삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Patient Name: 조익현
Gender: M
Sample ID: N25-205

Primary Tumor Site: Lung
Collection Date: 2025.08.28

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents

Report Highlights

0 Relevant Biomarkers
0 Therapies Available
0 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	***1.89 Mut/Mb measured***

Relevant Biomarkers

No biomarkers associated with relevant evidence found in this sample

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

*MAP2K4 p.(N234Kfs*10) c.702_705delTATTinsAC, MLH1 p.(V384D) c.1151T>A, Microsatellite stable, TP53 p.(R158L) c.473G>T, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, HLA-A deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, USP9X deletion, DDX3X deletion, PHF6 deletion, Tumor Mutational Burden*

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
MAP2K4	p.(N234Kfs*10)	c.702_705delTATTinsAC	.	chr17:12016566	13.37%	NM_003010.4	frameshift Block Substitution
MLH1	p.(V384D)	c.1151T>A	.	chr3:37067240	56.53%	NM_000249.4	missense
TP53	p.(R158L)	c.473G>T	COSM10714	chr17:7578457	19.97%	NM_000546.6	missense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	50.05%	NM_000463.3	missense

Variant Details (continued)

DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	48.52%	NM_000903.3	missense
MSH6	p.(E1121Q)	c.3361G>C	.	chr2:48030747	51.12%	NM_000179.3	missense
ETAA1	p.(G772E)	c.2315G>A	.	chr2:67632129	12.15%	NM_019002.4	missense
MEIG1	p.([P8=;K9T])	c.24_26delAAAinsCAC	.	chr10:15008491	43.87%	NM_001080836.3	synonymous, missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
HLA-A	chr6:29910229	0	0.57
USP9X	chrX:40982869	0.38	0.68
DDX3X	chrX:41193501	0.25	0.65
PHF6	chrX:133511628	0.38	0.68

Biomarker Descriptions

MAP2K4 p.(N234Kfs*10) c.702_705delTATTinsAC

mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4

Background: The MAP2K4 gene encodes the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4, also known as MEK4[1]. MAP2K4 is a member of the mitogen-activated protein kinase 2 (MAP2K) subfamily which also includes MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAP2K3, MAP2K5, and MAP2K6[31]. Activation of MAPK proteins occurs through a kinase signaling cascade[31,32,33]. Specifically, MAP3Ks are responsible for phosphorylation of MAP2K family members[31,32,33]. Once activated, MAP2Ks are responsible for the phosphorylation of various MAPK proteins whose signaling is involved in several cellular processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, and inflammation[31,32,33]. Mutations observed in MAP2K4 were have been observed to impair kinase activity and promote tumorigenesis in vitro, supporting a possible tumor suppressor role for MAP2K4[34].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MAP2K4 have been observed in 5% of uterine carcinoma and colorectal cancer, and 4% of breast invasive carcinoma[8,9]. Biallelic deletions have been observed in 3% of stomach cancer, and 2% of breast invasive carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), colorectal, pancreatic, and ovarian cancer[8,9]. Nonsense, frameshift, and missense mutations in MAP2K4 generally inactivate the kinase activity, and lost expression has been identified in prostate, ovarian, brain, and pancreatic cancer models[35,36].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MA2PK4 aberrations.

MLH1 p.(V384D) c.1151T>A

mutL homolog 1

Background: The MLH1 gene encodes the mutL homolog 1 protein[1]. MLH1 is a tumor suppressor gene that heterodimerizes with PMS2 to form the MutLα complex, PMS1 to form the MutLβ complex, and MLH3 to form the MutLγ complex[10]. The MutLα complex functions as an endonuclease that is specifically involved in the mismatch repair (MMR) process and mutations in MLH1 result in the inactivation of MutLα and degradation of PMS2[10,11]. Loss of MLH1 protein expression and MLH1 promoter hypermethylation correlates with mutations in these genes and are used to pre-screen colorectal cancer or endometrial hyperplasia[12,13]. MLH1, along with MSH6, MSH2, and PMS2 form the core components of the MMR pathway[10]. The MMR pathway is critical to the repair of mismatch errors which typically occur during DNA replication[10]. Deficiency in MMR (dMMR) is characterized by mutations and loss of expression in these genes[14]. dMMR is associated with microsatellite instability (MSI), which is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[15,16,17]. MSI-high (MSI-H) is a hallmark of Lynch Syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in MMR genes[15,18]. LS is associated with an increased risk

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[16,18,19,20]. Specifically, MLH1 mutations are associated with an increased risk of ovarian and pancreatic cancer[21,22,23,24].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MLH1 are observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2-3% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and melanoma[8,9]. Alterations in MLH1 are observed in pediatric cancers[8,9]. Somatic mutations are observed in 1% of bone cancer and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), embryonal tumor (2 in 332 cases), and leukemia (2 in 311 cases)[8,9].

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, talazoparib[25] in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes MLH1. Additionally, pembrolizumab (2014) is an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor that is approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed on prior therapies[26]. Nivolumab (2015), an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, is approved alone or in combination with the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab (2011), for patients with dMMR colorectal cancer that have progressed on prior treatment[27,28]. MLH1 mutations are consistent with high grade in pediatric diffuse gliomas[29,30].

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[77]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[16,18]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[17]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[78]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[78]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[19,79,80,81,82]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[18]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[16,18,19,20].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[16,18,83,84]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[83,84].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[26] (2014) and nivolumab[27] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[26] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[26]. Dostarlimab[85] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[80,86]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[28] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[80,87,88]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[88]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[89,90]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[89,90].

TP53 p.(R158L) c.473G>T

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair[1]. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis[37]. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential[38]. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers[39,40].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)[8,9,41,42,43,44]. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282[8,9]. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes[45,46,47,48]. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers[8,9]. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)[8,9]. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)[8,9].

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586[49] (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt[50], (2019) and breakthrough designation[51] (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation[52,53]. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma[54]. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)[55,56,57,58,59,60]. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant[61]. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system[62].

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily[1,97]. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites[97,98]. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance[99]. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation[99,100,101,102]. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38[103].

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma[8,9].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

HLA-A deletion

major histocompatibility complex, class I, A

Background: The HLA-A gene encodes the major histocompatibility complex, class I, A[1]. MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I molecules are located on the cell surface of nucleated cells and present antigens from within the cell for recognition by cytotoxic T cells[2]. MHC class I molecules are heterodimers composed of two polypeptide chains, α and B2M[3]. The classical MHC class I genes include HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C and encode the α polypeptide chains, which present short polypeptide chains, of 7 to 11 amino acids, to the immune system to distinguish self from non-self[4,5,6]. Downregulation of MHC class I promotes tumor evasion of the immune system, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for HLA-A[7].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in HLA-A are observed in 7% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 3% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma[8,9]. Biallelic loss of HLA-A is observed in 4% of DLBCL[8,9].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for HLA-A aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

USP9X deletion

ubiquitin specific peptidase 9 X-linked

Background: The USP9X gene encodes the ubiquitin specific peptidase 9 X-lined protein[1]. USP9X is a deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) and a member of the ubiquitin-specific protease (USP) subclass of cysteine proteases[63]. DUBs are responsible for protein deubiquitination, thereby counter-regulating post-transcriptional ubiquitin modification of proteins within the cell[63,64]. USP9X has many substrates and is commonly upregulated in several solid tumor types, supporting an oncogenic role for USP9X[64]. Conversely, in some cancer types, USP9X has been observed to function as a tumor suppressor, suggesting its exact role in cancer may be dependent on its subtrates[64]. In breast cancer, USP9X has been shown to stabilize BRCA1 by inhibiting its ubiquitination, thereby influencing the regulation of homologous recombination and repair[64].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations are observed in 16% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 11% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 5% of stomach adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[8,9]. Biallelic deletions are observed in 4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 2% of mesothelioma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma[8,9].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for USP9X aberrations.

DDX3X deletion

DEAD-box helicase 3, X-linked

Background: The DDX3X gene encodes DEAD-box helicase 3 X-linked, a member of the DEAD-box protein family, which is part of the RNA helicase superfamily II[1,65]. DEAD-box helicases contain twelve conserved motifs including a "DEAD" domain which is characterized by a conserved amino acid sequence of Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp (DEAD)[65,66,67,68]. In DEAD-box proteins, the DEAD domain interacts with β- and γ-phosphates of ATP through Mg2+ and is required for ATP hydrolysis[65]. DDX3X is involved in several processes including the unwinding of double-stranded RNA, splicing of pre-mRNA, RNA export, transcription, and translation[69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76]. Deregulation of DDX3X has been shown to impact cancer progression by modulating proliferation, metastasis, and drug resistance[69].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in DDX3X are observed in 9% of skin cutaneous melanoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 7% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[8,9]. Biallelic loss of DDX3X is observed in 4% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and 2% of mesothelioma and lung squamous cell carcinoma[8,9].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for DDX3X aberrations.

PHF6 deletion

PHD finger protein 6

Background: The PHF6 gene encodes the plant homeodomain (PHD) finger protein 6 which contains four nuclear localization signals and two imperfect PHD zinc finger domains. PHF6 is a tumor suppressor that interacts with the nucleosome remodeling deacetylase (NuRD) complex, which regulates nucleosome positioning and transcription of genes involved in development and cell-cycle progression[91,92].

Alterations and prevalence: The majority of PHF6 aberrations are nonsense, frameshift (70%), or missense (30%) mutations, which result in complete loss of protein expression[91,93,94,95]. Truncating or missense mutations in PHF6 are observed in 38% of adult and 16% of pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL), 20-25% of mixed phenotype acute leukemias (MPAL), and 3% of AML, and 2.6% of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[93,95]. Missense mutations recurrently involve codon C215 and the second zinc finger domain of PHF6[93]. PHF6 mutations are frequently observed in hematologic malignancies from male patients[91,93].

Potential relevance: Somatic mutations in PHF6 are associated with reduced overall survival in AML patients treated with high-dose induction chemotherapy[96].

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	***24.7%***
BRCA2	***LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x2***

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
2. Hulpke et al. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. Trends Biochem Sci. PMID: 23849087
3. Adams et al. The adaptable major histocompatibility complex (MHC) fold: structure and function of nonclassical and MHC class I-like molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:529-61. PMID: 23298204
4. Rossjohn et al. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2015;33:169-200. PMID: 25493333
5. Parham. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005 Mar;5(3):201-14. PMID: 15719024
6. Sidney et al. HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. BMC Immunol. 2008 Jan 22;9:1. PMID: 18211710
7. Cornel et al. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2020 Jul 2;12(7). PMID: 32630675
8. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
9. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
10. Li. Mechanisms and functions of DNA mismatch repair. Cell Res. 2008 Jan;18(1):85-98. PMID: 18157157
11. Zhao et al. Mismatch Repair Deficiency/Microsatellite Instability-High as a Predictor for anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Immunotherapy Efficacy. J Hematol Oncol. 12(1),54. PMID: 31151482
12. Berends et al. MLH1 and MSH2 protein expression as a pre-screening marker in hereditary and non-hereditary endometrial hyperplasia and cancer. Int. J. Cancer. 2001 May 1;92(3):398-403. PMID: 11291077
13. Gausachs et al. MLH1 promoter hypermethylation in the analytical algorithm of Lynch syndrome: a cost-effectiveness study. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 2012 Jul;20(7):762-8. PMID: 22274583
14. Martin et al. Therapeutic targeting of the DNA mismatch repair pathway. Clin Cancer Res. 2010 Nov 1;16(21):5107-13. PMID: 20823149
15. Lynch et al. Review of the Lynch syndrome: history, molecular genetics, screening, differential diagnosis, and medicolegal ramifications. Clin. Genet. 2009 Jul;76(1):1-18. PMID: 19659756
16. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
17. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
18. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
19. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
20. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
21. Bonadona et al. Cancer risks associated with germline mutations in MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 genes in Lynch syndrome. JAMA. 2011 Jun 8;305(22):2304-10. PMID: 21642682
22. Engel et al. Risks of less common cancers in proven mutation carriers with lynch syndrome. J Clin Oncol. 2012 Dec 10;30(35):4409-15. PMID: 23091106
23. Grant et al. Prevalence of germline mutations in cancer predisposition genes in patients with pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology. 2015 Mar;148(3):556-64. PMID: 25479140
24. Hu et al. Association Between Inherited Germline Mutations in Cancer Predisposition Genes and Risk of Pancreatic Cancer. JAMA. 2018 Jun 19;319(23):2401-2409. PMID: 29922827
25. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/217439s000lbl.pdf
26. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
27. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
28. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
29. Buccoliero et al. Pediatric High Grade Glioma Classification Criteria and Molecular Features of a Case Series. Genes (Basel). 2022 Mar 31;13(4). PMID: 35456430
30. Friker et al. MSH2, MSH6, MLH1, and PMS2 immunohistochemistry as highly sensitive screening method for DNA mismatch repair deficiency syndromes in pediatric high-grade glioma. Acta Neuropathol. 2025 Feb 2;149(1):11. PMID: 39894875

References (continued)

31. Pritchard et al. Molecular pathways: mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway mutations and drug resistance. Clin. Cancer Res. 2013 May 1;19(9):2301-9. PMID: 23406774
32. Lee et al. Targeting MAPK Signaling in Cancer: Mechanisms of Drug Resistance and Sensitivity. Int J Mol Sci. 2020 Feb 7;21(3). PMID: 32046099
33. Bubici et al. JNK signalling in cancer: in need of new, smarter therapeutic targets. Br J Pharmacol. 2014 Jan;171(1):24-37. PMID: 24117156
34. Ahn et al. Map2k4 functions as a tumor suppressor in lung adenocarcinoma and inhibits tumor cell invasion by decreasing peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ2 expression. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2011 Nov;31(21):4270-85. PMID: 21896780
35. Robinson et al. Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4/c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase kinase 1 protein expression is subject to translational regulation in prostate cancer cell lines. Mol. Cancer Res. 2008 Mar;6(3):501-8. PMID: 18337456
36. Xue et al. MAP3K1 and MAP2K4 mutations are associated with sensitivity to MEK inhibitors in multiple cancer models. Cell Res. 2018 Jul;28(7):719-729. PMID: 29795445
37. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
38. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
39. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
40. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
41. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
42. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
43. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
44. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
45. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
46. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
47. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
48. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
49. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
50. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
51. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
52. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
53. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
54. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
55. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
56. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
57. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
58. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]

References (continued)

59. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
60. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
61. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
62. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
63. Dufner et al. Ubiquitin-specific protease 8 (USP8/UBPy): a prototypic multidomain deubiquitinating enzyme with pleiotropic functions. Biochem Soc Trans. 2019 Dec 20;47(6):1867-1879. PMID: 31845722
64. Lu et al. USP9X stabilizes BRCA1 and confers resistance to DNA-damaging agents in human cancer cells. Cancer Med. 2019 Nov;8(15):6730-6740. PMID: 31512408
65. Rocak et al. DEAD-box proteins: the driving forces behind RNA metabolism. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2004 Mar;5(3):232-41. PMID: 14991003
66. Fuller-Pace. The DEAD box proteins DDX5 (p68) and DDX17 (p72): multi-tasking transcriptional regulators. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 Aug;1829(8):756-63. PMID: 23523990
67. Ali. DEAD-box RNA helicases: The driving forces behind RNA metabolism at the crossroad of viral replication and antiviral innate immunity. Virus Res. 2021 Apr 15;296:198352. PMID: 33640359
68. Linder et al. Looking back on the birth of DEAD-box RNA helicases. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013 Aug;1829(8):750-5. PMID: 23542735
69. Lin. DDX3X Multifunctionally Modulates Tumor Progression and Serves as a Prognostic Indicator to Predict Cancer Outcomes. Int J Mol Sci. 2019 Dec 31;21(1). PMID: 31906196
70. Song et al. The mechanism of RNA duplex recognition and unwinding by DEAD-box helicase DDX3X. Nat Commun. 2019 Jul 12;10(1):3085. PMID: 31300642
71. Zhou et al. Comprehensive proteomic analysis of the human spliceosome. Nature. 2002 Sep 12;419(6903):182-5. PMID: 12226669
72. Yedavalli et al. Requirement of DDX3 DEAD box RNA helicase for HIV-1 Rev-RRE export function. Cell. 2004 Oct 29;119(3):381-92. PMID: 15507209
73. Chao et al. DDX3, a DEAD box RNA helicase with tumor growth-suppressive property and transcriptional regulation activity of the p21waf1/cip1 promoter, is a candidate tumor suppressor. Cancer Res. 2006 Jul 1;66(13):6579-88. PMID: 16818630
74. Chuang et al. Requirement of the DEAD-Box protein ded1p for messenger RNA translation. Science. 1997 Mar 7;275(5305):1468-71. PMID: 9045610
75. Shih et al. Candidate tumor suppressor DDX3 RNA helicase specifically represses cap-dependent translation by acting as an eIF4E inhibitory protein. Oncogene. 2008 Jan 24;27(5):700-14. PMID: 17667941
76. Lee et al. Human DDX3 functions in translation and interacts with the translation initiation factor eIF3. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 Aug;36(14):4708-18. PMID: 18628297
77. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
78. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
79. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
80. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
81. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
82. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
83. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
84. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
85. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
86. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
87. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608

References (continued)

88. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
89. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
90. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
91. Wendorff et al. Phf6 Loss Enhances HSC Self-Renewal Driving Tumor Initiation and Leukemia Stem Cell Activity in T-ALL. Cancer Discov. 2019 Mar;9(3):436-451. PMID: 30567843
92. Lower et al. Mutations in PHF6 are associated with Börjeson-Forssman-Lehmann syndrome. Nat. Genet. 2002 Dec;32(4):661-5. PMID: 12415272
93. Van et al. PHF6 mutations in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. 2010 Apr;42(4):338-42. PMID: 20228800
94. Van et al. PHF6 mutations in adult acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2011 Jan;25(1):130-4. PMID: 21030981
95. Yoo et al. Somatic mutation of PHF6 gene in T-cell acute lymphoblatic leukemia, acute myelogenous leukemia and hepatocellular carcinoma. Acta Oncol. 2012 Jan;51(1):107-11. PMID: 21736506
96. Patel et al. Prognostic relevance of integrated genetic profiling in acute myeloid leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2012 Mar 22;366(12):1079-89. PMID: 22417203
97. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
98. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
99. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
100. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
101. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
102. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
103. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:OP2100624. PMID: 34860573