

Biomarker Descriptions

EGFR exon 19 deletion

epidermal growth factor receptor

Background: The EGFR gene encodes the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a member of the ERBB/human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) tyrosine kinase family[1]. In addition to EGFR/ERBB1/HER1, other members of the ERBB/HER family include ERBB2/HER2, ERBB3/HER3, and ERBB4/HER4[164]. EGFR ligand-induced dimerization results in kinase activation and leads to stimulation of oncogenic signaling pathways, including the PI3K/AKT/MTOR and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathways[165]. Activation of these pathways promotes cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival[166,167].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain (TKD) of EGFR are observed in approximately 10-20% of lung adenocarcinoma, and at higher frequencies in never-smoker, female, and Asian populations[5,6,168,169]. The most common mutations occur near the ATP-binding pocket of the TKD and include short in-frame deletions in exon 19 (EGFR exon 19 deletion) and the L858R amino acid substitution in exon 21[170]. These mutations constitutively activate EGFR resulting in downstream signaling, and represent 80% of the EGFR mutations observed in lung cancer[170]. A second group of less prevalent activating mutations includes E709K, G719X, S768I, L861Q, and short in-frame insertion mutations in exon 20[171,172,173,174]. EGFR activating mutations in lung cancer tend to be mutually exclusive to KRAS activating mutations[175]. In contrast, a different set of recurrent activating EGFR mutations in the extracellular domain includes R108K, A289V and G598V and are primarily observed in glioblastoma[170,176]. Amplification of EGFR is observed in several cancer types including 44% of glioblastoma multiforme, 12% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 10% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 8% of brain lower grade glioma, 6% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of bladder urothelial carcinoma cancer, lung adenocarcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma, 3% of cholangiocarcinoma, and 2% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, sarcoma, and breast invasive carcinoma[5,6,169,176,177]. Deletion of exons 2-7, encoding the extracellular domain of EGFR (EGFRvIII), results in overexpression of a ligand-independent constitutively active protein and is observed in approximately 30% of glioblastoma[178,179,180]. Alterations in EGFR are rare in pediatric cancers[5,6]. Somatic mutations are observed in 2% of bone cancer and glioma, 1% of leukemia (4 in 354 cases), and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), peripheral nervous

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

system cancers (1 in 1158 cases), and embryonal tumors (3 in 332 cases)[5,6]. Amplification of EGFR is observed in 2% of bone cancer and less than 1% of Wilms tumor (1 in 136 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases), and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)[5,6].

Potential relevance: Approved first-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) include erlotinib[181] (2004) and gefitinib[182] (2015), which block the activation of downstream signaling by reversible interaction with the ATP-binding site. Although initially approved for advanced lung cancer, the discovery that drug sensitivity was associated with exon 19 and exon 21 activating mutations allowed first-generation TKIs to become subsequently approved for front-line therapy in lung cancer tumors containing exon 19 or exon 21 activating mutations[183]. Second-generation TKIs afatinib[184] (2013) and dacomitinib[185] (2018) bind EGFR and other ERBB/HER gene family members irreversibly and were subsequently approved. First- and second-generation TKIs afatinib, dacomitinib, erlotinib, and gefitinib are recommended for the treatment NSCLC harboring EGFR exon 19 insertions, exon 19 deletions, point mutations L861Q, L858R, S768I, and codon 719 mutations, whereas most EGFR exon 20 insertions, except p.A763_Y764insFQEA, confer resistance to the same therapies[186,187,188,189]. However, BDTX-189[190] was granted a fast track designation (2020) for the treatment of solid tumors harboring an EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations. In 2022, the FDA granted breakthrough therapy designation to the irreversible EGFR inhibitors, CLN-081 (TPC-064)[191] and sunvozertinib[192], for locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations. In lung cancer containing EGFR exon 19 or 21 activating mutations, treatment with TKIs is eventually associated with the emergence of drug resistance[193]. The primary resistance mutation that emerges following treatment with first-generation TKI is T790M, accounting for 50-60% of resistant cases[170]. Third generation TKIs were developed to maintain sensitivity in the presence of T790M[193]. Osimertinib[194] (2015) is an irreversible inhibitor indicated for metastatic EGFR T790M positive lung cancer and for the first-line treatment of metastatic NSCLC containing EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 L858R mutations. Like first-generation TKIs, treatment with osimertinib is associated with acquired resistance, specifically the C797S mutation, which occurs in 22-44% of cases[193]. The T790M and C797S mutations may be each selected following sequential treatment with a first-generation TKI followed by a third-generation TKI or vice versa[195]. T790M and C797S can occur in either cis or trans allelic orientation[195]. If C797S is observed following progression after treatment with a third-generation TKI in the first-line setting, sensitivity may be retained to first-generation TKIs[195]. If C797S co-occurs in trans with T790M following sequential treatment with first- and third-generation TKIs, patients may exhibit sensitivity to combination first- and third-generation TKIs, but resistance to third-generation TKIs alone[195,196]. However, C797S occurring in cis conformation with T790M, confers resistance to first- and third-generation TKIs[195]. Fourth-generation TKIs are in development to overcome acquired resistance mutations after osimertinib treatment, including BDTX-1535[197] (2024), a CNS-penetrating small molecule inhibitor, that received fast track designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients with EGFR C797S-positive NSCLC who have disease progression on or after a third-generation EGFR TKI. EGFR-targeting antibodies including cetuximab (2004), panitumumab (2006), and necitumumab (2016) are under investigation in combination with EGFR-targeting TKIs for efficacy against EGFR mutations[198]. The bispecific antibody, amivantamab[199] (2021), targeting EGFR and MET was approved for NSCLC tumors harboring EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations. A small molecule kinase inhibitor, lazertinib[200] (2024), was approved in combination with amivantamab as a first-line treatment for adult patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC with EGFR exon 19 deletions or exon 21 L858R mutations. In 2024, a CNS penetrating small molecule, ERAS-801[201] received fast track designation for the treatment of adult patients with EGFR altered glioblastoma. HLX-42[202], an anti-EFGR-antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) consisting of an anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody conjugated with a novel high potency DNA topoisomerase I (topo I) inhibitor, also received fast track designation (2024) for the treatment of patients with advanced or metastatic EGFR-mutated non-small cell lung cancer whose disease has progressed on a third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor. CPO301[203] (2023) received a fast track designation from the FDA for the treatment of EGFR mutations in patients with metastatic NSCLC who are relapsed/refractory or ineligible for EGFR targeting therapy such as 3rd-generation EGFR inhibitors, including osimertinib. The Oncoprex immunogene therapy quaratusugene ozeplasmid[204] (2020), in combination with osimertinib, received fast track designation from the FDA for NSCLC tumors harboring EGFR mutations that progressed on osimertinib alone. Amplification and mutations of EGFR commonly occur in H3-wild type IDH-wild type diffuse pediatric high-grade glioma[115,205,206].

BRCA2 deletion

BRCA2, DNA repair associated

Background: The breast cancer early onset gene 2 (BRCA2) encodes one of two BRCA proteins (BRCA1 and BRCA2) initially discovered as major hereditary breast cancer genes. Although structurally unrelated, both BRCA1 and BRCA2 exhibit tumor suppressor function and are integrally involved in the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway, a pathway critical in the repair of damaged DNA[65,66]. Specifically, BRCA1/2 are required for repair of chromosomal double strand breaks (DSBs) which are highly unstable and compromise genome integrity[65,66]. Inherited pathogenic mutations in BRCA1/2 are known to confer increased risk in women for breast and ovarian cancer and in men for breast and prostate cancer[67,68,69]. For individuals diagnosed with inherited pathogenic or likely pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants, the cumulative risk of breast cancer by 80 years of age was 69-72% and the cumulative risk of ovarian cancer by 70 years was 20-48%[67,70].

Alterations and prevalence: Inherited BRCA1/2 mutations occur in 1:400 to 1:500 individuals and are observed in 10-15% of ovarian cancer, 5-10% of breast cancer, and 1-4% of prostate cancer[71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78]. Somatic alterations in BRCA2 are observed in 5-15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3-4% of cervical squamous

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, renal papillary cell carcinoma, and 2% of renal clear cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thymoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, and glioblastoma multiforme[5,6].

Potential relevance: Individuals possessing BRCA1/2 pathogenic germline or somatic mutations are shown to exhibit sensitivity to platinum based chemotherapy as well as treatment with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi)[79]. Inhibitors targeting PARP induce synthetic lethality in recombination deficient BRCA1/2 mutant cells[80,81]. Consequently, several PARP inhibitors have been FDA approved for BRCA1/2-mutated cancers. Olaparib[82] (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Additionally, olaparib[82] is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Rucaparib[83] is also approved (2020) for deleterious gBRCAm or sBRCAm mCRPC and ovarian cancer. Talazoparib[84] (2018) is indicated for the treatment of gBRCAm HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Additionally, talazoparib[84] in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Niraparib[85] (2017) is another PARPi approved for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers with a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation. Niraparib in combination with abiraterone acetate[86] received FDA approval (2023) for the treatment of deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA-mutated (BRCAm) mCRPC. Despite tolerability and efficacy, acquired resistance to PARP inhibition has been clinically reported[87]. One of the most common mechanisms of resistance includes secondary intragenic mutations that restore BRCA1/2 functionality[88]. In addition to PARP inhibitors, other drugs which promote synthetic lethality have been investigated for BRCA mutations. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex[89], for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Like PARPi, pidnarulex promotes synthetic lethality but through an alternative mechanism which involves stabilization of G-quadruplexes at the replication fork leading to DNA breaks and genomic instability. In 2024, the FDA granted fast track designation to TNG-348[90], a USP1 inhibitor, for the treatment of BRCA1/2 mutated breast and ovarian cancer.

CDKN2A deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A

Background: CDKN2A encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression[1]. CDKN2A, also known as p16/INK4A, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2B (p15/INK4B), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)[103]. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb[104,105,106]. CDKN2A encodes two alternative transcript variants, namely p16 and p14ARF, both of which exhibit differential tumor suppressor functions[107]. Specifically, the CDKN2A/p16 transcript inhibits cell cycle kinases CDK4 and CDK6, whereas the CDKN2A/p14ARF transcript stabilizes the tumor suppressor protein p53 to prevent its degradation[1,107,108]. CDKN2A aberrations commonly co-occur with CDKN2B[103]. Loss of CDKN2A/p16 results in downstream inactivation of the Rb and p53 pathways, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation[109]. Germline mutations of CDKN2A are known to confer a predisposition to melanoma and pancreatic cancer[110,111].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic alterations in CDKN2A often result in loss of function (LOF) which is attributed to copy number loss, truncating, or missense mutations[112]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in 20% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 15% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 13% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 7% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 4% of lung adenocarcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma[5,6]. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 56% of glioblastoma multiforme, 45% of mesothelioma, 39% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 32% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 31% of skin cutaneous melanoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 28% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 27% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 17% of lung adenocarcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and of brain lower grade glioma, 7% of adrenocortical carcinoma, 6% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of breast invasive carcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma and thymoma, 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe[5,6]. Alterations in CDKN2A are also observed in pediatric cancers[6]. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 68% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 40% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of glioma, 19% of bone cancer, and 6% of embryonal tumors[6]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in less that 1.5% of bone cancer (5 in 327 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 252 cases), and leukemia (1 in 354 cases)[6].

Potential relevance: Loss of CDKN2A can be useful in the diagnosis of mesothelioma, and mutations in CDKN2A are ancillary diagnostic markers of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors[20,113,114]. Additionally, deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging Grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma[115]. Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2A aberrations. However, CDKN2A LOF leading to CDK4/6 activation may confer sensitivity to CDK inhibitors such as palbociclib and abemaciclib[116,117,118].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alternatively, CDKN2A expression and Rb inactivation demonstrate resistance to palbociclib in cases of glioblastoma multiforme[119]. CDKN2A (p16) expression is associated with a favorable prognosis for progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in p16/HPV positive head and neck cancer[120,121,122,123].

ATRX deletion

ATRX, chromatin remodeler

Background: The ATRX gene encodes the ATRX chromatin remodeler and ATPase/helicase domain protein, which belongs to SWI/SNF family of chromatin remodeling proteins[1]. The SWI/SNF proteins are a group of DNA translocases that use ATP hydrolysis to remodel chromatin structure and maintain genomic integrity by controlling transcriptional regulation, DNA repair, and chromosome stability through the regulation of telomere length[34,35,36,37]. ATRX is a tumor suppressor that interacts with the MRE11-RAD50-NBN (MRN) complex, which is involved in double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) break repair[38,39,40].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations of ATRX are observed in 38% of brain lower grade glioma, 15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 14% of sarcoma, 9% of glioblastoma multiforme and skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 6% of lung adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma and kidney chromophobe[5,6]. Biallelic deletion of ATRX is observed in 7% of sarcoma, 3% of kidney chromophobe, and 2% of brain lower grade glioma[5,6]. Although alterations of ATRX in pediatric populations are rare, somatic mutations are observed in 6% of gliomas, 4% of bone cancer, 3% of soft tissue sarcoma, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), embryonal tumor (3 in 332 cases), and leukemia (2 in 354 cases)[6]. Biallelic deletion of ATRX is observed in 1% of peripheral nervous system tumors (1 in 91 cases) in and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases)[6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ATRX aberrations. Loss of ATRX protein expression correlates with the presence of ATRX mutations[41,42]. ATRX deficiency along with IDH mutation and TP53 mutation is diagnostic of astrocytoma IDH-mutant as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO)[43,44].

CUL4B deletion

cullin 4B

Background: The CUL4B gene encodes cullin 4B, a member of the cullin family, which includes CUL1, CUL2, CUL3, CUL4a, CUL5, CUL7, and Parc[1,2]. CUL4B belongs to the CUL4 subfamily which also includes CUL4A[3]. CUL4A and CUL4B share greater than 80% sequence identity and functional redundancy[3,4]. Cullin proteins share a conserved cullin homology domain and act as molecular scaffolds for RING E3 ubiquitin ligases to assemble into cullin-RING ligase complexes (CRLs)[2]. CUL4B is part of the CRL4 complex which is responsible for ubiquitination and degradation of a variety of substrates where substrate specificity is dependent on the substrate recognition component of the CRL4 complex[4]. CRL4 substrates include oncoproteins, tumor suppressors, nucleotide excision repair proteins, cell cycle promoters, histone methylation proteins, and tumor-related signaling molecules, thereby impacting various processes critical to tumor development and progression and supporting a complex role of CUL4B in oncogenesis[3,4].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in CUL4B are observed in 9% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of skin cutaneous melanoma, and 2% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, brain lower grade glioma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma[5,6]. Amplification of CUL4B is observed in 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma[5,6]. Biallelic loss of CUL4B is observed in 1% sarcoma and testicular germ cell tumors[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CUL4B aberrations.

MAP2K7 deletion

mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7

Background: The MAP2K7 gene encodes the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7, also known as MEK7[1]. MAP2K7 is involved in the JNK signaling pathway along with MAP3K4, MAP3K12, MAP2K4, MAPK8, MAPK9, and MAPK10[61,62,63]. Activation of MAPK proteins occurs through a kinase signaling cascade[61,62,64]. Specifically, MAP3Ks are responsible for phosphorylation of MAP2K family members[61,62,64]. Once activated, MAP2Ks are responsible for the phosphorylation of various MAPK proteins whose signaling is involved in several cellular processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, and inflammation[61,62,64].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MAP2K7 are observed in 7% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2% of skin cutaneous melanoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma[5,6]. Biallelic deletions are observed in 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and 1% of uveal melanoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MAP2K7 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[142]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[143,144]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[145]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[146]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[146]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[147,148,149,150,151]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[144]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[143,144,148,152].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[143,144,153,154]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[153,154].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[155] (2014) and nivolumab[156] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[155] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[155]. Dostarlimab[157] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[149,158]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[159] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[149,160,161]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[161]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[162,163]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[162,163].

TGFBR2 p.(R553C) c.1657C>T

transforming growth factor beta receptor 2

Background: TGFBR2 encodes transforming growth factor beta receptor 2[1]. Along with TGFBR1 and TGFBR3, TGFBR2 is a member of the TGF-beta receptor family[53]. Both TGFBR1 and TGFBR2 function as serine/threonine and tyrosine kinases, whereas TGFBR3 does not possess any kinase activity[53]. TGFBR1 heterodimerizes with TGFBR2 and activates ligand binding of TGF-beta cytokines namely TGFB1, TGFB2, and TGFB3[53]. Heterodimerization with TGFBR2 enables TGFBR1 to phosphorylate downstream SMAD2/3, which leads to activation of SMAD4[54]. This process regulates various signaling pathways implicated in cancer initiation and progression, including epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) and apoptosis[55,56,57].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in TGFBR2 are observed in 5% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 4% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and cholangiocarcinoma[5,6]. Biallelic deletion of TGFRB2 is observed in 3% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma and 2% of stomach adenocarcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for TGFBR2 aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

ZRSR2 deletion

zinc finger CCCH-type, RNA binding motif and serine/arginine rich 2

Background: The ZRSR2 gene encodes the zinc finger CCCH-type, RNA binding motif and serine/arginine-rich 2 protein, a component of the spliceosome. Specifically, ZRSR2 encodes a splicing factor that is involved in the recognition of the 3' intron splice site[58]. ZRSR2 interacts with components of the pre-spliceosome assembly including SRSF2 and U2AF2/U2AF1 heterodimer[58,59]. Mutations in ZRSR2 can lead to deregulated global and alternative mRNA splicing, nuclear-cytoplasm export, and unspliced mRNA degradation while concurrently altering the expression of multiple genes[58,60].

Alterations and prevalence: ZRSR2 alterations including nonsense and frameshift mutations are observed in 5-10% of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and 4% of uterine cancer. ZRSR2 deletions are observed in 4% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 3% of head and neck and esophageal cancers[6,12].

Potential relevance: Mutation of ZRSR2 is associated with poor prognosis in myelodysplastic syndromes as well as poor/adverse risk in acute myeloid leukemia (AML)[12,22,23].

BCOR deletion

BCL6 corepressor

Background: The BCOR gene encodes the B-cell CLL/lymphoma 6 (BCL6) co-repressor protein, which potentiates transcriptional repression by BCL6[7,8]. BCOR also associates with class I and II histone deacetylases (HDACs), suggesting an alternate mechanism for BCOR-mediated transcriptional repression independent of BCL6[8]. Genetic alterations in BCOR result in protein dysfunction, which suggests BCOR functions as a tumor suppressor gene[9,10,11].

Alterations and prevalence: Genetic alterations in BCOR include missense, nonsense, and frameshift mutations that result in loss of function and have been observed in up to 5% of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), 5-10% of chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML), and 1-5% of acute myeloid leukemia (AML)[5,12,13,14]. Higher mutational frequencies are reported in some solid tumors, including up to 15% of uterine cancer and 5-10% of colorectal cancer, stomach cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, and melanoma[5,6]. Although less common, BCOR fusions and internal tandem duplications (ITDs) have been reported in certain rare cancer types[15,16,17]. Specifically, BCOR::CCNB3 rearrangements define a particular subset of sarcomas with Ewing sarcoma-like morphology known as BCOR::CCNB3 sarcomas (BCS)[18,19]. Alterations in BCOR are also observed in pediatric cancers[5,6]. Somatic mutations are observed in 13% of soft tissue sarcoma, 4% of glioma, 3% of retinoblastoma, 2% of bone cancer, 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 252 cases), and less than 1% of embryonal tumors (3 in 332 cases), leukemia (2 in 311 cases), and Wilms tumor (2 in 710 cases)[5,6]. Other alterations have been reported in clear cell carcinoma of the kidney, a rare pediatric renal malignant tumor, with one study reporting the presence of BCOR ITDs in more than 90% of cases[15].

Potential relevance: BCOR rearrangement, including inv(X)(p11.4p11.22) resulting in BCOR::CCNB3 fusion, is diagnostic of sarcoma with BCOR genetic alterations, a subset of undifferentiated round cell sarcomas[20,21]. Additionally, translocation t(x;22)(p11;q13) resulting in ZC3H7B::BCOR fusion is a useful ancillary diagnostic marker of high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma[20]. Somatic mutation in BCOR is one of the possible molecular abnormality requirements for the diagnosis of myelodysplasia-related AML (AML-MR) and is associated with poor prognosis in AML and MDS[12,13,22,23,24]. In FLT3-ITD negative AML patients under 65 with intermediate cytogenetic prognosis, mutations in BCOR confer inferior overall survival (OS) as well as relapse-free survival (RFS) compared to those without BCOR abnormalities (OS = 13.6% vs. 55%; RFS = 14.3% vs. 44.5%)[14]. Additionally, BCOR ITDs and BCOR::EP300 fusion are molecular alterations of significance in pediatric gliomas[25,26].

USP9X deletion

ubiquitin specific peptidase 9 X-linked

Background: The USP9X gene encodes the ubiquitin specific peptidase 9 X-lined protein[1]. USP9X is a deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) and a member of the ubiquitin-specific protease (USP) subclass of cysteine proteases[45]. DUBs are responsible for protein deubiquitination, thereby counter-regulating post-transcriptional ubiquitin modification of proteins within the cell[45,46]. USP9X has many substrates and is commonly upregulated in several solid tumor types, supporting an oncogenic role for USP9X[46]. Conversely, in some cancer types, USP9X has been observed to function as a tumor suppressor, suggesting its exact role in cancer may be dependent on its subtrates[46]. In breast cancer, USP9X has been shown to stabilize BRCA1 by inhibiting its ubiquitination, thereby influencing the regulation of homologous recombination and repair[46].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations are observed in 16% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 11% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 5% of stomach adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[5,6]. Biallelic deletions are observed in

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 2% of mesothelioma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for USP9X aberrations.

DDX3X deletion

DEAD-box helicase 3, X-linked

Background: The DDX3X gene encodes DEAD-box helicase 3 X-linked, a member of the DEAD-box protein family, which is part of the RNA helicase superfamily II[1,130]. DEAD-box helicases contain twelve conserved motifs including a "DEAD" domain which is characterized by a conserved amino acid sequence of Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp (DEAD)[130,131,132,133]. In DEAD-box proteins, the DEAD domain interacts with β- and γ-phosphates of ATP through Mg2+ and is required for ATP hydrolysis[130]. DDX3X is involved in several processes including the unwinding of double-stranded RNA, splicing of pre-mRNA, RNA export, transcription, and translation[134,135,136,137,138,139,140,141]. Deregulation of DDX3X has been shown to impact cancer progression by modulating proliferation, metastasis, and drug resistance[134].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in DDX3X are observed in 9% of skin cutaneous melanoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 7% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[5,6]. Biallelic loss of DDX3X is observed in 4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and 2% of mesothelioma and lung squamous cell carcinoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for DDX3X aberrations.

KDM6A deletion

lysine demethylase 6A

Background: The KDM6A gene encodes the lysine demethylase 6A protein[1]. KDM6A is a histone demethylase that belongs to the KDM6 family of histone H3 lysine demethylases that also includes KDM6B and KDM6C[91]. Methylation of histone lysine and arginine residues functions to regulate transcription and the DNA damage response, specifically in the recruitment of DNA repair proteins and transcriptional repression[32]. KDM6A removes methylation of di- and trimethylated histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27)[31,91]. KDM6A also interacts with various transcription factors as well as KMT2C, KMT2D, and CBP/p300 chromatin-modifying enzymes, and the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex to facilitate transcriptional regulation[91]. Mutations in KDM6A lead to activation of the histone methyltransferase, EZH2, resulting in transcriptional repression[91]. KDM6A is believed to function as a tumor suppressor by antagonizing EZH2-mediated transcriptional repression and promoting transcriptional regulation[91,92].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in KDM6A are observed in 26% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 7% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of skin cutaneous melanoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and 4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[5,6]. Biallelic loss of KDM6A is observed in 8% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 4% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and pancreatic adenocarcinoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for KDM6A aberrations. Pre-clinical data suggest that KDM6A loss of function or inactivating mutations may respond to EZH2 inhibitors[92].

RBM10 deletion

RNA binding motif protein 10

Background: RBM10 encodes RNA binding motif protein 10, a member of the RNA binding proteins (RBP) family[1,27]. RBM10 regulates RNA splicing and post-transcriptional modification of mRNA[27,28]. RBM10 is suggested to function as a tumor suppressor by promoting apoptosis and inhibiting cellular proliferation through regulation of the MDM2 and p53 feedback loops, as well as influencing BAX expression[27]. RBM10 has been observed to promote transformation and proliferation in lung cancer, supporting an oncogenic role for RBM10[29,30].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RBM10 are observed in 7% of lung adenocarcinoma, 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 3% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and kidney chromophobe[5,6]. Biallelic loss of RBM10 is observed in 3% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and 2% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[5,6]. Amplification of RBM10 is observed in 5% of

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, and 2% of sarcoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RBM10 aberrations.

KDM5C deletion

lysine demethylase 5C

Background: The KDM5C gene encodes the lysine demethylase 5C protein, a histone demethylase, also known as JARID1C[1,31]. Methylation of histone lysine and arginine residues functions to regulate transcription and DNA damage response[32]. KDM5C removes methylation of di- and trimethylated histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) and is involved in the repression of transcription in response to DNA damage[31,32]. KDM5C alterations result in aberrant H3K4 trimethylation at active replication origins which can lead to stalled DNA replication[33].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in KDM5C are observed in 9% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, 4% of lung adenocarcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma[5,6]. Biallelic loss of KDM5C is observed in 3% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and 2% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for KDM5C aberrations.

SMC1A deletion

structural maintenance of chromosomes 1A

Background: SMC1A encodes the structural maintenance of chromosomes 1A and belongs to structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMCs) family, which consists of SMC1A, SMC1B, SMC2, SMC3, SMC4, SMC5, and SMC6[1,99,100]. As a part of the cohesion-core complex, SMC1A plays a crucial role in chromosome segregation during mitosis and meiosis[99,101]. SMC1A also plays a role in cell cycle regulation, DNA damage repair, gene transcription regulation, and genomic organization[99]. SMC1A aberrations, including overexpression, have been observed in several cancer types and have been proposed to promote tumor formation and epithelial to mesenchymal transition[100,102].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in SMC1A are observed in 11% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of skin cutaneous melanoma and acute myeloid leukemia, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and bladder urothelial carcinoma, 3% cervical squamous cell carcinoma and glioblastoma multiforme, 2% diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma[5,6]. Amplification of SMC1A is found in 4% of diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma, 3% of sarcoma, and 2% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma[5,6]. Biallelic loss of SMC1A is found in 3% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and 2% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for SMC1A aberrations.

AMER1 deletion

APC membrane recruitment protein 1

Background: The AMER1 gene encodes APC membrane recruitment protein 1[1]. AMER1 works in complex with CTNNB1, APC, AXIN1, and AXIN2 to regulate the WNT pathway[1,124]. The WNT signaling pathway is responsible for regulating several key components during embryogenesis and has been observed to be involved in tumorigenesis[125,126]. Consequently, the WNT signaling pathway is a target for therapeutic response in various cancer types[126]. The AMER1 gene is located on the X chromosome and is commonly inactivated in Wilms tumor, a pediatric kidney cancer[127]. AMER1 has also been observed to influence cell proliferation, tumorigenesis, migration, invasion, and cell cycle arrest[124].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations of AMER1 are observed in 13% of colorectal adenocarcinoma,10% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 8% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of lung adenocarcinoma, 4% of stomach adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and breast invasive carcinoma[5,6]. Biallelic deletion of AMER1 is observed in 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, diffuse large b-cell lymphoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, and 1% of stomach adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma[5,6].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for AMER1 aberrations.

ZMYM3 deletion

zinc finger MYM-type containing 3

Background: The ZMYM3 gene encodes the zinc finger MYM-type containing 3 protein[1]. While the function is not fully understood, ZMYM3 is capable of binding histones and DNA, and may facilitate the repair of double-strand breaks (DSBs)[128].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in ZMYM3 are observed in 12% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 3% of lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and bladder urothelial carcinoma[5,6]. In prostate cancer, ZMYM3 mutations have been observed to be enriched in African American men compared to white men with one study demonstrating occurrence in 11.7% vs. 2.7% of patients, respectively[129]. Biallelic deletion of ZMYM3 is observed in 3% of cholangiocarcinoma and 2% of sarcoma and kidney chromophobe[5,6].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ZMYM3 aberrations.

STAG2 deletion

stromal antigen 2

Background: The STAG2 gene encodes the stromal antigen 2 protein, one of the core proteins in the cohesin complex, which regulates the separation of sister chromatids during cell division[47,48]. Components of the cohesion complex include SMC1A, SMC3, and RAD21, which bind to STAG1/STAG2 paralogs[49,50]. Inactivating mutations in STAG2 contribute to X-linked neurodevelopmental disorders, aneuploidy, and chromosomal instability in cancer[49,51].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in STAG2 include nonsense, frameshift, splice site variants[12]. Somatic mutations in STAG2 are observed in various solid tumors including 14% of bladder cancer, 10% of uterine cancer, 3% of stomach cancer, and 4% of lung adenocarcinoma[6]. In addition, mutations in STAG2 are observed in 5-10% of myelodysplastic syndrome(MDS), 3% of acute myeloid leukemia, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma[6,12].

Potential relevance: Mutations in STAG2 are associated with poor prognosis and adverse risk in MDS and Acute Myeloid Leukemia[12,22,23]. Truncating mutations in STAG2 lead to a loss of function in bladder cancer and are often identified as an early event associated with low grade and stage tumors[52].

PHF6 deletion

PHD finger protein 6

Background: The PHF6 gene encodes the plant homeodomain (PHD) finger protein 6 which contains four nuclear localization signals and two imperfect PHD zinc finger domains. PHF6 is a tumor suppressor that interacts with the nucleosome remodeling deacetylase (NuRD) complex, which regulates nucleosome positioning and transcription of genes involved in development and cell-cycle progression[93,94].

Alterations and prevalence: The majority of PHF6 aberrations are nonsense, frameshift (70%), or missense (30%) mutations, which result in complete loss of protein expression[93,95,96,97]. Truncating or missense mutations in PHF6 are observed in 38% of adult and 16% of pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL), 20-25% of mixed phenotype acute leukemias (MPAL), and 3% of AML, and 2.6% of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[95,97]. Missense mutations recurrently involve codon C215 and the second zinc finger domain of PHF6[95]. PHF6 mutations are frequently observed in hematologic malignancies from male patients[93,95].

Potential relevance: Somatic mutations in PHF6 are associated with reduced overall survival in AML patients treated with high-dose induction chemotherapy[98].

Alerts Informed By Public Data Sources

Current FDA Information

Contraindicated Not recommended Resistance Breakthrough Fast Track

FDA information is current as of 2025-05-14. For the most up-to-date information, search www.fda.gov.

EGFR exon 19 deletion

patritumab deruxtecan

Cancer type: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Variant class: EGFR exon 19 deletion or EGFRi sensitizing mutation

Supporting Statement:
The FDA has granted Breakthrough Therapy designation to a potential first-in-class HER3 directed antibody-drug conjugate, patritumab deruxtecan, for metastatic or locally advanced, EGFR-mutant non-small cell lung cancer.

Reference:

https://www.cancernetwork.com/view/fda-grants-breakthrough-therapy-status-to-patritumab-deruxtecan-for-egfr-metastatic-nsclc

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations (continued)

TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR exon 19 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
osimertinib	●	●	●	●	● (III)
afatinib	●	●	●	●	● (II)
dacomitinib	●	●	●	●	● (II)
gefitinib	●	●	●	●	● (II)
erlotinib + ramucirumab	●	●	●	●	✖
amivantamab + carboplatin + pemetrexed	●	●	●	✖	✖
amivantamab + lazertinib	●	●	●	✖	✖
osimertinib + chemotherapy + pemetrexed	●	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab + erlotinib	✖	●	●	●	✖
erlotinib	✖	●	●	●	✖

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR exon 19 deletion (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
osimertinib + carboplatin + pemetrexed	✖	●	✖	✖	✖
osimertinib + cisplatin + pemetrexed	✖	●	✖	✖	✖
BAT1706 + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Allergan) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Biocon) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Celltrion) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Mabxience) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Pfizer) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Samsung Bioepis) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
bevacizumab (Stada) + erlotinib	✖	✖	●	✖	✖
atezolizumab + bevacizumab + carboplatin + paclitaxel	✖	✖	✖	●	✖
gefitinib + carboplatin + pemetrexed	✖	✖	✖	●	✖
adebrelimab, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
afatinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
befotertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
bevacizumab, almonertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
catequentinib, toripalimab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
gefitinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
gefitinib, endostatin	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
natural product, gefitinib, erlotinib, icotinib hydrochloride, osimertinib, almonertinib, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (IV)
almonertinib, apatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
almonertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
almonertinib, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
almonertinib, radiation therapy, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
befotertinib, icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
bevacizumab, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
BL-B01D1	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR exon 19 deletion (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
BL-B01D1, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
CK-101, gefitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
datopotamab deruxtecan, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
FHND9041, afatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
furmonertinib, osimertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
gefitinib, afatinib, erlotinib, metformin hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
icotinib hydrochloride, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
icotinib hydrochloride, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
icotinib hydrochloride, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
JMT-101, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
osimertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
osimertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
osimertinib, datopotamab deruxtecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
sacituzumab tirumotecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
sacituzumab tirumotecan, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
savolitinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
SH-1028	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
targeted therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
TY-9591, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (III)
SCTB-14, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II/III)
ABSK-043, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, adebrelimab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, chemoradiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
almonertinib, dacomitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
amivantamab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
amivantamab, lazertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR exon 19 deletion (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
atezolizumab, bevacizumab, tiragolumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
befotertinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
bevacizumab, afatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
bevacizumab, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
cadonilimab, chemotherapy, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
camrelizumab, apatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
capmatinib, osimertinib, ramucirumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
catequentinib, almonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
chemotherapy, atezolizumab, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
dacomitinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, osimertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
erlotinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
erlotinib, OBI-833	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, chemotherapy, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
furmonertinib, icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
gefitinib, bevacizumab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
gefitinib, icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
gefitinib, thalidomide	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
icotinib hydrochloride, autologous RAK cell	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
icotinib hydrochloride, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
ivonescimab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
lazertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
lazertinib, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR exon 19 deletion (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
lazertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
lenvatinib, pembrolizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
osimertinib, chemoradiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
osimertinib, radiation therapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
PLB-1004, bozitinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
ramucirumab, erlotinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sacituzumab govitecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sacituzumab tirumotecan, chemotherapy, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sunvozertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sunvozertinib, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sunvozertinib, golidocitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
tislelizumab, chemotherapy, bevacizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
toripalimab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
toripalimab, bevacizumab, Clostridium butyricum, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
toripalimab, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
TY-9591, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
zorifertinib, pirotinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
AFM-24_I, atezolizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
almonertinib, icotinib hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
benmelstobart, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
BH-30643	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
bozitinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
BPI-361175	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
cetrelimab, amivantamab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
dacomitinib, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
DAJH-1050766	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
DB-1310, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
dositinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
FWD-1509	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR exon 19 deletion (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
H-002	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
ifebemtinib, furmonertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
MRTX0902	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
necitumumab, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
quaratusugene ozeplasmid, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
RC-108, furmonertinib, toripalimab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
sotiburafusp alfa, HB-0030	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
sunvozertinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
TAS-3351	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
TQ-B3525, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
TRX-221	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
WSD-0922	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
afatinib, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
alisertib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
almonertinib, midazolam	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
ASKC-202	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
AZD-9592	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
BG-60366	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
BPI-1178, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
catequentinib, gefitinib, metformin hydrochloride	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
DZD-6008	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, catequentinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
genolimzumab, fruquintinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
IBI-318, lenvatinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
KQB-198, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
LAVA-1223	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
MRX-2843, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
osimertinib, carotuximab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
osimertinib, Minnelide	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types ✖ No evidence

EGFR exon 19 deletion (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
osimertinib, tegatrabetan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
patritumab deruxtecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
PB-101 (Precision Biotech Taiwan Corp), EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
repotrectinib, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
VIC-1911, osimertinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
WJ13404	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
WTS-004	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
YH-013	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
YL-202	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

BRCA2 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
olaparib	✖	○	✖	✖	● (II)
niraparib	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
rucaparib	✖	○	✖	✖	✖
pamiparib, tislelizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

CDKN2A deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
palbociclib, abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
AMG 193	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)

ATRX deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pamiparib, tislelizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	***10.67%***
BRCA2	***CNV, CN:1.0***
BRCA2	***LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x1***

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
2. Sarikas et al. The cullin protein family. Genome Biol. 2011;12(4):220. PMID: 21554755
3. Sang et al. The role and mechanism of CRL4 E3 ubiquitin ligase in cancer and its potential therapy implications. Oncotarget. 2015 Dec 15;6(40):42590-602. PMID: 26460955
4. Cheng et al. The emerging role for Cullin 4 family of E3 ligases in tumorigenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer. 2019 Jan;1871(1):138-159. PMID: 30602127
5. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
6. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
7. Gearhart et al. Polycomb group and SCF ubiquitin ligases are found in a novel BCOR complex that is recruited to BCL6 targets. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2006 Sep;26(18):6880-9. PMID: 16943429
8. Huynh et al. BCoR, a novel corepressor involved in BCL-6 repression. Genes Dev. 2000 Jul 15;14(14):1810-23. PMID: 10898795
9. Kelly et al. Bcor loss perturbs myeloid differentiation and promotes leukaemogenesis. Nat Commun. 2019 Mar 22;10(1):1347. PMID: 30902969
10. Cao et al. BCOR regulates myeloid cell proliferation and differentiation. Leukemia. 2016 May;30(5):1155-65. PMID: 26847029
11. Yamamoto et al. Clarifying the impact of polycomb complex component disruption in human cancers. Mol. Cancer Res. 2014 Apr;12(4):479-84. PMID: 24515802
12. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
13. Damm et al. BCOR and BCORL1 mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes and related disorders. Blood. 2013 Oct 31;122(18):3169-77. PMID: 24047651
14. Terada et al. Usefulness of BCOR gene mutation as a prognostic factor in acute myeloid leukemia with intermediate cytogenetic prognosis. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2018 Aug;57(8):401-408. PMID: 29663558
15. Wong et al. Clear cell sarcomas of the kidney are characterised by BCOR gene abnormalities, including exon 15 internal tandem duplications and BCOR-CCNB3 gene fusion. Histopathology. 2018 Jan;72(2):320-329. PMID: 28833375
16. Cramer et al. Successful Treatment of Recurrent Primitive Myxoid Mesenchymal Tumor of Infancy With BCOR Internal Tandem Duplication. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2017 Jul;15(7):868-871. PMID: 28687574
17. Peters et al. BCOR-CCNB3 fusions are frequent in undifferentiated sarcomas of male children. Mod. Pathol. 2015 Apr;28(4):575-86. PMID: 25360585
18. Puls et al. BCOR-CCNB3 (Ewing-like) sarcoma: a clinicopathologic analysis of 10 cases, in comparison with conventional Ewing sarcoma. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. 2014 Oct;38(10):1307-18. PMID: 24805859
19. Kao et al. BCOR-CCNB3 Fusion Positive Sarcomas: A Clinicopathologic and Molecular Analysis of 36 Cases With Comparison to Morphologic Spectrum and Clinical Behavior of Other Round Cell Sarcomas. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. 2018 May;42(5):604-615. PMID: 29300189
20. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 5.2024]
21. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Bone Cancer [Version 2.2025]
22. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
23. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
24. Khoury et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. Leukemia. 2022 Jul;36(7):1703-1719. PMID: 35732831
25. Torre et al. Recurrent EP300-BCOR Fusions in Pediatric Gliomas With Distinct Clinicopathologic Features. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2019 Apr 1;78(4):305-314. PMID: 30816933
26. Wang et al. Clinical, pathological, and molecular features of central nervous system tumors with BCOR internal tandem duplication. Pathol Res Pract. 2024 Jul;259:155367. PMID: 38797130
27. Cao et al. RBM10 Regulates Tumor Apoptosis, Proliferation, and Metastasis. Front Oncol. 2021;11:603932. PMID: 33718153
28. Zhang et al. RNA binding motif protein 10 suppresses lung cancer progression by controlling alternative splicing of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4H. EBioMedicine. 2020 Nov;61:103067. PMID: 33130397
29. Sun et al. Functional role of RBM10 in lung adenocarcinoma proliferation. Int J Oncol. 2019 Feb;54(2):467-478. PMID: 30483773
30. Loiselle et al. RBM10 promotes transformation-associated processes in small cell lung cancer and is directly regulated by RBM5. PLoS One. 2017;12(6):e0180258. PMID: 28662214

References (continued)

31. Iwase et al. The X-linked mental retardation gene SMCX/JARID1C defines a family of histone H3 lysine 4 demethylases. Cell. 2007 Mar 23;128(6):1077-88. PMID: 17320160
32. Gong et al. Histone methylation and the DNA damage response. Mutat Res. 2017 Sep 23;780:37-47. PMID: 31395347
33. Rondinelli et al. H3K4me3 demethylation by the histone demethylase KDM5C/JARID1C promotes DNA replication origin firing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 Mar 11;43(5):2560-74. PMID: 25712104
34. Ryan et al. Snf2-family proteins: chromatin remodellers for any occasion. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2011 Oct;15(5):649-56. PMID: 21862382
35. Heyer et al. Rad54: the Swiss Army knife of homologous recombination?. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34(15):4115-25. PMID: 16935872
36. Matsuda et al. Mutations in the RAD54 recombination gene in primary cancers. Oncogene. 1999 Jun 3;18(22):3427-30. PMID: 10362365
37. Abedalthagafi et al. The alternative lengthening of telomere phenotype is significantly associated with loss of ATRX expression in high-grade pediatric and adult astrocytomas: a multi-institutional study of 214 astrocytomas. Mod. Pathol. 2013 Nov;26(11):1425-32. PMID: 23765250
38. Clynes et al. ATRX dysfunction induces replication defects in primary mouse cells. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(3):e92915. PMID: 24651726
39. Tang et al. A novel transcription regulatory complex containing death domain-associated protein and the ATR-X syndrome protein. J. Biol. Chem. 2004 May 7;279(19):20369-77. PMID: 14990586
40. Xue et al. The ATRX syndrome protein forms a chromatin-remodeling complex with Daxx and localizes in promyelocytic leukemia nuclear bodies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2003 Sep 16;100(19):10635-40. PMID: 12953102
41. Pisapia. The Updated World Health Organization Glioma Classification: Cellular and Molecular Origins of Adult Infiltrating Gliomas. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 2017 Dec;141(12):1633-1645. PMID: 29189064
42. Jiao et al. Frequent ATRX, CIC, FUBP1 and IDH1 mutations refine the classification of malignant gliomas. Oncotarget. 2012 Jul;3(7):709-22. PMID: 22869205
43. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
44. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Central Nervous System Cancers [Version 5.2024]
45. Dufner et al. Ubiquitin-specific protease 8 (USP8/UBPy): a prototypic multidomain deubiquitinating enzyme with pleiotropic functions. Biochem Soc Trans. 2019 Dec 20;47(6):1867-1879. PMID: 31845722
46. Lu et al. USP9X stabilizes BRCA1 and confers resistance to DNA-damaging agents in human cancer cells. Cancer Med. 2019 Nov;8(15):6730-6740. PMID: 31512408
47. Mehta et al. Cohesin: functions beyond sister chromatid cohesion. FEBS Lett. 2013 Aug 2;587(15):2299-312. PMID: 23831059
48. Aquila et al. The role of STAG2 in bladder cancer. Pharmacol. Res. 2018 May;131:143-149. PMID: 29501732
49. Mullegama et al. De novo loss-of-function variants in STAG2 are associated with developmental delay, microcephaly, and congenital anomalies. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 2017 May;173(5):1319-1327. PMID: 28296084
50. van et al. Synthetic lethality between the cohesin subunits STAG1 and STAG2 in diverse cancer contexts. Elife. 2017 Jul 10;6. PMID: 28691904
51. Solomon et al. Mutational inactivation of STAG2 causes aneuploidy in human cancer. Science. 2011 Aug 19;333(6045):1039-43. PMID: 21852505
52. Solomon et al. Frequent truncating mutations of STAG2 in bladder cancer. Nat. Genet. 2013 Dec;45(12):1428-30. PMID: 24121789
53. Vander et al. TGF-β receptors: In and beyond TGF-β signaling. Cell Signal. 2018 Dec;52:112-120. PMID: 30184463
54. Shi et al. Mechanisms of TGF-beta signaling from cell membrane to the nucleus. Cell. 2003 Jun 13;113(6):685-700. PMID: 12809600
55. Heldin et al. Role of Smads in TGFβ signaling. Cell Tissue Res. 2012 Jan;347(1):21-36. PMID: 21643690
56. Sorrentino et al. The type I TGF-beta receptor engages TRAF6 to activate TAK1 in a receptor kinase-independent manner. Nat Cell Biol. 2008 Oct;10(10):1199-207. PMID: 18758450
57. Ioannou et al. Smad4 and epithelial-mesenchymal transition proteins in colorectal carcinoma: an immunohistochemical study. J Mol Histol. 2018 Jun;49(3):235-244. PMID: 29468299
58. Madan et al. Aberrant splicing of U12-type introns is the hallmark of ZRSR2 mutant myelodysplastic syndrome. . Nat Commun. 2015 Jan 14;6:6042. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7042. PMID: 25586593
59. Tronchère et al. A protein related to splicing factor U2AF35 that interacts with U2AF65 and SR proteins in splicing of pre-mRNA. Nature. 1997 Jul 24;388(6640):397-400. PMID: 9237760

References (continued)

60. Chesnais et al. Spliceosome mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. Oncotarget. 2012 Nov;3(11):1284-93. PMID: 23327988
61. Pritchard et al. Molecular pathways: mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway mutations and drug resistance. Clin. Cancer Res. 2013 May 1;19(9):2301-9. PMID: 23406774
62. Bubici et al. JNK signalling in cancer: in need of new, smarter therapeutic targets. Br J Pharmacol. 2014 Jan;171(1):24-37. PMID: 24117156
63. Cargnello et al. Activation and function of the MAPKs and their substrates, the MAPK-activated protein kinases. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2011 Mar;75(1):50-83. PMID: 21372320
64. Lee et al. Targeting MAPK Signaling in Cancer: Mechanisms of Drug Resistance and Sensitivity. Int J Mol Sci. 2020 Feb 7;21(3). PMID: 32046099
65. Liu et al. Distinct functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in double-strand break repair. Breast Cancer Res. 2002;4(1):9-13. PMID: 11879553
66. Jasin. Homologous repair of DNA damage and tumorigenesis: the BRCA connection. Oncogene. 2002 Dec 16;21(58):8981-93. PMID: 12483514
67. Kuchenbaecker et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. JAMA. 2017 Jun 20;317(23):2402-2416. PMID: 28632866
68. Tai et al. Breast cancer risk among male BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2007 Dec 5;99(23):1811-4. PMID: 18042939
69. Levy-Lahad et al. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Br. J. Cancer. 2007 Jan 15;96(1):11-5. PMID: 17213823
70. Chen et al. Penetrance of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Women Who Carry a BRCA1/2 Mutation and Do Not Use Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy: An Updated Meta-Analysis . JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2020 Aug;4(4):pkaa029. PMID: 32676552
71. Petrucelli et al. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. GeneReviews® [Internet]. PMID: 20301425
72. Pruthi et al. Identification and Management of Women With BRCA Mutations or Hereditary Predisposition for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2010 Dec;85(12):1111-20. PMID: 21123638
73. Walsh et al. Mutations in 12 genes for inherited ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinoma identified by massively parallel sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011 Nov 1;108(44):18032-7. PMID: 22006311
74. Alsop et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. J. Clin. Oncol. 2012 Jul 20;30(21):2654-63. PMID: 22711857
75. Whittemore et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutation carriers among U.S. non-Hispanic Whites. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 2004 Dec;13(12):2078-83. PMID: 15598764
76. King et al. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Science. 2003 Oct 24;302(5645):643-6. PMID: 14576434
77. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Br. J. Cancer. 2000 Nov;83(10):1301-8. PMID: 11044354
78. Shao et al. A comprehensive literature review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of pan-cancer BRCA mutations, homologous recombination repair gene mutations, and homologous recombination deficiencies. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2022 Jul;63(6):308-316. PMID: 36054589
79. Hodgson et al. Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes. Br. J. Cancer. 2018 Nov;119(11):1401-1409. PMID: 30353044
80. Bryant et al. Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. Nature. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):913-7. PMID: 15829966
81. Farmer et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. Nature. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):917-21. PMID: 15829967
82. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/208558s028lbl.pdf
83. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf
84. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/217439s000lbl.pdf
85. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/214876s000lbl.pdf
86. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/216793s000lbl.pdf
87. Barber et al. Secondary mutations in BRCA2 associated with clinical resistance to a PARP inhibitor. J. Pathol. 2013 Feb;229(3):422-9. PMID: 23165508

References (continued)

88. D'Andrea. Mechanisms of PARP inhibitor sensitivity and resistance. DNA Repair (Amst.). 2018 Nov;71:172-176. PMID: 30177437
89. https://www.senhwabio.com//en/news/20220125
90. https://ir.tangotx.com//news-releases/news-release-details/tango-therapeutics-reports-third-quarter-2023-financial-results
91. Tran et al. Lysine Demethylase KDM6A in Differentiation, Development, and Cancer. Mol Cell Biol. 2020 Sep 28;40(20). PMID: 32817139
92. Ler et al. Loss of tumor suppressor KDM6A amplifies PRC2-regulated transcriptional repression in bladder cancer and can be targeted through inhibition of EZH2. Sci Transl Med. 2017 Feb 22;9(378). PMID: 28228601
93. Wendorff et al. Phf6 Loss Enhances HSC Self-Renewal Driving Tumor Initiation and Leukemia Stem Cell Activity in T-ALL. Cancer Discov. 2019 Mar;9(3):436-451. PMID: 30567843
94. Lower et al. Mutations in PHF6 are associated with Börjeson-Forssman-Lehmann syndrome. Nat. Genet. 2002 Dec;32(4):661-5. PMID: 12415272
95. Van et al. PHF6 mutations in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. 2010 Apr;42(4):338-42. PMID: 20228800
96. Van et al. PHF6 mutations in adult acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2011 Jan;25(1):130-4. PMID: 21030981
97. Yoo et al. Somatic mutation of PHF6 gene in T-cell acute lymphoblatic leukemia, acute myelogenous leukemia and hepatocellular carcinoma. Acta Oncol. 2012 Jan;51(1):107-11. PMID: 21736506
98. Patel et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2012 Mar 22;366(12):1079-89. PMID: 22417203
99. Musio. The multiple facets of the SMC1A gene. Gene. 2020 Jun 15;743:144612. PMID: 32222533
100. Nie et al. Clinical Significance and Integrative Analysis of the SMC Family in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021;8:727965. PMID: 34527684
101. Yatskevich et al. Organization of Chromosomal DNA by SMC Complexes. Annu Rev Genet. 2019 Dec 3;53:445-482. PMID: 31577909
102. Yadav et al. SMC1A is associated with radioresistance in prostate cancer and acts by regulating epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cancer stem-like properties. Mol Carcinog. 2019 Jan;58(1):113-125. PMID: 30242889
103. Xia et al. Dominant role of CDKN2B/p15INK4B of 9p21.3 tumor suppressor hub in inhibition of cell-cycle and glycolysis. Nat Commun. 2021 Apr 6;12(1):2047. PMID: 33824349
104. Scruggs et al. Loss of CDKN2B Promotes Fibrosis via Increased Fibroblast Differentiation Rather Than Proliferation. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2018 Aug;59(2):200-214. PMID: 29420051
105. Roussel. The INK4 family of cell cycle inhibitors in cancer. Oncogene. 1999 Sep 20;18(38):5311-7. PMID: 10498883
106. Aytac et al. Rb independent inhibition of cell growth by p15(INK4B). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1999 Aug 27;262(2):534-8. PMID: 10462509
107. Hill et al. The genetics of melanoma: recent advances. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2013;14:257-79. PMID: 23875803
108. Kim et al. The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging. Cell. 2006 Oct 20;127(2):265-75. PMID: 17055429
109. Sekulic et al. Malignant melanoma in the 21st century: the emerging molecular landscape. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2008 Jul;83(7):825-46. PMID: 18613999
110. Orlow et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in individuals with cutaneous malignant melanoma. J. Invest. Dermatol. 2007 May;127(5):1234-43. PMID: 17218939
111. Bartsch et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in familial pancreatic cancer. Ann. Surg. 2002 Dec;236(6):730-7. PMID: 12454511
112. Adib et al. CDKN2A Alterations and Response to Immunotherapy in Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2021 Jul 15;27(14):4025-4035. PMID: 34074656
113. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Mesothelioma: Peritoneal [Version 2.2025]
114. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Mesothelioma: Pleural [Version 2.2025]
115. Louis et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 6: new entity and diagnostic principle recommendations of the cIMPACT-Utrecht meeting on future CNS tumor classification and grading. Brain Pathol. 2020 Jul;30(4):844-856. PMID: 32307792
116. Longwen et al. Frequent genetic aberrations in the cell cycle related genes in mucosal melanoma indicate the potential for targeted therapy. J Transl Med. 2019 Jul 29;17(1):245. PMID: 31358010
117. Logan et al. PD-0332991, a potent and selective inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6, demonstrates inhibition of proliferation in renal cell carcinoma at nanomolar concentrations and molecular markers predict for sensitivity. Anticancer Res. 2013 Aug;33(8):2997-3004. PMID: 23898052

References (continued)

118. von et al. Preclinical Characterization of Novel Chordoma Cell Systems and Their Targeting by Pharmocological Inhibitors of the CDK4/6 Cell-Cycle Pathway. Cancer Res. 2015 Sep 15;75(18):3823-31. PMID: 26183925
119. Cen et al. p16-Cdk4-Rb axis controls sensitivity to a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor PD0332991 in glioblastoma xenograft cells. Neuro-oncology. 2012 Jul;14(7):870-81. PMID: 22711607
120. Vitzthum et al. The role of p16 as a biomarker in nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer. Oncotarget. 2018 Sep 7;9(70):33247-33248. PMID: 30279955
121. Chung et al. p16 protein expression and human papillomavirus status as prognostic biomarkers of nonoropharyngeal head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2014 Dec 10;32(35):3930-8. PMID: 25267748
122. Bryant et al. Prognostic Role of p16 in Nonoropharyngeal Head and Neck Cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2018 Dec 1;110(12):1393-1399. PMID: 29878161
123. Stephen et al. Significance of p16 in Site-specific HPV Positive and HPV Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Clin Oncol. 2013;2(1):51-61. PMID: 23935769
124. Liu et al. Aging (Albany NY). 2020 May 4;12(9):8372-8396. PMID: 32365332
125. Komiya et al. Wnt signal transduction pathways. Organogenesis. 2008 Apr;4(2):68-75. PMID: 19279717
126. Zhang et al. J Hematol Oncol. 2020 Dec 4;13(1):165. PMID: 33276800
127. Rivera et al. An X chromosome gene, WTX, is commonly inactivated in Wilms tumor. Science. 2007 Feb 2;315(5812):642-5. PMID: 17204608
128. Leung et al. ZMYM3 regulates BRCA1 localization at damaged chromatin to promote DNA repair. Genes Dev. 2017 Feb 1;31(3):260-274. PMID: 28242625
129. Liu et al. Distinct Genomic Alterations in Prostate Tumors Derived from African American Men. Mol Cancer Res. 2020 Dec;18(12):1815-1824. PMID: 33115829
130. Rocak et al. DEAD-box proteins: the driving forces behind RNA metabolism. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2004 Mar;5(3):232-41. PMID: 14991003
131. Fuller-Pace. The DEAD box proteins DDX5 (p68) and DDX17 (p72): multi-tasking transcriptional regulators. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 Aug;1829(8):756-63. PMID: 23523990
132. Ali. DEAD-box RNA helicases: The driving forces behind RNA metabolism at the crossroad of viral replication and antiviral innate immunity. Virus Res. 2021 Apr 15;296:198352. PMID: 33640359
133. Linder et al. Looking back on the birth of DEAD-box RNA helicases. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 Aug;1829(8):750-5. PMID: 23542735
134. Lin. DDX3X Multifunctionally Modulates Tumor Progression and Serves as a Prognostic Indicator to Predict Cancer Outcomes. Int J Mol Sci. 2019 Dec 31;21(1). PMID: 31906196
135. Song et al. The mechanism of RNA duplex recognition and unwinding by DEAD-box helicase DDX3X. Nat Commun. 2019 Jul 12;10(1):3085. PMID: 31300642
136. Zhou et al. Comprehensive proteomic analysis of the human spliceosome. Nature. 2002 Sep 12;419(6903):182-5. PMID: 12226669
137. Yedavalli et al. Requirement of DDX3 DEAD box RNA helicase for HIV-1 Rev-RRE export function. Cell. 2004 Oct 29;119(3):381-92. PMID: 15507209
138. Chao et al. DDX3, a DEAD box RNA helicase with tumor growth-suppressive property and transcriptional regulation activity of the p21waf1/cip1 promoter, is a candidate tumor suppressor. Cancer Res. 2006 Jul 1;66(13):6579-88. PMID: 16818630
139. Chuang et al. Requirement of the DEAD-Box protein ded1p for messenger RNA translation. Science. 1997 Mar 7;275(5305):1468-71. PMID: 9045610
140. Shih et al. Candidate tumor suppressor DDX3 RNA helicase specifically represses cap-dependent translation by acting as an eIF4E inhibitory protein. Oncogene. 2008 Jan 24;27(5):700-14. PMID: 17667941
141. Lee et al. Human DDX3 functions in translation and interacts with the translation initiation factor eIF3. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 Aug;36(14):4708-18. PMID: 18628297
142. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
143. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
144. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
145. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133

References (continued)

146. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
147. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
148. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
149. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
150. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
151. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
152. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
153. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
154. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
155. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
156. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
157. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
158. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
159. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
160. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
161. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
162. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
163. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
164. King et al. Amplification of a novel v-erbB-related gene in a human mammary carcinoma. Science. 1985 Sep 6;229(4717):974-6. PMID: 2992089
165. Liu et al. EGFR-TKIs resistance via EGFR-independent signaling pathways. Mol Cancer. 2018 Feb 19;17(1):53. PMID: 29455669
166. Zhixiang. ErbB Receptors and Cancer. Methods Mol. Biol. 2017;1652:3-35. PMID: 28791631
167. Gutierrez et al. HER2: biology, detection, and clinical implications. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 2011 Jan;135(1):55-62. PMID: 21204711
168. Pines et al. Oncogenic mutant forms of EGFR: lessons in signal transduction and targets for cancer therapy. FEBS Lett. 2010 Jun 18;584(12):2699-706. PMID: 20388509
169. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature. 2014 Jul 31;511(7511):543-50. doi: 10.1038/nature13385. Epub 2014 Jul 9. PMID: 25079552
170. da et al. EGFR mutations and lung cancer. Annu Rev Pathol. 2011;6:49-69. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathol-011110-130206. PMID: 20887192
171. Arcila et al. EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations in lung adenocarcinomas: prevalence, molecular heterogeneity, and clinicopathologic characteristics. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2013 Feb;12(2):220-9. PMID: 23371856
172. Kobayashi et al. EGFR Exon 18 Mutations in Lung Cancer: Molecular Predictors of Augmented Sensitivity to Afatinib or Neratinib as Compared with First- or Third-Generation TKIs. Clin Cancer Res. 2015 Dec 1;21(23):5305-13. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1046. Epub 2015 Jul 23. PMID: 26206867
173. Yasuda et al. Structural, biochemical, and clinical characterization of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) exon 20 insertion mutations in lung cancer. Sci Transl Med. 2013 Dec 18;5(216):216ra177. PMID: 24353160
174. Chiu et al. Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Treatment Response in Advanced Lung Adenocarcinomas with G719X/L861Q/S768I Mutations. J Thorac Oncol. 2015 May;10(5):793-9. PMID: 25668120
175. Karachaliou et al. KRAS mutations in lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer. 2013 May;14(3):205-14. PMID: 23122493

References (continued)

176. Brennan et al. The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. Cell. 2013 Oct 10;155(2):462-77. PMID: 24120142
177. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
178. Mitsudomi et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor in relation to tumor development: EGFR gene and cancer. FEBS J. 2010 Jan;277(2):301-8. PMID: 19922469
179. Gazdar. Activating and resistance mutations of EGFR in non-small-cell lung cancer: role in clinical response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Oncogene. 2009 Aug;28 Suppl 1:S24-31. PMID: 19680293
180. Gan et al. The EGFRvIII variant in glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Neurosci. 2009 Jun;16(6):748-54. PMID: 19324552
181. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/021743s025lbl.pdf
182. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/206995s004lbl.pdf
183. Riely et al. Clinical course of patients with non-small cell lung cancer and epidermal growth factor receptor exon 19 and exon 21 mutations treated with gefitinib or erlotinib. Clin Cancer Res. 2006 Feb 1;12(3 Pt 1):839-44. PMID: 16467097
184. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/201292s017lbl.pdf
185. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/211288s003lbl.pdf
186. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer [Version 3.2025]
187. Naidoo et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor exon 20 insertions in advanced lung adenocarcinomas: Clinical outcomes and response to erlotinib. Cancer. 2015 Sep 15;121(18):3212-3220. PMID: 26096453
188. Vyse et al. Targeting EGFR exon 20 insertion mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2019;4:5. PMID: 30854234
189. Yi et al. A comparison of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation testing methods in different tissue types in non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Mol Med. 2014 Aug;34(2):464-74. PMID: 24891042
190. https://investors.blackdiamondtherapeutics.com/news-releases/news-release-details/black-diamond-therapeutics-granted-fast-track-designation-fda
191. https://investors.cullinanoncology.com/news-releases/news-release-details/fda-grants-breakthrough-therapy-designation-cullinan-oncologys
192. https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/fda-grants-breakthrough-therapy-designation-for-dizal-pharmaceuticals-dzd9008-in-patients-with-locally-advanced-or-metastatic-non-small-cell-lung-cancer-harboring-egfr-exon20-insertion-301469692.html
193. Madic et al. EGFR C797S, EGFR T790M and EGFR sensitizing mutations in non-small cell lung cancer revealed by six-color crystal digital PCR. Oncotarget. 2018 Dec 21;9(100):37393-37406. PMID: 30647840
194. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/208065s033lbl.pdf
195. Niederst et al. The Allelic Context of the C797S Mutation Acquired upon Treatment with Third-Generation EGFR Inhibitors Impacts Sensitivity to Subsequent Treatment Strategies. Clin. Cancer Res. 2015 Sep 1;21(17):3924-33. PMID: 25964297
196. Wang et al. Lung Adenocarcinoma Harboring EGFR T790M and In Trans C797S Responds to Combination Therapy of First- and Third-Generation EGFR TKIs and Shifts Allelic Configuration at Resistance. J Thorac Oncol. 2017 Nov;12(11):1723-1727. PMID: 28662863
197. https://investors.blackdiamondtherapeutics.com//news-releases/news-release-details/black-diamond-therapeutics-announces-corporate-update-and
198. Ciardiello et al. The role of anti-EGFR therapies in EGFR-TKI-resistant advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. 2024 Jan;122:102664. PMID: 38064878
199. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/761210s007lbl.pdf
200. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/219008s000lbledt.pdf
201. https://investors.erasca.com//news-releases/news-release-details/erasca-granted-fda-fast-track-designation-cns-penetrant-egfr
202. https://iis.aastocks.com/20231227/11015917-0.PDF
203. http://iis.aastocks.com/20230612/10770455-0.PDF
204. https://www.genprex.com/news/genprex-receives-u-s-fda-fast-track-designation-for-gene-therapy-that-targets-lung-cancer/
205. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Pediatric Central Nervous System Cancers [Version 2.2025]
206. Buccoliero et al. Pediatric High Grade Glioma Classification Criteria and Molecular Features of a Case Series. Genes (Basel). 2022 Mar 31;13(4). PMID: 35456430