삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Patient Name: 윤수남
Gender: F
Sample ID: N25-260

Primary Tumor Site: lung
Collection Date: 2025.09.23

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page

Report Highlights
0 Relevant Biomarkers
0 Therapies Available
0 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	***12.32 Mut/Mb measured***

Relevant Biomarkers

No biomarkers associated with relevant evidence found in this sample

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources
*CDKN1B deletion, MAP2K7 deletion, Microsatellite stable, RB1 p.(T687Pfs*4) c.2059_2060delAC, TP53 p.(C242G) c.724T>G, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, ERAP2 deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden*

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
RB1	p.(T687Pfs*4)	c.2059_2060delAC	.	chr13:49033921	44.62%	NM_000321.3	frameshift Deletion
TP53	p.(C242G)	c.724T>G	COSM44135	chr17:7577557	49.25%	NM_000546.6	missense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	47.45%	NM_000463.3	missense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	27.13%	NM_000903.3	missense
LRRC7	p.(M39I)	c.117G>A	.	chr1:70225890	13.95%	NM_001370785.2	missense

Variant Details (continued)

DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
DDR2	p.(T776P)	c.2326A>C	.	chr1:162748412	10.06%	NM_006182.4	missense
MYCN	p.(A418V)	c.1253C>T	.	chr2:16086077	11.96%	NM_005378.6	missense
ETAA1	p.(L776F)	c.2328G>T	.	chr2:67632142	33.58%	NM_019002.4	missense
TGFBR2	p.(L197F)	c.589C>T	.	chr3:30713189	35.78%	NM_001024847.2	missense
HLA-B	p.(E176T)	c.526_527delGAinsAC	.	chr6:31324036	31.32%	NM_005514.8	missense
NOTCH4	p.(A1398E)	c.4193C>A	.	chr6:32168730	5.15%	NM_004557.4	missense
CSMD3	p.(V3452L)	c.10354G>T	.	chr8:113256671	23.93%	NM_198123.2	missense
CSMD3	p.(P79T)	c.235C>A	.	chr8:114326966	33.23%	NM_198123.2	missense
SMAD4	p.(Q149L)	c.446A>T	.	chr18:48575686	13.01%	NM_005359.6	missense
KEAP1	p.(P278L)	c.833C>T	.	chr19:10602745	40.83%	NM_203500.2	missense
SMARCA4	p.(H482Q)	c.1446T>A	.	chr19:11105530	68.44%	NM_001128849.3	missense
KDM5C	p.(D754N)	c.2260G>A	.	chrX:53228054	43.19%	NM_004187.5	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
CDKN1B	chr12:12870763	0.13	0.62
MAP2K7	chr19:7968792	0.23	0.64
ERAP2	chr5:96219500	0	0.39
FGFR3	chr4:1801456	0	0.59
FLT4	chr5:180030092	0.38	0.67
HRAS	chr11:532637	0.08	0.62
WT1	chr11:32410528	5.83	1.76
PARP2	chr14:20811781	4.8	1.56
RBM10	chrX:47006798	10.13	2.62
STAG2	chrX:123156472	4.43	1.49

Biomarker Descriptions

CDKN1B deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 1B

Background: The CDKN1B gene encodes the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B protein and is also known as p27 or KIP1. CDKN1B belongs to a family of CIP/KIP family of CDK inhibitor (CKI) genes that also includes CDKN1A (also known as WAF1/p21) and CDKN2C (also known as KIP2/p57)[56,57]. CDKN1B is involved in controlling G1/S cell cycle progression, cell proliferation, and apoptosis[1,56,57]. Specifically, in the nucleus, CDKN1B acts as a tumor suppressor by binding with the cyclin E-CDK2 and cyclin D-CDK4 complexes[58]. However, cytoplasmic localization of the CDKN1B/p27 is associated with invasiveness and metastasis in melanoma thereby giving

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

it potential oncogenic function[59]. Germline mutations of CDKN1B are commonly associated with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 4 (MEN4), a hereditary disease characterized by parathyroid, anterior pituitary, or neuroendocrine tumors[57,60].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic aberrations commonly observed in CDKN1B are mutations, copy number loss and amplification. Mutations that lead to a truncated form of CDKN1B are observed in 2% of endometrial carcinoma[6,7,57]. CDKN1B copy number loss is observed in 4% of prostate adenocarcinoma, and 2% of mature B-cell neoplasm[6,7]. Amplifications of CDKN1B are observed in 4% of ovarian epithelial tumors, 5% of seminoma, and 3% of non-seminomatous germ cell tumor[6,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no targeted therapies are approved for CDKN1B aberrations.

MAP2K7 deletion

mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7

Background: The MAP2K7 gene encodes the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7, also known as MEK7[1]. MAP2K7 is involved in the JNK signaling pathway along with MAP3K4, MAP3K12, MAP2K4, MAPK8, MAPK9, and MAPK10[52,53,54]. Activation of MAPK proteins occurs through a kinase signaling cascade[52,53,55]. Specifically, MAP3Ks are responsible for phosphorylation of MAP2K family members[52,53,55]. Once activated, MAP2Ks are responsible for the phosphorylation of various MAPK proteins whose signaling is involved in several cellular processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, and inflammation[52,53,55].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MAP2K7 are observed in 7% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2% of skin cutaneous melanoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma[6,7]. Biallelic deletions are observed in 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and 1% of uveal melanoma[6,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MAP2K7 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[30]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[31,32]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[33]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[34]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[34]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[35,36,37,38,39]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[32]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[31,32,36,40].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[31,32,41,42]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[41,42].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[43] (2014) and nivolumab[44] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[43] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[43]. Dostarlimab[45] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[37,46]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[47] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[37,48,49]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[49]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[50,51]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[50,51].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

RB1 p.(T687Pfs*4) c.2059_2060delAC

RB transcriptional corepressor 1

Background: The RB1 gene encodes the retinoblastoma protein (pRB), and is an early molecular hallmark of cancer. RB1 belongs to the family of pocket proteins that also includes p107 and p130, which play a crucial role in the cell proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation[64,65]. RB1 is well characterized as a tumor suppressor gene that restrains cell cycle progression from G1 phase to S phase[66]. Specifically, RB1 binds and represses the E2F family of transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes involved in the G1/S cell cycle regulation[64,65,67]. Germline mutations in RB1 are associated with retinoblastoma (a rare childhood tumor) as well as other cancer types such as osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcoma, and melanoma[68].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations in RB1, including mutations and biallelic loss, lead to the inactivation of the RB1 protein. RB1 mutations are observed in urothelial carcinoma (approximately 16%), endometrial cancer (approximately 12%), and sarcomas (approximately 9%)[6]. Similarly, biallelic loss of RB1 is observed in sarcomas (approximately 13%), urothelial carcinoma (approximately 6%), and endometrial cancer (approximately 1%)[6]. Biallelic loss of the RB1 gene is also linked to the activation of chemotherapy-induced acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)[69,70,71].

Potential relevance: Currently, there are no therapies approved for RB1 aberrations.

TP53 p.(C242G) c.724T>G

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair[1]. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis[2]. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential[3]. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers[4,5].

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)[6,7,8,9,10,11]. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282[6,7]. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes[12,13,14,15]. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers[6,7]. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)[6,7]. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)[6,7].

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586[16] (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt[17], (2019) and breakthrough designation[18] (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation[19,20]. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma[21]. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)[22,23,24,25,26,27]. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant[28]. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system[29].

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily[1,72]. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites[72,73]. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance[74]. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation[74,75,76,77]. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38[78].

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma[6,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

ERAP2 deletion

endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidase 2

Background: The ERAP2 gene encodes the endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidase 2 protein. ERAP2, and structurally related ERAP1, are zinc metallopeptidases which play a role in antigen processing within the immune response pathway[61,62]. Upon uptake by an immune cell, antigens are first processed by the proteasome and then transported into the endoplasmic reticulum where ERAP1 and ERAP2 excise peptide N-terminal extensions to generate mature antigen peptides for presentation on MHC class I molecules[61,63]. The polymorphic variability in ERAP2 is hypothesized to affect the severity of cytotoxic responses to transformed cells and potentially influence their chances to gain mutations that evade the immune system and become tumorigenic[61].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in ERAP2 are observed in 7% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, and 2% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma[6,7]. Deletions are observed in 2% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, and 1% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, mesothelioma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma[6,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ERAP2 aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations (continued)

NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	***34.09%***
BRCA2	***LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x3***

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
2. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
3. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
4. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
5. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
6. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
7. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
8. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
9. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
10. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
11. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
12. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
13. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
14. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
15. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
16. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
17. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
18. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
19. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
20. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
21. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
22. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
23. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
24. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
25. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
26. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
27. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
28. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
29. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
30. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011

References (continued)

31. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
32. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
33. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
34. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
35. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
36. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
37. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
38. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
39. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
40. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
41. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
42. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
43. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
44. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
45. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
46. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
47. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
48. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
49. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
50. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
51. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
52. Pritchard et al. Molecular pathways: mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway mutations and drug resistance. Clin. Cancer Res. 2013 May 1;19(9):2301-9. PMID: 23406774
53. Bubici et al. JNK signalling in cancer: in need of new, smarter therapeutic targets. Br J Pharmacol. 2014 Jan;171(1):24-37. PMID: 24117156
54. Cargnello et al. Activation and function of the MAPKs and their substrates, the MAPK-activated protein kinases. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2011 Mar;75(1):50-83. PMID: 21372320
55. Lee et al. Targeting MAPK Signaling in Cancer: Mechanisms of Drug Resistance and Sensitivity. Int J Mol Sci. 2020 Feb 7;21(3). PMID: 32046099
56. Chu et al. The Cdk inhibitor p27 in human cancer: prognostic potential and relevance to anticancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2008 Apr;8(4):253-67. PMID: 18354415
57. Cusan et al. Landscape of CDKN1B Mutations in Luminal Breast Cancer and Other Hormone-Driven Human Tumors. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) . 2018 Jul 17;9:393. PMID: 30065701
58. Bencivenga et al. p27 Kip1 and human cancers: A reappraisal of a still enigmatic protein. Cancer Lett. 2017 Sep 10;403:354-365. PMID: 28687353
59. Denicourt et al. Relocalized p27Kip1 tumor suppressor functions as a cytoplasmic metastatic oncogene in melanoma. Cancer Res. 2007 Oct 1;67(19):9238-43. PMID: 17909030

References (continued)

60. Alrezk et al. MEN4 and CDKN1B mutations: The latest of the MEN syndromes. Endocr. Relat. Cancer. 2017 Oct;24(10):T195-T208. PMID: 28824003
61. Stratikos et al. A role for naturally occurring alleles of endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidases in tumor immunity and cancer predisposition. Front Oncol. 2014;4:363. PMID: 25566501
62. López. How ERAP1 and ERAP2 Shape the Peptidomes of Disease-Associated MHC-I Proteins. Front Immunol. 2018;9:2463. PMID: 30425713
63. Serwold et al. ERAAP customizes peptides for MHC class I molecules in the endoplasmic reticulum. Nature. 2002 Oct 3;419(6906):480-3. PMID: 12368856
64. Korenjak et al. E2F-Rb complexes regulating transcription of genes important for differentiation and development. Curr Opin Genet Dev . 2005 Oct;15(5):520-7. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2005.07.001. PMID: 16081278
65. Sachdeva et al. Understanding pRb: toward the necessary development of targeted treatments for retinoblastoma. J. Clin. Invest. 2012 Feb;122(2):425-34. PMID: 22293180
66. Dyson. RB1: a prototype tumor suppressor and an enigma. Genes Dev. 2016 Jul 1;30(13):1492-502. PMID: 27401552
67. Cobrinik. Pocket proteins and cell cycle control. Oncogene. 2005 Apr 18;24(17):2796-809. PMID: 15838516
68. Dommering et al. RB1 mutations and second primary malignancies after hereditary retinoblastoma. Fam. Cancer. 2012 Jun;11(2):225-33. PMID: 22205104
69. Anasua et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia as second primary tumor in a patient with retinoblastoma. . Oman J Ophthalmol . May-Aug 2016;9(2):116-8. PMID: 27433042
70. Tanaka et al. Frequent allelic loss of the RB, D13S319 and D13S25 locus in myeloid malignancies with deletion/translocation at 13q14 of chromosome 13, but not in lymphoid malignancies. Leukemia. 1999 Sep;13(9):1367-73. PMID: 10482987
71. Gombos et al. Secondary acute myelogenous leukemia in patients with retinoblastoma: is chemotherapy a factor?. Ophthalmology. 2007 Jul;114(7):1378-83. PMID: 17613328
72. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
73. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
74. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
75. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
76. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
77. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
78. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:OP2100624. PMID: 34860573