

Tel. 1661-5117 www.smlab.co.kr



**Report Date**: 26 Sep 2025 1 of 11

Patient Name: 오현재 Gender: M Sample ID: N25-213 Primary Tumor Site: brain
Collection Date: 2025.09.02

# **Sample Cancer Type:** Astrocytoma IDH-mutant (Grade 2)

Table of Contents	Page
Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	2
Relevant Therapy Summary	7

Report Highlights
2 Relevant Biomarkers
2 Therapies Available
3 Clinical Trials

### Relevant Astrocytoma IDH-mutant (Grade 2) Findings

Gene	Finding		Gene	Finding
ATRX	ATRX deletion	1	NTRK2	None detected
BRAF	None detected		NTRK3	None detected
IDH1	IDH1 p.(R132	H) c.395G>A	RET	None detected
IDH2	None detected		TP53	TP53 p.(Y236N) c.706T>A
NTRK1	None detected			
Genomic Alto	eration	Finding		
Tumor Mu	ıtational Burden	3.79 Mut/Mb measured		

### **Relevant Biomarkers**

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	IDH1 p.(R132H) c.395G>A isocitrate dehydrogenase (NADP(+)) 1, cytosolic Allele Frequency: 36.76% Locus: chr2:209113112 Transcript: NM_005896.4	vorasidenib 1 / II+ ivosidenib II+	ivosidenib 1,2 / II+ vorasidenib 1 / II+	2
IIC	ATRX deletion  ATRX, chromatin remodeler  Locus: chrX:76763769	None*	None*	1

 $<sup>\</sup>hbox{* Public data sources included in relevant the rapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO}$ 

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

### Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

Microsatellite stable, NOTCH2 p.(P6Rfs\*27) c.17\_18delCC, RNASEH2B p.(=) c.939\_940insA, TP53 p.(Y236N) c.706T>A, HLA-B deletion, CREBBP deletion, NQ01 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

<sup>\*</sup> Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

missense

unknown

missense

Report Date: 26 Sep 2025

49.82% NM\_001270965.1

12.00% NM\_000546.6

47.88% NM 000435.3

### **Variant Details**

**PSD** 

**TP53** 

NOTCH3

DNA Sequence Variants

p.(E258Q)

p.(G1411C)

p.(?)

c.772G>C

c.4231G>T

Α

c.560-9\_588delTGCTC .

TTAGGTCTGGCCCCTC CTCAGCATCTTATCCG

DIVAC	equence variar	113					
Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
IDH1	p.(R132H)	c.395G>A	COSM28746	chr2:209113112	36.76%	NM_005896.4	missense
NOTCH2	p.(P6Rfs*27)	c.17_18delCC		chr1:120612002	37.25%	NM_024408.4	frameshift Deletion
RNASEH2B	p.(=)	c.939_940insA		chr13:51530609	14.82%	NM_024570.4	frameshift Insertion
TP53	p.(Y236N)	c.706T>A	COSM43826	chr17:7577575	36.80%	NM_000546.6	missense
NQ01	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T		chr16:69745145	52.50%	NM_000903.3	missense
HLA-B	p.([T118I;L119I])	c.353_355delCCCinsT CA		chr6:31324208	100.00%	NM_005514.8	missense, missense
CELF2	p.(?)	c.977-6_977-5insGTTT		chr10:11356096	98.10%	NM_006561.3	unknown

chr10:104174972

chr17:7578260

chr19:15288508

Copy Number Variations				
Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio	
ATRX	chrX:76763769	0	0.41	
HLA-B	chr6:31322252	0	0.41	
CREBBP	chr16:3777679	0.5	0.61	
TERT	chr5:1253783	0.06	0.5	
CTNND2	chr5:10988230	0.79	0.68	
CARD11	chr7:2949684	0.44	0.6	
GLI3	chr7:42003880	0.81	0.69	
CD274	chr9:5456050	0.65	0.65	

# **Biomarker Descriptions**

IDH1 p.(R132H) c.395G>A

isocitrate dehydrogenase (NADP(+)) 1, cytosolic

Background: The IDH1 and IDH2 genes encode homologous isocitrate dehydrogenase enzymes that catalyze the conversion of isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate (α-KG) $^1$ . The IDH1 gene encodes the NADP+ dependent cytoplasmic isocitrate dehydrogenase enzyme; IDH2 encodes the mitochondrial isoform $^1$ .

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations in IDH1 and IDH2 are mutually exclusive and observed in several malignancies, including glioma, chondrosarcoma, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, acute myeloid leukemia (AML), and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)<sup>2</sup>. Recurrent IDH1 variants include predominantly R132H/C plus other substitutions at lower frequencies<sup>3</sup>. These gain-of-function variants confer neomorphic enzyme activity<sup>4</sup>. Although wild-type enzymatic activity is ablated, recurrent IDH1 variants catalyze the conversion of  $\alpha$ -KG to D-2-hydroxyglutarate, an oncometabolite with diverse effects on cellular

## **Biomarker Descriptions (continued)**

metabolism, epigenetic regulation, redox states, and DNA repair<sup>1,5</sup>. Recurrent IDH1 mutations are present in 5-10% of patients with AML and 5% of patients with MDS<sup>6,7,8</sup>. Recurrent IDH1 mutations are present in nearly 80% of lower-grade diffuse gliomas<sup>9,10</sup>. Alterations in IDH1 are rare in pediatric cancers<sup>9,10</sup>. Somatic mutations in IDH1 are observed in 2% of glioma and less than 1% of leukemia (2 in 311 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (1 in 252 cases), embryonal tumor (1 in 332 cases), and Wilms tumor (1 in 710 cases)<sup>9,10</sup>.

Potential relevance: The IDH1 and IDH2 inhibitor vorasidenib<sup>11</sup> is FDA-approved (2024) for the treatment of adults and children with Grade 2 astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma with IDH1 R132C/G/H/L/S mutations. Additionally, olutasidenib<sup>12</sup> (2022) and ivosidenib<sup>13</sup> (2018) are FDA-approved for treating IDH1 R132C/G/H/L/S variants in AML. Ivosidenib is also approved for treating myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) and cholangiocarcinoma patients with the same IDH1 variants<sup>14</sup>. IDH1 mutations are associated with favorable outcome in lower-grade gliomas, astrocytoma, and oligodendroglioma with 1p/19 codeletion<sup>15,16,17</sup>. Conversely, IDH1 mutations are associated with inferior leukemia-free survival in primary myelofibrosis (PMF)<sup>18,19</sup>. Mutations in IDH1 are diagnostic of IDH-mutated astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma with 1p/19q-codeletion subtypes of central nervous system (CNS) tumors<sup>15,20</sup>.

#### ATRX deletion

ATRX, chromatin remodeler

Background: The ATRX gene encodes the ATRX chromatin remodeler and ATPase/helicase domain protein, which belongs to SWI/SNF family of chromatin remodeling proteins<sup>21</sup>. The SWI/SNF proteins are a group of DNA translocases that use ATP hydrolysis to remodel chromatin structure and maintain genomic integrity by controlling transcriptional regulation, DNA repair, and chromosome stability through the regulation of telomere length<sup>28,29,30,31</sup>. ATRX is a tumor suppressor that interacts with the MRE11-RAD50-NBN (MRN) complex, which is involved in double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) break repair<sup>32,33,34</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations of ATRX are observed in 38% of brain lower grade glioma, 15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 14% of sarcoma, 9% of glioblastoma multiforme and skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 6% of lung adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma and kidney chromophobe<sup>9,10</sup>. Biallelic deletion of ATRX is observed in 7% of sarcoma, 3% of kidney chromophobe, and 2% of brain lower grade glioma<sup>9,10</sup>. Although alterations of ATRX in pediatric populations are rare, somatic mutations are observed in 6% of gliomas, 4% of bone cancer, 3% of soft tissue sarcoma, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), embryonal tumor (3 in 332 cases), and leukemia (2 in 354 cases)<sup>10</sup>. Biallelic deletion of ATRX is observed in 1% of peripheral nervous system tumors (1 in 91 cases) in and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases)<sup>10</sup>.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ATRX aberrations. Loss of ATRX protein expression correlates with the presence of ATRX mutations<sup>35,36</sup>. ATRX deficiency along with IDH mutation and TP53 mutation is diagnostic of astrocytoma IDH-mutant as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO)<sup>15,20</sup>.

#### Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome<sup>64</sup>. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue<sup>65,66</sup>. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2<sup>67</sup>. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250<sup>68</sup>. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)<sup>68</sup>. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS<sup>69,70,71,72,73</sup>. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes<sup>66</sup>. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer<sup>65,66,70,74</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma<sup>65,66,75,76</sup>. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers<sup>75,76</sup>.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab<sup>77</sup> (2014) and nivolumab<sup>78</sup> (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab<sup>77</sup> is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-

## **Biomarker Descriptions (continued)**

H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication<sup>77</sup>. Dostarlimab<sup>79</sup> (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/ MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer<sup>71,80</sup>. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab<sup>81</sup> (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location<sup>71,82,83</sup>. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients<sup>83</sup>. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors<sup>84,85</sup>. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers<sup>84,85</sup>.

#### NOTCH2 p.(P6Rfs\*27) c.17\_18delCC

notch 2

Background: The NOTCH2 gene encodes the notch receptor 2 protein, a type 1 transmembrane protein and member of the NOTCH family of genes, which also includes NOTCH1, NOTCH3, and NOTCH4. NOTCH proteins contain multiple epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like repeats in their extracellular domain, which are responsible for ligand binding and homodimerization, thereby promoting NOTCH signaling<sup>96</sup>. Following ligand binding, the NOTCH intracellular domain is released, which activates the transcription of several genes involved in regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, growth, and metabolism<sup>97,98</sup>. In cancer, depending on the tumor type, aberrations in the NOTCH family can be gain of function or loss of function suggesting both oncogenic and tumor suppressor roles for NOTCH family members<sup>99,100,101,102</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations observed in NOTCH2 are primarily missense or truncating and are found in about 11% of uterine cancer, 6% of melanoma and stomach cancer, as well as 3-5% diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), lung, colorectal, bladder, cervical, and head and neck cancers<sup>9</sup>.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for NOTCH2 aberrations.

#### RNASEH2B p.(=) c.939\_940insA

ribonuclease H2 subunit B

Background: The RNASEH2B gene encodes the ribonuclease H2 subunit B protein<sup>21</sup>. RNASEH2B functions as an auxiliary subunit of RNase H2 holoenzyme along with RNASEH2C and the catalytic subunit RNASEH2A<sup>62,63</sup>. RNase H2 is responsible for the removal of ribonucleotides that have been misincorporated in DNA, and also degrades DNA:RNA hybrids formed during transcription<sup>62</sup>. Specifically, RNase H2 is observed to interact with BRCA1 for DNA:RNA hybrid resolution at double-strand breaks (DSBs) through homologous recombination repair (HRR)<sup>62</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RNASEH2B are observed in 3% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 2% of skin cutaneous melanoma<sup>9,10</sup>. RNASEH2B biallelic deletions are observed in 10% of prostate adenocarcinoma, 7% sarcoma, 6% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, and 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma<sup>9,10</sup>.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RNASEH2B aberrations.

#### TP53 p.(Y236N) c.706T>A

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair<sup>21</sup>. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis<sup>37</sup>. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential<sup>38</sup>. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers<sup>39,40</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)<sup>9,10,41,42,43,44</sup>. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282<sup>9,10</sup>. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes<sup>45,46,47,48</sup>. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers<sup>9,10</sup>. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19%

## **Biomarker Descriptions (continued)**

of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)<sup>9,10</sup>. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)<sup>9,10</sup>.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586<sup>49</sup> (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt<sup>50</sup>, (2019) and breakthrough designation<sup>51</sup> (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation<sup>52,53</sup>. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma<sup>20</sup>. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)<sup>54,55,56,57,58,59</sup>. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant<sup>60</sup>. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system<sup>61</sup>.

#### **HLA-B** deletion

major histocompatibility complex, class I, B

Background: The HLA-B gene encodes the major histocompatibility complex, class I, B<sup>21</sup>. MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I molecules are located on the cell surface of nucleated cells and present antigens from within the cell for recognition by cytotoxic T cells<sup>22</sup>. MHC class I molecules are heterodimers composed of two polypeptide chains, α and B2M<sup>23</sup>. The classical MHC class I genes include HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C and encode the α polypeptide chains, which present short polypeptide chains, of 7 to 11 amino acids, to the immune system to distinguish self from non-self<sup>24,25,26</sup>. Downregulation of MHC class I promotes tumor evasion of the immune system, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for HLA-B<sup>27</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in HLA-B are observed in 10% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 5% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, 4% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and colorectal adenocarcinoma, 3% of uterine cancer, and 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma<sup>9,10</sup>. Biallelic loss of HLA-B is observed in 5% of DLBCL<sup>9,10</sup>.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for HLA-B aberrations.

#### **CREBBP** deletion

CREB binding protein

Background: The CREBBP gene encodes the CREB binding protein (also known as CBP), a highly conserved and ubiquitously expressed tumor suppressor. CREBBP is a member of the KAT3 family of lysine acetyl transferases, which, along with EP300, interact with over 400 diverse proteins, including Cyclin D1, p53, and BCL686,87. CREBBP functions as a global transcriptional coactivator through the modification of lysines on nuclear proteins86. CREBBP binds to cAMP-response element binding protein (CREB) and is known to play a role in embryonic development, growth, and chromatin remodeling86. Upon disruption of normal CREBBP functions through genomic alterations, cells become susceptible to defects in differentiation and malignant transformation88. Inherited CREBBP mutations and deletions result in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome (RTS), a developmental disorder with an increased susceptibility to solid tumors89.

Alterations and prevalence: Mutations in CREBBP are observed in up to 12% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and skin cutaneous melanoma, and in 5-10% of stomach adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma<sup>9,10</sup>. CREBBP is frequently mutated in 15-17% of small cell lung cancer (SCLC)<sup>90</sup>. Inactivating mutations and deletions of CREBBP account for over 70% of all B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma diagnoses including 60% of follicular lymphoma and 30% of diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)<sup>86</sup>. The rare t(11;16)(q23;p13) translocation fuses CREBBP with the partner gene KMT2A/MLL, in 0.2% secondary AML and 0.1% myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)<sup>91,92,93</sup>. Elevated expression of CBP was detected in lung cancer cells and tumor tissue as compared to normal lung cells in one study<sup>94</sup>.

Potential relevance: The t(8;16)(p11.2;p13.3) translocation resulting in KAT6A::CREBBP fusion is associated with poor/adverse risk in AML<sup>54,55</sup>. A mutation in CREBBP is a diagnostic marker of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma<sup>60</sup>. SCLC patients with CREBBP-positive tumors demonstrate lower overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) compared to those with CREBBP-negative tumors<sup>95</sup>.

### **Genes Assayed**

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CG, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

### Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF11, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCH, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

7 of 11

Report Date: 26 Sep 2025

### **Relevant Therapy Summary**

In this cancer type
In other cancer type
In this cancer type and other cancer types
X No evidence

## IDH1 p.(R132H) c.395G>A

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
vorasidenib	•	•	×	×	<b>(III)</b>
ivosidenib	0	•	0	0	×
pembrolizumab, vorasidenib	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> I)

### **ATRX** deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pamiparib, tislelizumab	×	×	×	×	<b>(II)</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

### **HRR Details**

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	0.93%
Not Detected	Not Applicable

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

### References

- Molenaar et al. Wild-type and mutated IDH1/2 enzymes and therapy responses. Oncogene. 2018 Apr;37(15):1949-1960. PMID: 29367755
- Yan et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. N. Engl. J. Med. 2009 Feb 19;360(8):765-73. PMID: 19228619
- 3. Lu et al. Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 mutation subtypes at site 132 and their translational potential in glioma. CNS Oncol. 2018 Jan;7(1):41-50. PMID: 29303363
- 4. Dang et al. Cancer-associated IDH1 mutations produce 2-hydroxyglutarate. Nature. 2009 Dec 10;462(7274):739-44. PMID: 19935646
- 5. Ward et al. The common feature of leukemia-associated IDH1 and IDH2 mutations is a neomorphic enzyme activity converting alpha-ketoglutarate to 2-hydroxyglutarate. Cancer Cell. 2010 Mar 16;17(3):225-34. PMID: 20171147
- 6. Paschka et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are frequent genetic alterations in acute myeloid leukemia and confer adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation without FLT3 internal tandem duplication. J. Clin. Oncol. 2010 Aug 1;28(22):3636-43. PMID: 20567020
- 7. Chou et al. The prognostic impact and stability of Isocitrate dehydrogenase 2 mutation in adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2011 Feb;25(2):246-53. PMID: 21079611
- 8. Marcucci et al. IDH1 and IDH2 gene mutations identify novel molecular subsets within de novo cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: a Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. J. Clin. Oncol. 2010 May 10;28(14):2348-55. PMID: 20368543
- 9. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
- Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
- 11. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/218784s002lbl.pdf
- 12. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2022/215814s000lbl.pdf
- 13. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2023/211192s011lbl.pdf
- 14. Abou et al. The role of enasidenib in the treatment of mutant IDH2 acute myeloid leukemia. Ther Adv Hematol. 2018 Jul;9(7):163-173. PMID: 30013764
- 15. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Central Nervous System Cancers [Version 5.2024]
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive, Integrative Genomic Analysis of Diffuse Lower-Grade Gliomas. N Engl J Med. 2015 Jun 25;372(26):2481-98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1402121. Epub 2015 Jun 10. PMID: 26061751
- 17. Houillier et al. IDH1 or IDH2 mutations predict longer survival and response to temozolomide in low-grade gliomas. Neurology. 2010 Oct 26;75(17):1560-6. PMID: 20975057
- 18. Vannucchi et al. Mutations and prognosis in primary myelofibrosis. Leukemia. 2013 Sep;27(9):1861-9. PMID: 23619563
- 19. Tefferi et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutation studies in 1473 patients with chronic-, fibrotic- or blast-phase essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera or myelofibrosis. Leukemia. 2010 Jul;24(7):1302-9. PMID: 20508616
- 20. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
- 21. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
- 22. Hulpke et al. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. Trends Biochem Sci. PMID: 23849087
- 23. Adams et al. The adaptable major histocompatibility complex (MHC) fold: structure and function of nonclassical and MHC class l-like molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:529-61. PMID: 23298204
- 24. Rossjohn et al. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2015;33:169-200. PMID: 25493333
- 25. Parham. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005 Mar;5(3):201-14. PMID: 15719024
- 26. Sidney et al. HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. BMC Immunol. 2008 Jan 22;9:1. PMID: 18211710
- 27. Cornel et al. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2020 Jul 2;12(7). PMID: 32630675
- 28. Ryan et al. Snf2-family proteins: chromatin remodellers for any occasion. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2011 Oct;15(5):649-56. PMID: 21862382
- 29. Heyer et al. Rad54: the Swiss Army knife of homologous recombination?. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34(15):4115-25. PMID: 16935872

## **References (continued)**

- 30. Matsuda et al. Mutations in the RAD54 recombination gene in primary cancers. Oncogene. 1999 Jun 3;18(22):3427-30. PMID: 10362365
- 31. Abedalthagafi et al. The alternative lengthening of telomere phenotype is significantly associated with loss of ATRX expression in high-grade pediatric and adult astrocytomas: a multi-institutional study of 214 astrocytomas. Mod. Pathol. 2013 Nov;26(11):1425-32. PMID: 23765250
- 32. Clynes et al. ATRX dysfunction induces replication defects in primary mouse cells. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(3):e92915. PMID: 24651726
- 33. Tang et al. A novel transcription regulatory complex containing death domain-associated protein and the ATR-X syndrome protein. J. Biol. Chem. 2004 May 7;279(19):20369-77. PMID: 14990586
- 34. Xue et al. The ATRX syndrome protein forms a chromatin-remodeling complex with Daxx and localizes in promyelocytic leukemia nuclear bodies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2003 Sep 16;100(19):10635-40. PMID: 12953102
- 35. Pisapia. The Updated World Health Organization Glioma Classification: Cellular and Molecular Origins of Adult Infiltrating Gliomas. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 2017 Dec;141(12):1633-1645. PMID: 29189064
- 36. Jiao et al. Frequent ATRX, CIC, FUBP1 and IDH1 mutations refine the classification of malignant gliomas. Oncotarget. 2012 Jul;3(7):709-22. PMID: 22869205
- 37. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
- 38. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
- 39. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
- 40. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
- 41. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
- 42. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
- 43. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
- 44. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
- 45. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
- 46. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
- 47. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
- 48. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
- 49. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
- 50. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
- 51. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
- 52. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
- 53. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
- 54. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
- 55. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
- 56. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
- 57. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]

## **References (continued)**

- 58. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
- 59. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
- 60. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
- 61. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
- 62. D'Alessandro et al. BRCA2 controls DNA:RNA hybrid level at DSBs by mediating RNase H2 recruitment. Nat Commun. 2018 Dec 18;9(1):5376. PMID: 30560944
- 63. Aden et al. Epithelial RNase H2 Maintains Genome Integrity and Prevents Intestinal Tumorigenesis in Mice. Gastroenterology. 2019 Jan;156(1):145-159.e19. PMID: 30273559
- 64. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
- 65. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
- Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
- 67. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
- 68. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
- 69. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
- 70. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
- 71. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
- 72. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
- 73. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
- 74. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
- 75. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
- 76. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
- 77. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
- 78. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
- 79. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
- 80. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 81. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
- 82. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
- 83. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
- 84. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
- 85. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
- 86. Zhang et al. The CREBBP Acetyltransferase Is a Haploinsufficient Tumor Suppressor in B-cell Lymphoma. Cancer Discov. 2017 Mar;7(3):322-337. PMID: 28069569
- 87. Bedford et al. Target gene context influences the transcriptional requirement for the KAT3 family of CBP and p300 histone acetyltransferases. Epigenetics. 2010 Jan 1;5(1):9-15. PMID: 20110770
- 88. Van et al. Insight into the tumor suppressor function of CBP through the viral oncoprotein tax. Gene Expr. 2000;9(1-2):29-36. PMID: 11097423

**Report Date**: 26 Sep 2025 11 of 11

## **References (continued)**

- 89. Schorry et al. Genotype-phenotype correlations in Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 2008 Oct 1;146A(19):2512-9. PMID: 18792986
- 90. Jia et al. Crebbp Loss Drives Small Cell Lung Cancer and Increases Sensitivity to HDAC Inhibition. Cancer Discov. 2018 Nov;8(11):1422-1437. PMID: 30181244
- 91. Glassman et al. Translocation (11;16)(q23;p13) acute myelogenous leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. Ann. Clin. Lab. Sci. 2003;33(3):285-8. PMID: 12956443
- 92. Eghtedar et al. Characteristics of translocation (16;16)(p13;q22) acute myeloid leukemia. Am. J. Hematol. 2012 Mar;87(3):317-8. PMID: 22228403
- 93. Rowley et al. All patients with the T(11;16)(q23;p13.3) that involves MLL and CBP have treatment-related hematologic disorders. Blood. 1997 Jul 15;90(2):535-41. PMID: 9226152
- 94. Tang et al. CREB-binding protein regulates lung cancer growth by targeting MAPK and CPSF4 signaling pathway. Mol Oncol. 2016 Feb;10(2):317-29. PMID: 26628108
- 95. Gao et al. Expression of p300 and CBP is associated with poor prognosis in small cell lung cancer. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2014;7(2):760-7. PMID: 24551300
- 96. Sakamoto et al. Distinct roles of EGF repeats for the Notch signaling system. Exp. Cell Res. 2005 Jan 15;302(2):281-91. PMID: 15561108
- 97. Bray. Notch signalling in context. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2016 Nov;17(11):722-735. PMID: 27507209
- 98. Kopan et al. The canonical Notch signaling pathway: unfolding the activation mechanism. Cell. 2009 Apr 17;137(2):216-33. PMID: 19379690
- 99. Lobry et al. Oncogenic and tumor suppressor functions of Notch in cancer: it's NOTCH what you think. J. Exp. Med. 2011 Sep 26;208(10):1931-5. PMID: 21948802
- 100. Goriki et al. Unravelling disparate roles of NOTCH in bladder cancer. Nat Rev Urol. 2018 Jun;15(6):345-357. PMID: 29643502
- 101. Wang et al. Loss-of-function mutations in Notch receptors in cutaneous and lung squamous cell carcinoma. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011 Oct 25;108(43):17761-6. PMID: 22006338
- 102. Xiu et al. The role of oncogenic Notch2 signaling in cancer: a novel therapeutic target. Am J Cancer Res. 2019;9(5):837-854. PMID: 31218097