Samkwang Medical Lab

삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Report Date: 05 Sep 2025   1 of 9

Patient Name: 유경종	**Primary Tumor Site:**	lung
Gender: M	**Collection Date:**	2025.08.13
Sample ID: N25-185		

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Report Highlights

3 Relevant Biomarkers
0 Therapies Available
8 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	*CAPZA2::MET fusion*		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	*7.59 Mut/Mb measured*

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	*CAPZA2::MET fusion* capping actin protein of muscle Z-line subunit alpha 2 - MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase Locus: chr7:116502704 - chr7:116339125	None*	None*	5
IIC	*LATS1 p.(Q297*) c.889C>T* large tumor suppressor kinase 1 Allele Frequency: 13.33% Locus: chr6:150005336 Transcript: NM_004690.4	None*	None*	2
IIC	*BAP1 p.(Q372*) c.1114C>T* BRCA1 associated protein 1 Allele Frequency: 26.43% Locus: chr3:52439128 Transcript: NM_004656.4	None*	None*	1

* **Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA**1, NCCN, **EMA**2, ESMO
* **Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance:** NCCN, ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

FAT1 p.(T2369Rfs*2) c.7105delA, Microsatellite stable, SETD2 p.(E464*) c.1390G>T, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
LATS1	p.(Q297*)	c.889C>T	.	chr6:150005336	13.33%	NM_004690.4	nonsense
BAP1	p.(Q372*)	c.1114C>T	.	chr3:52439128	26.43%	NM_004656.4	nonsense
FAT1	p.(T2369Rfs*2)	c.7105delA	.	chr4:187540634	32.04%	NM_005245.4	frameshift Deletion
SETD2	p.(E464*)	c.1390G>T	.	chr3:47164736	30.87%	NM_014159.7	nonsense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	48.32%	NM_000463.3	missense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	41.57%	NM_000903.3	missense
OR2L8	p.(S266Y)	c.797C>A	.	chr1:248112956	5.14%	NM_001001963.1	missense
ADAMTS12	p.(V838M)	c.2512G>A	.	chr5:33614358	6.45%	NM_030955.4	missense
NMUR2	p.(H392Y)	c.1174C>T	.	chr5:151771826	12.82%	NM_020167.5	missense
MRE11	p.(I396T)	c.1187T>C	.	chr11:94197317	47.23%	NM_005591.4	missense
PPFIA2	p.(K422*)	c.1264A>T	.	chr12:81768415	15.97%	NM_003625.5	nonsense
RBM10	p.(E875D)	c.2625G>T	.	chrX:47045189	35.56%	NM_001204468.1	missense
RBMXL3	p.(Y971*)	c.2912_2913delACinsGAT	.	chrX:114426916	3.92%	NM_001145346.2	nonsense

Gene Fusions

Genes	Variant ID	Locus
CAPZA2::MET	CAPZA2-MET.C1M2.Non-Targeted	chr7:116502704 - chr7:116339125

Biomarker Descriptions

CAPZA2::MET fusion

MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase, capping actin protein of muscle Z-line subunit alpha 2

Background: Please enter text.

Alterations and prevalence: Please enter text.

Potential relevance: Please enter text.

LATS1 p.(Q297*) c.889C>T

large tumor suppressor kinase 1

Background: The LATS1 gene encodes the large tumor suppressor kinase 1[11]. LATS1 is a serine/threonine protein kinase and, along with LATS2, is a member of the AGC kinase family comprised of more than 60 members[43,44]. LATS1 and LATS2 are downstream phosphorylation targets of the Hippo pathway, and when activated, mediate the phosphorylation of transcriptional co-activators YAP

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

and TAZ[45]. Phosphorylation of YAP and TAZ results in their cytoplasmic retention and inhibition of nuclear translocation, thereby inhibiting YAP and TAZ mediated transcription of target genes[45]. Mutations in LATS1 and LATS2 are suggested to result in kinase inactivation and loss of function, supporting a tumor suppressor role for LATS1[46].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in LATS1 are observed in 9% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and skin cutaneous melanoma, and 3% of stomach adenocarcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma[9,19]. Biallelic deletion of LATS1 is observed in 8% of uveal melanoma, 6% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and 2% liver hepatocellular carcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and thymoma[9,19].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for LATS1 aberrations.

BAP1 p.(Q372*) c.1114C>T

BRCA1 associated protein 1

Background: The BAP1 gene encodes the BRCA1 associated protein 1 that belongs to the ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase subfamily of deubiquitinating enzymes[11]. BAP1 is a tumor suppressor deubiquitinase that is involved in chromatin modification, transcription, and cell cycle regulation[12]. BAP1 deubiquitylation targets include HCF-1, which modulates chromatin structure[12]. Germline mutations in BAP1 are associated with BAP1-tumor predisposition syndrome (BAP1-TPDS), a heritable condition which confers an elevated risk of developing uveal melanoma, malignant mesothelioma, and renal cell carcinoma[13,14,15,16,17,18].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations in BAP1 are observed in 21% of mesothelioma, 19% of cholangiocarcinoma, 16% of uveal melanoma, and 7% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma[9,19]. BAP1 biallelic deletions are observed in 11% of mesothelioma[9,19].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for BAP1 aberrations.

FAT1 p.(T2369Rfs*2) c.7105delA

FAT atypical cadherin 1

Background: FAT1 encodes the FAT atypical cadherin 1 protein, a member of the cadherin superfamily characterized by the presence of cadherin-type repeats[11,20]. FAT cadherins, which also include FAT2, FAT3, and FAT4, are transmembrane proteins containing a cytoplasmic domain and a number of extracellular laminin G-like motifs and EGF-like motifs, which contributes to their individual functions[20]. The cytoplasmic tail of FAT1 is known to interact with a number of protein targets involved in cell adhesion, proliferation, migration, and invasion[20]. FAT1 has been observed to influence the regulation of several oncogenic pathways, including the WNT/β-catenin, Hippo, and MAPK/ERK signaling pathways, as well as epithelial to mesenchymal transition[20]. Alterations of FAT1 lead to down-regulation or loss of function, supporting a tumor suppressor role for FAT1[20].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in FAT1 are predominantly truncating although, the R1627Q mutation has been identified as a recurrent hotspot[9,19]. Mutations in FAT1 are observed in 22% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 20% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 14% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, and 12% diffuse large b-cell lymphoma and bladder urothelial carcinoma[9,19]. Biallelic loss of FAT1 is observed in 7% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 6% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and 4% of diffuse large b-cell lymphoma, stomach adenocarcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma[9,19].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for FAT1 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[21]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[22,23]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[24]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[25]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[25]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[26,27,28,29,30]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[23].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[22,23,27,31].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[22,23,32,33]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[32,33].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[34] (2014) and nivolumab[35] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[34] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[34]. Dostarlimab[36] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[28,37]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[38] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[28,39,40]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[40]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[41,42]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[41,42].

SETD2 p.(E464*) c.1390G>T

SET domain containing 2

Background: The SETD2 gene encodes the SET domain containing 2 histone lysine methyltransferase, a protein responsible for the trimethylation of lysine-36 on histone H3 (H3K36)[1,2]. Methylation of H3K36 is a hallmark of active transcription and can be either mono-, di-, or tri-methylated where di- and tri-methylation are thought to be responsible for transcriptional regulation[3]. Trimethylation of H3K36 by SETD2 promotes post-transcriptional gene silencing and prevents aberrant transcriptional initiation[4,5]. SETD2 trimethylation activity is also observed to be involved in DNA repair through the recruitment of DNA repair machinery[2]. Specifically, H3K36 tri-methylation by SETD2 has been shown to regulate mismatch repair (MMR) in vivo, wherein the loss of SETD2 results in MMR deficiency (dMMR) and consequent microsatellite instability (MSI)[6]. Both copy number deletion and mutations resulting in SETD2 loss of function have been observed in a variety of cancers, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for SETD2[2,7].

Alterations and prevalence: Inactivating somatic mutations in SETD2 were first described in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) and are observed to be predominantly missense or truncating[7,8,9]. Mutations at codon R1625 are observed to be the most recurrent with R1625C having been identified to result in loss of SETD2 H3K36 trimethylase activity[1,9]. SETD2 mutation is observed in about 14% of uterine cancer, 12% of ccRCC, 9% of mesothelioma, and 6-7% of melanoma, lung adenocarcinoma, papillary renal cell carcinoma (pRCC), colorectal and bladder cancers[1]. Biallelic loss of SETD2 is observed in about 6% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and about 3% of ccRCC and mesothelioma[1].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for SETD2 aberrations. Mutations in SETD2 can be used to support diagnosis of hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma (HSTCL)[10].

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily[11,47]. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites[47,48]. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance[49]. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation[49,50,51,52]. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38[53].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

<u>Alterations and prevalence:</u> Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma[9,19].

<u>Potential relevance:</u> Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage (continued)

EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

● In this cancer type   ○ In other cancer type   ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types   ✖ No evidence

CAPZA2::MET fusion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
cabozantinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
crizotinib, savolitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
sacituzumab govitecan	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
bozitinib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
ANS-014004	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

LATS1 p.(Q297*) c.889C>T

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
IAG-933	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
SW-682	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

BAP1 p.(Q372*) c.1114C>T

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
talazoparib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	*5.42%*
Not Detected	*Not Applicable*

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Disclaimer: The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. The data version is 2025.06(006).

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Hacker et al. Structure/Function Analysis of Recurrent Mutations in SETD2 Protein Reveals a Critical and Conserved Role for a SET Domain Residue in Maintaining Protein Stability and Histone H3 Lys-36 Trimethylation. J. Biol. Chem. 2016 Sep 30;291(40):21283-21295. PMID: 27528607

2. Fahey et al. SETting the Stage for Cancer Development: SETD2 and the Consequences of Lost Methylation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 May 1;7(5). PMID: 28159833

3. Zaghi et al. H3K36 Methylation in Neural Development and Associated Diseases. Front Genet. 2020 Jan 9;10:1291. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.01291. eCollection 2019. PMID: 31998360

4. Suzuki et al. H3K36 methylation state and associated silencing mechanisms. Transcription. 2017 Jan;8(1):26-31. PMID: 27723431

5. Sun et al. H3K36me3, Message From Chromatin to DNA Damage Repair. Cell Biosci . 2020 Jan 31;10:9. doi: 10.1186/s13578-020-0374-z. eCollection 2020. PMID: 32021684

6. Li et al. The Histone Mark H3K36me3 Regulates Human DNA Mismatch Repair Through Its Interaction With MutSα. Cell. 2013 Apr 25;153(3):590-600. PMID: 23622243

7. Duns et al. Histone methyltransferase gene SETD2 is a novel tumor suppressor gene in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2010 Jun 1;70(11):4287-91. PMID: 20501857

8. Dalgliesh et al. Systematic sequencing of renal carcinoma reveals inactivation of histone modifying genes. Nature. 2010 Jan 21;463(7279):360-3. PMID: 20054297

9. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849

10. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-T-Cell Lymphomas [Version 1.2025]

11. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804

12. Murali et al. Tumours associated with BAP1 mutations. Pathology. 2013 Feb;45(2):116-26. PMID: 23277170

13. Wiesner et al. Germline mutations in BAP1 predispose to melanocytic tumors. Nat. Genet. 2011 Aug 28;43(10):1018-21. PMID: 21874003

14. Wadt et al. A cryptic BAP1 splice mutation in a family with uveal and cutaneous melanoma, and paraganglioma. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2012 Nov;25(6):815-8. PMID: 22889334

15. Cheung et al. Further evidence for germline BAP1 mutations predisposing to melanoma and malignant mesothelioma. Cancer Genet. 2013 May;206(5):206-10. PMID: 23849051

16. Njauw et al. Germline BAP1 inactivation is preferentially associated with metastatic ocular melanoma and cutaneous-ocular melanoma families. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(4):e35295. PMID: 22545102

17. Pilarski et al. Expanding the clinical phenotype of hereditary BAP1 cancer predisposition syndrome, reporting three new cases. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2014 Feb;53(2):177-82. PMID: 24243779

18. Popova et al. Germline BAP1 mutations predispose to renal cell carcinomas. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2013 Jun 6;92(6):974-80. PMID: 23684012

19. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877

20. Peng et al. Role of FAT1 in health and disease. Oncol Lett. 2021 May;21(5):398. PMID: 33777221

21. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011

22. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754

23. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854

24. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133

25. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339

26. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358

27. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460

28. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]

29. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785

References (continued)

30. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947

31. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427

32. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546

33. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653

34. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf

35. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf

36. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf

37. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]

38. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf

39. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608

40. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982

41. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522

42. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031

43. Furth et al. The LATS1 and LATS2 tumor suppressors: beyond the Hippo pathway. Cell Death Differ. 2017 Sep;24(9):1488-1501. PMID: 28644436

44. Leroux et al. AGC kinases, mechanisms of regulation #and innovative drug development. Semin Cancer Biol. 2018 Feb;48:1-17. PMID: 28591657

45. Meng et al. Mechanisms of Hippo pathway regulation. Genes Dev. 2016 Jan 1;30(1):1-17. PMID: 26728553

46. Yu et al. Mutation analysis of large tumor suppressor genes LATS1 and LATS2 supports a tumor suppressor role in human cancer. Protein Cell. 2015 Jan;6(1):6-11. PMID: 25482410

47. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387

48. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166

49. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295

50. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693

51. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298

52. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150

53. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:OP2100624. PMID: 34860573