삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Report Date: 26 Sep 2025   1 of 15

SML Samkwang Medical Lab

Patient Name: 조순구	**Primary Tumor Site:** lung
Gender: F	**Collection Date:** 2025.08.14
Sample ID: N25-218	

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents

	Page
Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	2
Alert Details	6
Relevant Therapy Summary	11

Report Highlights

3 Relevant Biomarkers
1 Therapies Available
35 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	**KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A**	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	**4.73 Mut/Mb measured**

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	*KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A* KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase Allele Frequency: 30.21% Locus: chr12:25398284 Transcript: NM_033360.4	None*	bevacizumab + chemotherapy [I]	33
IIC	*CHEK2 deletion* checkpoint kinase 2 Locus: chr22:29085089	None*	None*	1
IIC	*TP53 p.(R248Q) c.743G>A* tumor protein p53 Allele Frequency: 23.20% Locus: chr17:7577538 Transcript: NM_000546.6	None*	None*	1

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

Microsatellite stable, MPL amplification, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
KRAS	p.(G12D)	c.35G>A	COSM521	chr12:25398284	30.21%	NM_033360.4	missense
TP53	p.(R248Q)	c.743G>A	COSM10662	chr17:7577538	23.20%	NM_000546.6	missense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	52.38%	NM_000463.3	missense
SIX2	p.(E186K)	c.556G>A	.	chr2:45235694	50.32%	NM_016932.5	missense
TOPAZ1	p.(I194T)	c.581T>C	.	chr3:44284579	60.43%	NM_001145030.2	missense
ADAMTS12	p.(G1383C)	c.4147G>T	.	chr5:33549467	17.48%	NM_030955.4	missense
KMT2C	p.(S1956del)	c.5865_5867delTTC	.	chr7:151879077	51.17%	NM_170606.3	nonframeshift Deletion
CDKN2A	p.(P81S)	c.241C>T	.	chr9:21971117	66.78%	NM_001195132.2	missense
KMT2B	p.(R147G)	c.439C>G	.	chr19:36210688	51.58%	NM_014727.3	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
CHEK2	chr22:29085089	1	0.81
MPL	chr1:43803495	5.56	1.89
MUTYH	chr1:45794962	5.06	1.76

Biomarker Descriptions

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase

Background: The KRAS proto-oncogene encodes a GTPase that functions in signal transduction and is a member of the RAS superfamily which also includes NRAS and HRAS. RAS proteins mediate the transmission of growth signals from the cell surface to the nucleus via the PI3K/AKT/MTOR and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathways, which regulate cell division, differentiation, and survival[1,2,3].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent mutations in RAS oncogenes cause constitutive activation and are found in 20-30% of cancers. KRAS mutations are observed in up to 10-20% of uterine cancer, 30-35% of lung adenocarcinoma and colorectal cancer, and about 60% of pancreatic cancer[4]. The majority of KRAS mutations consist of point mutations occurring at G12, G13, and Q61[4,5,6]. Mutations at A59, K117, and A146 have also been observed but are less frequent[7,8].

Potential relevance: The FDA has approved the small molecule inhibitors, sotorasib[9] (2021) and adagrasib[10] (2022), for the treatment of adult patients with KRAS G12C-mutated locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Sotorasib and adagrasib are also useful in certain circumstances for KRAS G12C-mutated pancreatic adenocarcinoma[11]. The FDA has also granted breakthrough therapy designation (2022) to the KRAS G12C inhibitor, GDC-6036[12], for KRAS G12C-mutated non-small cell lung cancer. The SHP2 inhibitor, BBP-398[13] was granted fast track designation (2022) in combination with sotorasib for previously treated patients with KRAS G12C-mutated metastatic NSCLC. The RAF/MEK clamp, avutometinib[14] was also granted fast track designation (2024) in combination with sotorasib for KRAS G12C-mutated metastatic NSCLC who have received at least one prior systemic therapy and have not been previously treated with a KRAS G12C inhibitor. The KRAS G12C inhibitor, BBO-8520[15], was granted fast track designation in 2025 for previously treated KRAS G12C-mutated patients with metastatic NSCLC. The KRAS G12C inhibitor, D3S-001[16],

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

was granted fast track designation in 2024 for KRAS G12C-mutated patients with advanced unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancers. The PLK1 inhibitor, onvansertib[17], was granted fast track designation (2020) in combination with bevacizumab and FOLFIRI for second-line treatment of patients with KRAS-mutated metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). The EGFR antagonists, cetuximab[18] and panitumumab[19], are contraindicated for treatment of colorectal cancer patients with KRAS mutations in exon 2 (codons 12 and 13), exon 3 (codons 59 and 61), and exon 4 (codons 117 and 146)[8]. Additionally, KRAS mutations are associated with poor prognosis in NSCLC[20].

CHEK2 deletion

checkpoint kinase 2

Background: The CHEK2 gene encodes the checkpoint kinase-2 serine/threonine kinase, which is a cell-cycle checkpoint regulator. In response to DNA damage, CHEK2 is phosphorylated by ATM and subsequently phosphorylates and negatively regulates CDC25C to prevent entry into mitosis[70]. CHEK2 also stabilizes p53, leading to cell-cycle arrest in G1 phase, and is capable of phosphorylating BRCA1 and promoting DNA repair including homologous recombination repair (HRR)[71,72,73]. Germline mutations in the CHEK2 gene are associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome and inherited risk of breast cancer[74,75,76].

Alterations and prevalence: Consistent with its role as a tumor suppressor, CHEK2 is enriched for deleterious truncating mutations. Somatic mutations in CHEK2 are common (2-6%) in uterine carcinoma, bladder carcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma[4,7]. CHEK2 gene deletions are observed in adrenocortical carcinoma, thymoma, and prostate cancer[4,7].

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib[77] is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes CHEK2. Additionally, talazoparib[78] in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for mCRPC with mutations in HRR genes that includes CHEK2. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex[79], for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

TP53 p.(R248Q) c.743G>A

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair[21]. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis[22]. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential[23]. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers[24,25].

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)[4,7,26,27,28,29]. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282[4,7]. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes[30,31,32,33]. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers[4,7]. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)[4,7]. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)[4,7].

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586[34] (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt[35], (2019) and breakthrough designation[36] (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation[37,38]. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma[39]. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)[40,41,42,43,44,45]. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant[46]. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system[47].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[48]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[49,50]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[51]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[52]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[52]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[53,54,55,56,57]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[50]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[49,50,54,58].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[49,50,59,60]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[59,60].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[61] (2014) and nivolumab[62] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[61] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[61]. Dostarlimab[63] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/ MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[55,64]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[65] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[55,66,67]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[67]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[68,69]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[68,69].

MPL amplification

MPL proto-oncogene, thrombopoietin receptor

Background: The MPL gene encodes the MPL proto-oncogene, a transmembrane thrombopoietin receptor. Binding of the cytokine thrombopoietin to MPL leads to JAK2 activation and subsequent signaling that regulates stem cell homeostasis, cell survival, and proliferation[80]. Mutations in MPL typically disrupt normal auto-inhibitory functions and result in subsequent ligand-independent thrombopoietin receptor activation[80]. Gain-of-function mutations in MPL are associated with myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) and hereditary thrombocytosis. Loss-of-function mutations are linked to bone marrow failure syndromes, due to the regulation of thrombopoiesis by thrombopoietin[81].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MPL are present in 3-5% of primary myelofibrosis (PMF)[80,82]. Specifically, MPL W515L/K mutations are reported in 5-8% of myelofibrosis (MF) and 1-4% of essential thrombocythemia (ET)[43]. Other observed MPL mutations include V501A, Y252H, and S204P[80].

Potential relevance: MPL W515K/L mutations confer intermediate prognosis in MPN[43].

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily[21,83]. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites[83,84]. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance[85]. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation[85,86,87,88]. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38[89].

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma[4,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

Alerts Informed By Public Data Sources

Current FDA Information

🚫 Contraindicated   ⛔ Not recommended   🛡 Resistance   🚀 Breakthrough   🏛 Fast Track

FDA information is current as of 2025-05-14. For the most up-to-date information, search www.fda.gov.

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

🚫 **cetuximab**

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer   **Label as of:** 2021-09-24   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Indications and usage:

Erbitux® is an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist indicated for treatment of:

Head and Neck Cancer

- Locally or regionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in combination with radiation therapy.
- Recurrent locoregional disease or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in combination with platinum-based therapy with fluorouracil.
- Recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck progressing after platinum-based therapy.

Colorectal Cancer

K-Ras wild-type, EGFR-expressing, metastatic colorectal cancer as determined by FDA-approved test

- in combination with FOLFIRI for first-line treatment,
- in combination with irinotecan in patients who are refractory to irinotecan-based chemotherapy,
- as a single agent in patients who have failed oxaliplatin- and irinotecan-based chemotherapy or who are intolerant to irinotecan.

Limitations of Use: Erbitux® is not indicated for treatment of Ras-mutant colorectal cancer or when the results of the Ras mutation tests are unknown.

BRAF V600E Mutation-Positive Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (CRC)

- in combination with encorafenib, for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) with a BRAF V600E mutation, as detected by an FDA-approved test, after prior therapy.

Reference:

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/125084s279lbl.pdf

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A (continued)

🚫 panitumumab

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer   **Label as of:** 2025-01-16   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Indications and usage:

VECTIBIX® is an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist indicated for the treatment of:

Adult patients with wild-type RAS (defined as wild-type in both KRAS and NRAS as determined by an FDA-approved test) Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (mCRC)*:

- In combination with FOLFOX for first-line treatment.
- As monotherapy following disease progression after prior treatment with fluoropyrimidine, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan-containing chemotherapy.

KRAS G12C-mutated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (mCRC)*

- In combination with sotorasib, for the treatment of adult patients with KRAS G12C-mutated mCRC, as determined by an FDA-approved test, who have received prior treatment with fluoropyrimidine-, oxaliplatin-, and irinotecan-based chemotherapy.

*Limitations of Use: VECTIBIX® is not indicated for the treatment of patients with RAS-mutant mCRC unless used in combination with sotorasib in KRAS G12C-mutated mCRC. VECTIBIX® is not indicated for the treatment of patients with mCRC for whom RAS mutation status is unknown.

Reference:

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125147s213lbl.pdf

Current NCCN Information

🚫 Contraindicated   ➖ Not recommended   🛡 Resistance   🚀 Breakthrough   🏭 Fast Track

NCCN information is current as of 2025-05-01. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org.
For NCCN International Adaptations & Translations, search www.nccn.org/global/what-we-do/international-adaptations.

Some variant specific evidence in this report may be associated with a broader set of alterations from the NCCN Guidelines. Specific variants listed in this report were sourced from approved therapies or scientific literature. These therapeutic options are appropriate for certain population segments with cancer. Refer to the NCCN Guidelines® for full recommendation.

All guidelines cited below are referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2023. All rights reserved. NCCN makes no warranties regarding their content.

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

🚫 cetuximab

Cancer type: Colon Cancer   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:
NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):
- "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) or NRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A (continued)

🚫 cetuximab

Cancer type: Rectal Cancer   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:
NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):
- "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) or NRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]

🚫 panitumumab

Cancer type: Colon Cancer   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:
NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):
- "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) or NRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]

🚫 panitumumab

Cancer type: Rectal Cancer   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:
NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):
- "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) or NRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]

Current EMA Information

🚫 Contraindicated   ⊖ Not recommended   🛡 Resistance   🚀 Breakthrough   🏙 Fast Track

EMA information is current as of 2025-05-14. For the most up-to-date information, search www.ema.europa.eu.

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

🚫 cetuximab, cetuximab + oxaliplatin

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer   **Label as of:** 2025-01-16   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Reference:

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/erbitux-epar-product-information_en.pdf

🚫 panitumumab + oxaliplatin

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer   **Label as of:** 2025-05-07   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Reference:

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/vectibix-epar-product-information_en.pdf

Current ESMO Information

⊘ Contraindicated   ⊖ Not recommended   🛡 Resistance   🚀 Breakthrough   🏛 Fast Track

ESMO information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information, search www.esmo.org.

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

⊘ cetuximab

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:
ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines include the following supporting statement:

- "The presence of RAS mutations is associated with resistance to anti-EGFR mAbs and knowing the expanded RAS mutational status is mandatory for use of both cetuximab and panitumumab, avoiding anti-EGFR mAb treatment when a RAS mutation is confirmed".

- "RAS testing is mandatory before treatment with anti-EGFR mAbs and can be carried out on either the primary tumor or other metastatic sites [III, A]".

Reference: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines - ESMO-Metastatic Colorectal Cancer [Ann Oncol (2023); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.10.003 (published)]

⊘ panitumumab

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer   **Variant class:** KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:
ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines include the following supporting statement:

- "The presence of RAS mutations is associated with resistance to anti-EGFR mAbs and knowing the expanded RAS mutational status is mandatory for use of both cetuximab and panitumumab, avoiding anti-EGFR mAb treatment when a RAS mutation is confirmed".

- "RAS testing is mandatory before treatment with anti-EGFR mAbs and can be carried out on either the primary tumor or other metastatic sites [III, A]".

Reference: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines - ESMO-Metastatic Colorectal Cancer [Ann Oncol (2023); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.10.003 (published)]

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

⬤ In this cancer type   ◯ In other cancer type   ◐ In this cancer type and other cancer types   ✖ No evidence

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
bevacizumab + CAPOX	✖	✖	✖	◯	✖
bevacizumab + FOLFIRI	✖	✖	✖	◯	✖
bevacizumab + FOLFOX	✖	✖	✖	◯	✖
bevacizumab + FOLFOXIRI	✖	✖	✖	◯	✖
RMC-6236	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (III)
daratumumab, TG-01 (Targovax), QS-21 Stimulon, nivolumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (II)
regorafenib	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (II)
afatinib, selumetinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
anti-KRAS G12D mTCR	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
AZD-0022	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
DN-022150	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
ERAS-0015	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
GDC-7035	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
GFH-375	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
HRS-4642, adebrelimab, SHR-9839, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
IMM-1-104	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
pembrolizumab, chemotherapy, RMC-6236, RMC-9805	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
RNK-08954	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
TSN-1611	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
YL-15293	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
zotatifin	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I/II)
ASP 3082, chemotherapy, pembrolizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)
ASP-4396	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)
AST-NS2101	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)
HMPL-415	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)
JAB-3312	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)
KRAS peptide vaccine, poly-ICLC, nivolumab, ipilimumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)
KRAS TCR, aldesleukin, SLATE 001, chemotherapy	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type   ○ In other cancer type   ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types   ✖ No evidence

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
KRAS-EphA-2-CAR-DC, anti-PD-1, ipilimumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
Nest-1	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
NW-301D	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
PT-0253	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
QLC-1101	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
RMC-9805, RMC-6236	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
ZEN-3694, binimetinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

CHEK2 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pamiparib, tislelizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

TP53 p.(R248Q) c.743G>A

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
TP53-EphA-2-CAR-DC, anti-PD-1	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	*25.7%*
CHEK2	*CNV, CN:1.0*
CHEK2	*LOH, 22q12.1(29085089-29130729)x1*

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Pylayeva-Gupta et al. RAS oncogenes: weaving a tumorigenic web. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2011 Oct 13;11(11):761-74. PMID: 21993244

2. Karnoub et al. Ras oncogenes: split personalities. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2008 Jul;9(7):517-31. PMID: 18568040

3. Scott et al. Therapeutic Approaches to RAS Mutation. Cancer J. 2016 May-Jun;22(3):165-74. doi: 10.1097/ PPO.0000000000000187. PMID: 27341593

4. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849

5. Román et al. KRAS oncogene in non-small cell lung cancer: clinical perspectives on the treatment of an old target. Mol Cancer. 2018 Feb 19;17(1):33. doi: 10.1186/s12943-018-0789-x. PMID: 29455666

6. Dinu et al. Prognostic significance of KRAS gene mutations in colorectal cancer--preliminary study. J Med Life. 2014 Oct-Dec;7(4):581-7. PMID: 25713627

7. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877

8. Allegra et al. Extended RAS Gene Mutation Testing in Metastatic Colorectal Carcinoma to Predict Response to Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Monoclonal Antibody Therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Provisional Clinical Opinion Update 2015. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016 Jan 10;34(2):179-85. PMID: 26438111

9. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/214665Orig1s009correctedlbl.pdf

10. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/216340s005lbl.pdf

11. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma [Version 2.2025]

12. https://assets.cwp.roche.com/f/126832/x/5738a7538b/irp230202.pdf

13. https://bridgebio.com/news/bridgebio-pharma-announces-first-lung-cancer-patient-dosed-in-phase-1-2-trial-and-us-fda-fast-track-designation-for-shp2-inhibitor-bbp-398-in-combination-with-amgens-lumakras-sotorasib/

14. https://investor.verastem.com/news-releases/news-release-details/verastem-oncology-granted-fast-track-designation-combination

15. https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20250109170439/en/

16. https://www.d3bio.com/press-releases/d3-bios-d3s-001-receives-u-s-fda-fast-track-designation-for-the-treatment-of-colorectal-cancer-with-kras-g12c-mutation

17. https://cardiffoncology.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Cardiff_Oncology_Investor_Presentation-_July_2021.pdf

18. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/125084s279lbl.pdf

19. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125147s213lbl.pdf

20. Slebos et al. K-ras oncogene activation as a prognostic marker in adenocarcinoma of the lung. N. Engl. J. Med. 1990 Aug 30;323(9):561-5. PMID: 2199829

21. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804

22. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265

23. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012

24. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602

25. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529

26. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745

27. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445

28. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780

29. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061

30. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217

References (continued)

31. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514

32. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424

33. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566

34. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html

35. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation

36. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167

37. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534

38. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165

39. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076

40. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]

41. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463

42. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]

43. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]

44. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]

45. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]

46. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]

47. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829

48. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011

49. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754

50. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854

51. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133

52. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339

53. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358

54. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460

55. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]

56. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785

57. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947

58. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427

59. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546

60. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653

61. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf

References (continued)

62. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf

63. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf

64. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]

65. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf

66. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608

67. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982

68. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522

69. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031

70. Matsuoka et al. Linkage of ATM to cell cycle regulation by the Chk2 protein kinase. Science. 1998 Dec 4;282(5395):1893-7. PMID: 9836640

71. Cai et al. Structure and activation mechanism of the CHK2 DNA damage checkpoint kinase. Mol. Cell. 2009 Sep 24;35(6):818-29. PMID: 19782031

72. Zhang et al. Chk2 phosphorylation of BRCA1 regulates DNA double-strand break repair. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2004 Jan;24(2):708-18. PMID: 14701743

73. Huang et al. Chk1 and Chk2 are differentially involved in homologous recombination repair and cell cycle arrest in response to DNA double-strand breaks induced by camptothecins. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2008 Jun;7(6):1440-9. PMID: 18566216

74. Apostolou et al. Current perspectives on CHEK2 mutations in breast cancer. Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press). 2017;9:331-335. PMID: 28553140

75. Nevanlinna et al. The CHEK2 gene and inherited breast cancer susceptibility. Oncogene. 2006 Sep 25;25(43):5912-9. PMID: 16998506

76. Näslund-Koch et al. Increased Risk for Other Cancers in Addition to Breast Cancer for CHEK2*1100delC Heterozygotes Estimated From the Copenhagen General Population Study. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016 Apr 10;34(11):1208-16. PMID: 26884562

77. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/208558s028lbl.pdf

78. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/217439s000lbl.pdf

79. https://www.senhwabio.com//en/news/20220125

80. Kim et al. CALR, JAK2, and MPL mutation profiles in patients with four different subtypes of myeloproliferative neoplasms: primary myelofibrosis, essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera, and myeloproliferative neoplasm, unclassifiable. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 2015 May;143(5):635-44. PMID: 25873496

81. Cleyrat et al. Gene editing rescue of a novel MPL mutant associated with congenital amegakaryocytic thrombocytopenia. Blood Adv. 2017 Sep 26;1(21):1815-1826. PMID: 29296828

82. Rozovski et al. An accurate, simple prognostic model consisting of age, JAK2, CALR, and MPL mutation status for patients with primary myelofibrosis. Haematologica. 2017 Jan;102(1):79-84. PMID: 27686378

83. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387

84. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166

85. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295

86. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693

87. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298

88. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150

89. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:OP2100624. PMID: 34860573