

삼광의료재단 서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩) 검사기관 11365200

Tel. 1661-5117 www.smlab.co.kr

Report Date: 15 Sep 2025 1 of 8

Patient Name: 양만봉

Gender: M Sample ID: N25-203 Primary Tumor Site: Unknown primary origin

Collection Date: 2025.08.19

Sample Cancer Type: Unknown Primary Origin

| Table of Contents | Page |
|------------------------|------|
| Variant Details | 1 |
| Biomarker Descriptions | 2 |
| | |

Report Highlights

O Relevant Biomarkers
O Therapies Available

0 Clinical Trials

Relevant Biomarkers

No biomarkers associated with relevant evidence found in this sample

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

MLH1 p.(V384D) c.1151T>A, Microsatellite stable, TP53 p.(H193R) c.578A>G, CARD11 amplification, IKBKB amplification, NQ01 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

| DNA Sequence Variants | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Gene | Amino Acid Change | Coding | Variant ID | Locus | Allele Frequency | Transcript | Variant Effect |
| MLH1 | p.(V384D) | c.1151T>A | | chr3:37067240 | 36.77% | NM_000249.4 | missense |
| TP53 | p.(H193R) | c.578A>G | COSM10742 | chr17:7578271 | 92.31% | NM_000546.6 | missense |
| NQ01 | p.(P187S) | c.559C>T | | chr16:69745145 | 99.30% | NM_000903.3 | missense |
| KIT | p.(V603F) | c.1807G>T | | chr4:55594021 | 84.25% | NM_000222.3 | missense |
| MSH3 | p.(A61_P63dup) | c.189_190insGCAGCG CCC | | chr5:79950735 | 57.32% | NM_002439.5 | nonframeshift Insertion |
| SMARCA4 | p.(R978L) | c.2933G>T | | chr19:11134267 | 88.33% | NM_001128849.3 | missense |

| Copy Number Variations | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Gene | Locus | Copy Number | CNV Ratio | | | |
| CARD11 | chr7:2949684 | 36.54 | 13.78 | | | |
| IKBKB | chr8:42129602 | 5.91 | 2.45 | | | |
| TNFRSF14 | chr1:2488070 | 8.11 | 3.26 | | | |
| ERCC4 | chr16:14013959 | 4.35 | 1.87 | | | |

Biomarker Descriptions

MLH1 p.(V384D) c.1151T>A

mutL homolog 1

Background: The MLH1 gene encodes the mutL homolog 1 protein⁷. MLH1 is a tumor suppressor gene that heterodimerizes with PMS2 to form the MutLα complex, PMS1 to form the MutLβ complex, and MLH3 to form the MutLγ complex⁸. The MutLα complex functions as an endonuclease that is specifically involved in the mismatch repair (MMR) process and mutations in MLH1 result in the inactivation of MutLα and degradation of PMS2^{8,9}. Loss of MLH1 protein expression and MLH1 promoter hypermethylation correlates with mutations in these genes and are used to pre-screen colorectal cancer or endometrial hyperplasia^{10,11}. MLH1, along with MSH6, MSH2, and PMS2 form the core components of the MMR pathway⁸. The MMR pathway is critical to the repair of mismatch errors which typically occur during DNA replication⁸. Deficiency in MMR (dMMR) is characterized by mutations and loss of expression in these genes¹². dMMR is associated with microsatellite instability (MSI), which is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{13,14,15}. MSI-high (MSI-H) is a hallmark of Lynch Syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in MMR genes^{13,16}. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{14,16,17,18}. Specifically, MLH1 mutations are associated with an increased risk of ovarian and pancreatic cancer^{19,20,21,22}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MLH1 are observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2-3% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, and melanoma^{5,6}. Alterations in MLH1 are observed in pediatric cancers^{5,6}. Somatic mutations are observed in 1% of bone cancer and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 252 cases), embryonal tumor (2 in 332 cases), and leukemia (2 in 311 cases)^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, talazoparib²³ in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes MLH1. Additionally, pembrolizumab (2014) is an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor that is approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed on prior therapies²⁴. Nivolumab (2015), an anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor, is approved alone or in combination with the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab (2011), for patients with dMMR colorectal cancer that have progressed on prior treatment^{25,26}. MLH1 mutations are consistent with high grade in pediatric diffuse gliomas^{27,28}.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome⁵⁵. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{14,16}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2¹⁵. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250⁵⁶. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)⁵⁶. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{17,57,58,59,60}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes¹⁶. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{14,16,17,18}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{14,16,61,62}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{61,62}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab²⁴ (2014) and nivolumab²⁵ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab²⁴ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication²⁴. Dostarlimab⁶³ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{58,64}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab²⁶ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{58,65,66}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁶⁶. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

with MSI-H tumors^{67,68}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{67,68}.

TP53 p.(H193R) c.578A>G

tumor protein p53

<u>Background</u>: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair⁷. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis²⁹. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential³⁰. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{31,32}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)5.6,33,34,35,36. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R2825.6. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{37,38,39,40}. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{5,6}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases) 5.6. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586⁴¹ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt⁴², (2019) and breakthrough designation⁴³ (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{44,45}. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma⁴⁶. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{47,48,49,50,51,52}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant⁵³. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system⁵⁴.

CARD11 amplification

caspase recruitment domain family member 11

Background: The CARD11 gene encodes caspase recruitment domain family member 11 protein⁷. CARD11, also known as CARMA1, is a scaffold protein that functions in the adaptive immune system to mediate antigen-receptor signaling through the NF- κ B, JNK, and MTOR signaling pathways^{69,70,71}. In response to T- or B- cell receptor triggering, CARD11 is activated, which results in binding of various cofactors, including BCL10, MALT1, and RNF31⁷⁰. Cofactor recruitment to CARD11 leads to the ubiquitination of BCL10, which then associates with the I κ B (IKK) complex through the IKK γ subunit, thereby leading to I κ B activation and downstream NF- κ B signaling⁷⁰. CARD11 gain-of-function mutations are associated with constitutive activation of NF- κ B signaling and aberrant proliferation of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), supporting an oncogenic role for CARD11⁷⁰.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in CARD11 are observed in 17% of DLBCL, 14% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 10% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 6% of lung adenocarcinoma^{5,6}. Amplification of CARD11 is observed in 5% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 4% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 2% of adrenocortical carcinoma, DLBCL, and skin cutaneous melanoma^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CARD11 aberrations.

IKBKB amplification

inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa B kinase subunit beta

Background: The IKBKB gene encodes the nuclear factor kappa B kinase subunit beta, also known as IKK-B. IKBKB is a serine/threonine kinase, which acts as an enzyme protein subunit of the IKK complex¹. IKBKB and IKBKA dimerize to form the regulatory

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

subunit of the IKK complex. Along with modulator IKKγ/NEMO, the IKK complex acts as a master regulator of the family of NF-κB transcription factors.¹. NF-κB signaling is critical in the inflammatory response and is also known to be implicated in other important physiological processes including cell proliferation². In resting cells, NF-κB dimers are sequestered in the cytoplasm by IκB proteins². Upon signal initiation, IκB proteins are phosphorylated by the IKK complex, leading to IκB protein degradation and liberation of NF-κB dimers². Subsequently, released NF-κB dimers undergo nuclear translocation which leads to the expression of various proinflammatory and cell survival genes^{3,4}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in IKBKB are observed in 6% of uterine carcinoma, 5% of melanoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)^{5,6}. Amplifications are observed in 14% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 7% of breast invasive carcinoma and esophageal cancer^{5,6}. IKBKB activating mutations are most commonly found at lysine 175 and are observed in 8% of splenic marginal B-cell lymphomas¹.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for IKBKB aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CG, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF11, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCH, FA

HRR Details

| Gene/Genomic Alteration | Finding |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| LOH percentage | 18.47% |
| BRCA1 | LOH, 17q21.31(41197602-41276231)x4 |
| BRCA2 | LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x3 |
| BRIP1 | LOH, 17q23.2(59760627-59938976)x4 |
| CDK12 | LOH, 17q12(37618286-37687611)x4 |
| RAD51C | LOH, 17q22(56769933-56811619)x4 |
| RAD51D | LOH, 17q12(33427950-33446720)x4 |

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

6 of 8

Report Date: 15 Sep 2025

References

- Page et al. Context-Dependent Role of IKKβ in Cancer. Genes (Basel). 2017 Dec 8;8(12). PMID: 29292732
- 2. Christian et al. The Regulation of NF-κB Subunits by Phosphorylation. Cells. 2016 Mar 18;5(1). PMID: 26999213
- 3. Kabacaoglu et al. NF-kB/Rel Transcription Factors in Pancreatic Cancer: Focusing on RelA, c-Rel, and RelB. PMID: 31277415
- 4. Lawrence. The nuclear factor NF-kappaB pathway in inflammation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2009 Dec;1(6):a001651. PMID: 20457564
- 5. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
- Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
- 7. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
- 8. Li. Mechanisms and functions of DNA mismatch repair. Cell Res. 2008 Jan;18(1):85-98. PMID: 18157157
- 9. Zhao et al. Mismatch Repair Deficiency/Microsatellite Instability-High as a Predictor for anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Immunotherapy Efficacy. J Hematol Oncol. 12(1),54. PMID: 31151482
- 10. Berends et al. MLH1 and MSH2 protein expression as a pre-screening marker in hereditary and non-hereditary endometrial hyperplasia and cancer. Int. J. Cancer. 2001 May 1;92(3):398-403. PMID: 11291077
- 11. Gausachs et al. MLH1 promoter hypermethylation in the analytical algorithm of Lynch syndrome: a cost-effectiveness study. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 2012 Jul;20(7):762-8. PMID: 22274583
- 12. Martin et al. Therapeutic targeting of the DNA mismatch repair pathway. Clin Cancer Res. 2010 Nov 1;16(21):5107-13. PMID: 20823149
- 13. Lynch et al. Review of the Lynch syndrome: history, molecular genetics, screening, differential diagnosis, and medicolegal ramifications. Clin. Genet. 2009 Jul;76(1):1-18. PMID: 19659756
- 14. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
- 15. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
- 16. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
- 17. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
- 18. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
- 19. Bonadona et al. Cancer risks associated with germline mutations in MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 genes in Lynch syndrome. JAMA. 2011 Jun 8;305(22):2304-10. PMID: 21642682
- 20. Engel et al. Risks of less common cancers in proven mutation carriers with lynch syndrome. J Clin Oncol. 2012 Dec 10;30(35):4409-15. PMID: 23091106
- 21. Grant et al. Prevalence of germline mutations in cancer predisposition genes in patients with pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology. 2015 Mar;148(3):556-64. PMID: 25479140
- 22. Hu et al. Association Between Inherited Germline Mutations in Cancer Predisposition Genes and Risk of Pancreatic Cancer. JAMA. 2018 Jun 19;319(23):2401-2409. PMID: 29922827
- 23. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/217439s000lbl.pdf
- 24. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
- 25. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
- 26. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
- 27. Buccoliero et al. Pediatric High Grade Glioma Classification Criteria and Molecular Features of a Case Series. Genes (Basel). 2022 Mar 31;13(4). PMID: 35456430
- 28. Friker et al. MSH2, MSH6, MLH1, and PMS2 immunohistochemistry as highly sensitive screening method for DNA mismatch repair deficiency syndromes in pediatric high-grade glioma. Acta Neuropathol. 2025 Feb 2;149(1):11. PMID: 39894875
- 29. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
- 30. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012

References (continued)

- 31. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
- 32. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
- 33. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
- 34. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
- 35. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
- 36. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
- 37. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
- 38. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
- 39. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
- 40. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
- 41. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
- 42. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
- 43. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
- Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
- 45. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
- 46. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
- 47. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
- 48. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
- 49. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
- 50. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
- 51. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
- 52. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
- 53. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
- 54. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
- 55. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
- 56. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
- 57. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
- 58. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
- 59. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
- 60. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947

Report Date: 15 Sep 2025 8 of 8

References (continued)

61. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546

- 62. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
- 63. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
- 64. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 65. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
- 66. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
- 67. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
- 68. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
- 69. Rawlings et al. The CARMA1 signalosome links the signalling machinery of adaptive and innate immunity in lymphocytes. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006 Nov;6(11):799-812. PMID: 17063183
- 70. Wang et al. Coordinated regulation of scaffold opening and enzymatic activity during CARD11 signaling. J Biol Chem. 2019 Oct 4;294(40):14648-14660. PMID: 31391255
- 71. Bedsaul et al. Mechanisms of Regulated and Dysregulated CARD11 Signaling in Adaptive Immunity and Disease. Front Immunol. 2018;9:2105. PMID: 30283447