Patient Name:	이종수	**Primary Tumor Site:**	lung
Gender:	M	**Collection Date:**	2025.08.13
Sample ID:	N25-182		

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page
Variant Details	**2**
Biomarker Descriptions	**3**
Relevant Therapy Summary	**10**

Report Highlights

6 Relevant Biomarkers
0 Therapies Available
17 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
EGFR	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	None detected
KRAS	None detected	ROS1	None detected
MET	None detected		

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	**5.68 Mut/Mb measured**

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	*MTAP deletion* methylthioadenosine phosphorylase Locus: chr9:21802646	None*	None*	10
IIC	*CCND1 amplification* cyclin D1 Locus: chr11:69455949	None*	None*	3
IIC	*CDKN2A deletion* cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A Locus: chr9:21968178	None*	None*	3
IIC	*PIK3CA amplification* phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha Locus: chr3:178916680	None*	None*	2

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, **EMA**2, ESMO
* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Relevant Biomarkers (continued)

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	*CDKN2B deletion* cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B Locus: chr9:22005728	None*	None*	1
IIC	*FGF19 amplification* fibroblast growth factor 19 Locus: chr11:69513948	None*	None*	1

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, **EMA**2, ESMO
* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

FGF3 amplification, FGF4 amplification, Microsatellite stable, NFE2L2 p.(E79K) c.235G>A, TP53 p.(V73Lfs*76) c.217_217delGinsCT, MECOM amplification, HLA-B deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
NFE2L2	p.(E79K)	c.235G>A	COSM132851	chr2:178098810	27.92%	NM_006164.5	missense
TP53	p.(V73Lfs*76)	c.217_217delGinsCT	.	chr17:7579470	61.42%	NM_000546.6	frameshift Block Substitution
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	99.25%	NM_000903.3	missense
GALNT17	p.(P258L)	c.773C>T	.	chr7:70885902	7.66%	NM_022479.3	missense
ADAM18	p.(S233F)	c.698C>T	.	chr8:39495093	40.40%	NM_014237.3	missense
OR5D18	p.(G171S)	c.511G>A	.	chr11:55587616	10.08%	NM_001001952.1	missense
ATM	p.(E1800D)	c.5400A>C	.	chr11:108173660	44.65%	NM_000051.4	missense
FANCI	p.(Q961_F962insWQ)	c.2884_2885insGGCAAT	.	chr15:89843611	53.65%	NM_001113378.2	nonframeshift Insertion

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
MTAP	chr9:21802646	0.09	0.39
CCND1	chr11:69455949	11.39	4.0
CDKN2A	chr9:21968178	0	0.29
PIK3CA	chr3:178916680	24.98	8.35
CDKN2B	chr9:22005728	0	0.34
FGF19	chr11:69513948	12.39	4.32
FGF3	chr11:69625020	13.59	4.71
FGF4	chr11:69588019	11.91	4.17

Variant Details (continued)

Copy Number Variations (continued)

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
MECOM	chr3:168802636	21.17	7.14
HLA-B	chr6:31322252	1	0.68
RNASEH2C	chr11:65487230	11.8	4.13
PARP2	chr14:20811781	8.22	2.99

Biomarker Descriptions

MTAP deletion

methylthioadenosine phosphorylase

Background: The MTAP gene encodes methylthioadenosine phosphorylase[18]. Methylthioadenosine phosphorylase, a key enzyme in polyamine biosynthesis and methionine salvage pathways, catalyzes the reversible phosphorylation of S-methyl-5'-thioadenosine (MTA) to adenine and 5-methylthioribose-1-phosphate[19,20]. Loss of MTAP function is commonly observed in cancer due to deletion or promotor methylation which results in the loss of MTA phosphorylation and sensitivity of MTAP-deficient cells to purine synthesis inhibitors and to methionine deprivation[20].

Alterations and prevalence: MTAP is flanked by CDKN2A tumor suppressor on chromosome 9p21 and is frequently found to be co-deleted with CDKN2A in numerous solid and hematological cancers[20,21]. Consequently, biallelic loss of MTAP has been observed in 42% of glioblastoma multiforme, 32% of mesothelioma, 26% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 22% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 21% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 20% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, 15% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 12% of lung adenocarcinoma, 11% of cholangiocarcinoma, 9% of sarcoma, stomach adenocarcinoma and brain lower grade glioma, and 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, thymoma and liver hepatocellular carcinoma[6,7]. Somatic mutations in MTAP have been found in 3% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma[6,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MTAP aberrations.

CCND1 amplification

cyclin D1

Background: The CCND1 gene encodes the cyclin D1 protein, a member of the highly conserved D-cyclin family that also includes CCND2 and CCND3[138,139,140]. D-type cyclins are known to regulate cell cycle progression by binding to and activating cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs), specifically CDK4 and CDK6, which leads to the phosphorylation and inactivation of the retinoblastoma (RB1) protein[138,139]. Consequently, RB1 inactivation results in E2F transcription factor activation and cellular G1/S phase transition thereby resulting in cell cycle progression, a common event observed in tumorigenesis[138,139,141]. Aberrations in the D-type cyclins have been observed to promote tumor progression suggesting an oncogenic role for CCND1[140,142].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations to CCND1, including mutations, amplifications, and chromosomal translocations, are observed in many cancer types. A common mechanism of these alterations is to increase the expression and nuclear localization of the cyclin D1 protein. Recurrent somatic mutations include missense mutations at codons T286 and P287 and c-terminal truncating mutations that are enriched in about 33% of uterine cancer, and missense mutations at Y44 that are enriched in about 50% of Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL)[6,7,143,144]. These mutations block phosphorylation-dependent nuclear export and proteolysis[145,146,147,148]. CCND1 is recurrently amplified in many cancer types, including up to 35% of esophageal cancer, 20-30% of head and neck cancer, and 10-20% of breast, squamous lung, and bladder cancers[6,7,66]. MCL is genetically characterized by the t(11;14)(q13;q13) translocation, a rearrangement that juxtaposes CCND1 to the immunoglobulin heavy (IgH) chain gene. This rearrangement leads to constitutive expression of cyclin D1 and plays an important role in MCL pathogenesis[149,150].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CCND1 aberrations. The t(11;14) translocation involving CCND1 can be used to help diagnose some lymphoma subtypes including non-gastric MALT lymphoma, splenic marginal cell lymphoma, and mantle cell lymphoma[81].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

CDKN2A deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A

Background: CDKN2A encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression[18]. CDKN2A, also known as p16/INK4A, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2B (p15/INK4B), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)[22]. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb[23,24,25]. CDKN2A encodes two alternative transcript variants, namely p16 and p14ARF, both of which exhibit differential tumor suppressor functions[26]. Specifically, the CDKN2A/p16 transcript inhibits cell cycle kinases CDK4 and CDK6, whereas the CDKN2A/p14ARF transcript stabilizes the tumor suppressor protein p53 to prevent its degradation[18,26,27]. CDKN2A aberrations commonly co-occur with CDKN2B[22]. Loss of CDKN2A/p16 results in downstream inactivation of the Rb and p53 pathways, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation[28]. Germline mutations of CDKN2A are known to confer a predisposition to melanoma and pancreatic cancer[29,30].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic alterations in CDKN2A often result in loss of function (LOF) which is attributed to copy number loss, truncating, or missense mutations[31]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in 20% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 15% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 13% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 7% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 4% of lung adenocarcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma[6,7]. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 56% of glioblastoma multiforme, 45% of mesothelioma, 39% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 32% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 31% of skin cutaneous melanoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 28% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 27% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 17% of lung adenocarcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and of brain lower grade glioma, 7% of adrenocortical carcinoma, 6% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of breast invasive carcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma and thymoma, 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe[6,7]. Alterations in CDKN2A are also observed in pediatric cancers[7]. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 68% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 40% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of glioma, 19% of bone cancer, and 6% of embryonal tumors[7]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in less that 1.5% of bone cancer (5 in 327 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 252 cases), and leukemia (1 in 354 cases)[7].

Potential relevance: Loss of CDKN2A can be useful in the diagnosis of mesothelioma, and mutations in CDKN2A are ancillary diagnostic markers of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors[32,33,34]. Additionally, deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging Grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma[35]. Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2A aberrations. However, CDKN2A LOF leading to CDK4/6 activation may confer sensitivity to CDK inhibitors such as palbociclib and abemaciclib[36,37,38]. Alternatively, CDKN2A expression and Rb inactivation demonstrate resistance to palbociclib in cases of glioblastoma multiforme[39]. CDKN2A (p16) expression is associated with a favorable prognosis for progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in p16/HPV positive head and neck cancer[40,41,42,43].

PIK3CA amplification

phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha

Background: The PIK3CA gene encodes the phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha of the class I phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) enzyme[116]. PI3K is a heterodimer that contains a p85 regulatory subunit, which couples one of four p110 catalytic subunits to activated tyrosine protein kinases[117,118]. The p110 catalytic subunits include p110α, β, δ, γ and are encoded by genes PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, and PIK3CG, respectively[117]. PI3K catalyzes the conversion of phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P2) into phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PI(3,4,5)P3) while the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) catalyzes the reverse reaction[119,120]. The reversible phosphorylation of inositol lipids regulates diverse aspects of cell growth and metabolism[119,120,121,122]. Recurrent somatic alterations in PIK3CA are frequent in cancer and result in the activation of PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway, which can influence several hallmarks of cancer including cell proliferation, apoptosis, cancer cell metabolism and invasion, and genetic instability[123,124,125].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic activating mutations in PIK3CA are common in diverse cancers and are observed in 20-30% of breast, cervical, and uterine cancers and 10-20% of bladder, gastric, head and neck, and colorectal cancers[6,7]. Activating mutations in PIK3CA commonly occur in exons 10 and 21 (previously referred to as exons 9 and 20 due to exon 1 being untranslated)[126,127]. These mutations typically cluster in the exon 10 helical (codons E542/E545) and exon 21 kinase (codon H1047) domains, each having distinct mechanisms of activation[128,129,130]. PIK3CA resides in the 3q26 cytoband, a region frequently amplified (10-30%) in diverse cancers including squamous carcinomas of the lung, cervix, head and neck, and esophagus, and in serous ovarian and uterine cancers[6,7].

Potential relevance: The PI3K inhibitor, alpelisib[131], is FDA-approved (2019) in combination with fulvestrant for the treatment of patients with PIK3CA-mutated, hormone receptor (HR)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative, advanced

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

or metastatic breast cancer. Additionally, a phase Ib study of alpelisib with letrozole in patients with metastatic estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer showed the clinical benefit rate, defined as lack of disease progression ≥ 6 months, was 44% (7/16) in PIK3CA-mutated tumors and 20% (2/20) in PIK3CA wild-type tumors[132]. Specifically, exon 20 H1047R mutations were associated with more durable clinical responses in comparison to exon 9 E545K mutations[132]. However, alpelisib did not improve response when administered with letrozole in patients with ER+ early breast cancer with PIK3CA mutations[133]. The FDA also approved the kinase inhibitor, capivasertib (2023)[134] in combination with fulvestrant for locally advanced or metastatic HR-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer with one or more PIK3CA/AKT1/PTEN-alterations following progression after endocrine treatment. The kinase inhibitor, inavolisib[135], is also FDA-approved (2024) in combination with palbociclib and fulvestrant for the treatment of adults with endocrine-resistant, PIK3CA-mutated, HR-positive, and HER2-negative breast cancer. Case studies with mTOR inhibitors sirolimus and temsirolimus report isolated cases of clinical response in PIK3CA mutated refractory cancers[136,137].

CDKN2B deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B

Background: CDKN2B encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression[18,22]. CDKN2B, also known as p15/INK4B, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2A (p16/INK4A), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)[22]. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb[23,24,25]. CDKN2B is a tumor suppressor and aberrations in this gene commonly co-occur with CDKN2A[22]. Germline mutations in CDKN2B are linked to pancreatic cancer predisposition and familial renal cell carcinoma[18,44,45].

Alterations and prevalence: CDKN2B copy number loss is a frequently occurring somatic aberration that is observed in 55% of glioblastoma multiforme, 43% of mesothelioma, 35% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 31% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 29% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 28% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 27% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 25% of diffuse large B -cell lymphoma, 16% of lung adenocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 14% of cholangiocarcinoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and brain lower grade glioma, 5% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, thymoma, and kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, 3% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe[6,7]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are observed in 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma[6,7]. CDKN2B copy number loss is also observed in pediatric cancers, including 64% of childhood T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 37% of pediatric B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of pediatric gliomas, 14% of pediatric bone cancers, 6% of embryonal tumors, and 2% of peripheral nervous system cancers[6,7]. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are observed in less than 1% of bone cancer (1 in 327 cases)[6,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2B aberrations. Homozygous deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma[35].

FGF19 amplification

fibroblast growth factor 19

Background: The FGF19 gene encodes the fibroblast growth factor 19 protein, a member of the FGF protein family composed of twenty-two members[52,53]. With the exception of four non-signaling FGF memebers (FGF11-14), FGF proteins function as ligands and mediate the activation of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) family of tyrosine kinases[52,53]. Upon FGF-mediated stimulation, FGFRs activate several oncogenic signaling pathways, including the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, PI3K/AKT/MTOR, PLC/PKC, and JAK/STAT pathways thereby influencing cell proliferation, migration, and survival[54,55,56]. FGF19 is specifically observed to bind FGFR4 with increased affinity in the presence of the transmembrane protein klotho beta (KLB) which functions as a cofactor in FGF19 mediated FGFR4 activation[83,84]. FGF19-mediated aberrant signaling has been identified as an oncogenic driver in hepatocellular carcinoma[83,85].

Alterations and prevalence: FGF19 amplification is observed in about 35% of esophageal cancer, 23% of head and neck cancer, 10-15% of invasive breast carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, squamous lung, and bladder cancers as well as 5-7% of melanoma, liver, ovarian, and stomach cancers[6]. FGF19 overexpression is correlated with the development and tumor progression in hepatocellular carcinoma[86].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for FGF19 aberrations. Selective, irreversible FGFR4 inhibitors, including fisogatinib (BLU-554), are under current clinical trial evaluation. In a phase-I clinical study of fisogatinib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma, 63% of the 115 patients enrolled were FGF19-positive by IHC[87]. Additionally, in 53 patients with tissue available for evaluation, 96% also exhibited mRNA-expression of FGFR4 and KLB. The total overall response rate observed for fisogatinib in FGF19-positive patients evaluable for response was 17% (11/66)[87].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

FGF3 amplification

fibroblast growth factor 3

Background: The FGF3 gene encodes the fibroblast growth factor 3 protein, a member of the FGF protein family composed of twenty-two members[52,53]. With the exception of four non-signaling FGF memebers (FGF11-14), FGF proteins function as ligands and mediate the activation of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) family of tyrosine kinases[52,53]. Upon FGF-mediated stimulation, FGFRs activate several oncogenic signaling pathways, including the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, PI3K/AKT/MTOR, PLC/PKC, and JAK/STAT pathways thereby influencing cell proliferation, migration, and survival[54,55,56]. Specifically, FGF3 has been shown to bind to both FGFR1 and FGFR2[57,58]. Overexpression of FGF3 has been associated with certain tumor types including lung and liver cancers[59,60]. Additionally, constitutive ectopic expression has been suggested to promote tumorigenesis in vitro, supporting an oncogenic role for FGF3[58].

Alterations and prevalence: FGF3 amplification is observed in about 35% of esophageal cancer, 24% of head and neck cancer, 10-15% of invasive breast carcinoma, squamous lung, and bladder cancers as well as 5-10% of cholangiocarcinoma, melanoma, liver, ovarian and stomach cancers[6]. FGF3 overexpression is correlated with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) development as well as tumor metastasis and recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma[59,60].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for FGF3 aberrations.

FGF4 amplification

fibroblast growth factor 4

Background: The FGF4 gene encodes the fibroblast growth factor 4 protein, a member of the FGF protein family, which is composed of 22 members[18,53]. With the exception of four non-signaling FGF members (FGF11-14), FGF proteins function as ligands and mediate the activation of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) family of tyrosine kinases[52,53]. Upon FGF-mediated stimulation, FGFRs activate several oncogenic signaling pathways, including the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, PI3K/AKT/MTOR, PLC/PKC, and JAK/STAT pathways, thereby influencing cell proliferation, migration, and survival[54,55,56].

Alterations and prevalence: Amplifications in FGF4 are observed in various tumor types, but most frequently are found in up to 35% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 24% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 14% of breast invasive carcinoma, 12% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 11% of cholangiocarcinoma, 10% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 7% of stomach adenocarcinoma, and 5% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma[6,7]. FGF4 overexpression has been associated with Kaposi sarcoma lesions as well as testicular cancer[92,93].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for FGF4 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[94]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[95,96]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[97]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[98]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[98]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[99,100,101,102,103]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[96]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[95,96,100,104].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[95,96,105,106]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[105,106].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[107] (2014) and nivolumab[108] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[107] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[107]. Dostarlimab[109] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[101,110]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[111] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[101,112,113]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[113]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[114,115]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[114,115].

NFE2L2 p.(E79K) c.235G>A

nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2

Background: The NFE2L2 gene encodes the nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 transcription factor, a member of the basic leucine zipper protein family[18]. NFE2L2, also known as NRF2, is a proto-oncogene that activates transcription of genes with antioxidant response elements (ARE)[88]. NFE2L2 targets include genes involved in antioxidant response, drug metabolism, DNA repair, autophagy, cell survival, and proliferation[88,89]. NFE2L2 is negatively regulated by KEAP1, a Cul3 adaptor protein, that ubiquitinates NFE2L2[89].

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic mutations in NFE2L2 are observed in 14% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 9% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and 5% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma[6,7]. Deletion of NFE2L2 exon 2 or exon 2 and 3 result in an isoform leading to the lack of the KEAP1 interacting domain, NFE2L2 stabilization, and expression of NFE2L2 targets such as HMOX1, G6PD, PDGFC, FGF2, and NQO1[88,90].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for NFE2L2 aberrations. The FDA has granted fast track designation (2022) to the mTORC 1/2 inhibitor, sapanisertib (CB-228)[91], for patients with NFE2L2 mutated, unresectable or metastatic squamous non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who have received prior platinum-based chemotherapy and immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy.

TP53 p.(V73Lfs*76) c.217_217delGinsCT

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair[18]. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis[61]. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential[62]. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers[63,64].

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)[6,7,65,66,67,68]. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282[6,7]. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes[69,70,71,72]. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers[6,7]. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)[6,7]. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)[6,7].

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586[73] (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt[74], (2019) and breakthrough designation[75] (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation[76,77]. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma[78]. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)[9,10,11,14,79,80]. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant[81]. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system[82].

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

MECOM amplification

MDS1 and EVI1 complex locus

Background: The MECOM gene encodes the MDS1 and EVI1 complex locus (MECOM), a zinc-finger transcriptional factor that regulates hematopoietic cell differentiation[1]. The MECOM locus encodes multiple alternative splice variants that result in MDS1-EVI1, MDS1, and EVI1 protein isoforms[2]. The EVI1 isoform is the most abundant and oncogenic form of MECOM that is expressed in various cancers including acute myeloid leukemia (AML)[2,3]. MECOM is a frequent target of chromosomal translocation which can lead to MECOM overexpression and leukemogenesis[4].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations MECOM are observed in up to 22% of malignant melanoma; 75% of these mutations are missense and the remaining 25% are truncating mutations[5,6,7]. MECOM amplifications are observed in up to 35% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 30% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 20% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma[6,7]. MECOM rearrangements occur with various partner genes including ETV6, RUNX1, and H2AFY[8]. The t(3;21)(q26;q22) translocation that results in the MECOM::RUNX1 fusion is most commonly observed in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) in blast crisis. The t(3;3)(q21.3;q26.2)/ inv(3)(q21.3;q26.3) translocation, also referred to as inv(3)/t(3;3), results in a GATA2::MECOM fusion and is observed in AML, primary myelofibrosis (PMF), and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)[9,10,11]. The inv(3)/ t(3;3) translocation repositions the distal GATA enhancer element and activates MECOM expression while simultaneously causing GATA2 haploinsufficiency[12].

Potential relevance: AML with MECOM rearrangement is considered a distinct molecular subtype of AML as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO)[13]. MECOM rearrangements, including GATA2::MECOM fusions, are associated with poor/adverse risk in AML[9,14]. Inv(3) is associated with poor cytogenetic risk in MDS as defined by the revised international prognostic scoring system (IPSS-R) scoring system[11]. In PMF, inv(3) is considered an unfavorable karyotype associated with intermediate risk as defined by the dynamic international prognostic scoring system (DIPSS)-Plus scoring system[10]. MECOM overexpression is observed in 10% of de novo AML associated with poor prognosis, and is commonly found in MLL-rearranged cases[15,16]. Amplification of MECOM is associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer[17].

HLA-B deletion

major histocompatibility complex, class I, B

Background: The HLA-B gene encodes the major histocompatibility complex, class I, B[18]. MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I molecules are located on the cell surface of nucleated cells and present antigens from within the cell for recognition by cytotoxic T cells[46]. MHC class I molecules are heterodimers composed of two polypeptide chains, α and B2M[47]. The classical MHC class I genes include HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C and encode the α polypeptide chains, which present short polypeptide chains, of 7 to 11 amino acids, to the immune system to distinguish self from non-self[48,49,50]. Downregulation of MHC class I promotes tumor evasion of the immune system, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for HLA-B[51].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in HLA-B are observed in 10% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 5% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, 4% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and colorectal adenocarcinoma, 3% of uterine cancer, and 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma[6,7]. Biallelic loss of HLA-B is observed in 5% of DLBCL[6,7].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for HLA-B aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants (continued)

SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

● In this cancer type   ○ In other cancer type   ◐ In this cancer type and other cancer types   ✖ No evidence

MTAP deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
AMG 193	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
TNG-456, abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
TNG-462	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)
AMG 193, pembrolizumab	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
GTA-182	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
ISM-3412	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
MRTX-1719	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
PH020-803	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
S-095035	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
SYH-2039	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

CCND1 amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
palbociclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
PF-07220060, midazolam	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)

CDKN2A deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
palbociclib, abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)
AMG 193	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I/II)

PIK3CA amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib, gedatolisib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
TOS-358	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

⬤ In this cancer type   ⭘ In other cancer type   ◑ In this cancer type and other cancer types   ✖ No evidence

CDKN2B deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib, abemaciclib	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (II)

FGF19 amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
TYRA-430	✖	✖	✖	✖	⬤ (I)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	*28.96%*
ATM	*SNV, E1800D, AF:0.45*
RAD54L	*LOH, 1p34.1(46714017-46743978)x2*

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Hinai et al. Review: Aberrant EVI1 expression in acute myeloid leukaemia. Br. J. Haematol. 2016 Mar;172(6):870-8. PMID: 26729571

2. Bard-Chapeau et al. EVI1 oncoprotein interacts with a large and complex network of proteins and integrates signals through protein phosphorylation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013 Jul 30;110(31):E2885-94. PMID: 23858473

3. Ogawa et al. Abnormal expression of Evi-1 gene in human leukemias. Hum. Cell. 1996 Dec;9(4):323-32. PMID: 9183665

4. Choi et al. Intratumoral Heterogeneity of Frameshift Mutations in MECOM Gene is Frequent in Colorectal Cancers with High Microsatellite Instability. Pathol. Oncol. Res. 2017 Jan;23(1):145-149. PMID: 27620344

5. Lee et al. Targeted next-generation sequencing reveals high frequency of mutations in epigenetic regulators across treatment-naïve patient melanomas. 2015 Jun 9;7:59. PMID: 26221190

6. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849

7. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877

8. Han et al. H2AFY is a novel fusion partner of MECOM in acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Genet. 2018 Apr;222-223:9-12. PMID: 29666008

9. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]

10. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]

11. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]

12. Gröschel et al. A single oncogenic enhancer rearrangement causes concomitant EVI1 and GATA2 deregulation in leukemia. Cell. 2014 Apr 10;157(2):369-381. PMID: 24703711

13. Khoury et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. Leukemia. 2022 Jul;36(7):1703-1719. PMID: 35732831

14. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463

15. Barjesteh et al. High EVI1 expression predicts poor survival in acute myeloid leukemia: a study of 319 de novo AML patients. Blood. 2003 Feb 1;101(3):837-45. PMID: 12393383

16. Stevens et al. EVI1 expression in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia is not restricted to MLL and BCR/ABL rearrangements and is influenced by age. Blood Cancer J. 2014 Jan 24;4:e179. PMID: 24464103

17. Nanjundan et al. Amplification of MDS1/EVI1 and EVI1, located in the 3q26.2 amplicon, is associated with favorable patient prognosis in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res. 2007 Apr 1;67(7):3074-84. PMID: 17409414

18. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804

19. Harasawa et al. Chemotherapy targeting methylthioadenosine phosphorylase (MTAP) deficiency in adult T cell leukemia (ATL). Leukemia. 2002 Sep;16(9):1799-807. PMID: 12200696

20. Bertino et al. Targeting tumors that lack methylthioadenosine phosphorylase (MTAP) activity: current strategies. Cancer Biol Ther. 2011 Apr 1;11(7):627-32. PMID: 21301207

21. Katya et al. Cancer Dependencies: PRMT5 and MAT2A in MTAP/p16-Deleted Cancers. 10.1146/annurev-cancerbio-030419-033444

22. Xia et al. Dominant role of CDKN2B/p15INK4B of 9p21.3 tumor suppressor hub in inhibition of cell-cycle and glycolysis. Nat Commun. 2021 Apr 6;12(1):2047. PMID: 33824349

23. Scruggs et al. Loss of CDKN2B Promotes Fibrosis via Increased Fibroblast Differentiation Rather Than Proliferation. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2018 Aug;59(2):200-214. PMID: 29420051

24. Roussel. The INK4 family of cell cycle inhibitors in cancer. Oncogene. 1999 Sep 20;18(38):5311-7. PMID: 10498883

25. Aytac et al. Rb independent inhibition of cell growth by p15(INK4B). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1999 Aug 27;262(2):534-8. PMID: 10462509

26. Hill et al. The genetics of melanoma: recent advances. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2013;14:257-79. PMID: 23875803

27. Kim et al. The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging. Cell. 2006 Oct 20;127(2):265-75. PMID: 17055429

28. Sekulic et al. Malignant melanoma in the 21st century: the emerging molecular landscape. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2008 Jul;83(7):825-46. PMID: 18613999

29. Orlow et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in individuals with cutaneous malignant melanoma. J. Invest. Dermatol. 2007 May;127(5):1234-43. PMID: 17218939

30. Bartsch et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in familial pancreatic cancer. Ann. Surg. 2002 Dec;236(6):730-7. PMID: 12454511

References (continued)

31. Adib et al. CDKN2A Alterations and Response to Immunotherapy in Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2021 Jul 15;27(14):4025-4035. PMID: 34074656

32. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Mesothelioma: Peritoneal [Version 2.2025]

33. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Mesothelioma: Pleural [Version 2.2025]

34. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 5.2024]

35. Louis et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 6: new entity and diagnostic principle recommendations of the cIMPACT-Utrecht meeting on future CNS tumor classification and grading. Brain Pathol. 2020 Jul;30(4):844-856. PMID: 32307792

36. Longwen et al. Frequent genetic aberrations in the cell cycle related genes in mucosal melanoma indicate the potential for targeted therapy. J Transl Med. 2019 Jul 29;17(1):245. PMID: 31358010

37. Logan et al. PD-0332991, a potent and selective inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6, demonstrates inhibition of proliferation in renal cell carcinoma at nanomolar concentrations and molecular markers predict for sensitivity. Anticancer Res. 2013 Aug;33(8):2997-3004. PMID: 23898052

38. von et al. Preclinical Characterization of Novel Chordoma Cell Systems and Their Targeting by Pharmocological Inhibitors of the CDK4/6 Cell-Cycle Pathway. Cancer Res. 2015 Sep 15;75(18):3823-31. PMID: 26183925

39. Cen et al. p16-Cdk4-Rb axis controls sensitivity to a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor PD0332991 in glioblastoma xenograft cells. Neuro-oncology. 2012 Jul;14(7):870-81. PMID: 22711607

40. Vitzthum et al. The role of p16 as a biomarker in nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer. Oncotarget. 2018 Sep 7;9(70):33247-33248. PMID: 30279955

41. Chung et al. p16 protein expression and human papillomavirus status as prognostic biomarkers of nonoropharyngeal head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2014 Dec 10;32(35):3930-8. PMID: 25267748

42. Bryant et al. Prognostic Role of p16 in Nonoropharyngeal Head and Neck Cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2018 Dec 1;110(12):1393-1399. PMID: 29878161

43. Stephen et al. Significance of p16 in Site-specific HPV Positive and HPV Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Clin Oncol. 2013;2(1):51-61. PMID: 23935769

44. Jafri et al. Germline Mutations in the CDKN2B Tumor Suppressor Gene Predispose to Renal Cell Carcinoma. . Cancer Discov.2015 Jul;5(7):723-9. PMID: 25873077

45. Tu et al. CDKN2B deletion is essential for pancreatic cancer development instead of unmeaningful co-deletion due to juxtaposition to CDKN2A. Oncogene. 2018 Jan 4;37(1):128-138. PMID: 28892048

46. Hulpke et al. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. Trends Biochem Sci. PMID: 23849087

47. Adams et al. The adaptable major histocompatibility complex (MHC) fold: structure and function of nonclassical and MHC class I-like molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:529-61. PMID: 23298204

48. Rossjohn et al. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2015;33:169-200. PMID: 25493333

49. Parham. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005 Mar;5(3):201-14. PMID: 15719024

50. Sidney et al. HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. BMC Immunol. 2008 Jan 22;9:1. PMID: 18211710

51. Cornel et al. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2020 Jul 2;12(7). PMID: 32630675

52. Beenken et al. The FGF family: biology, pathophysiology and therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2009 Mar;8(3):235-53. PMID: 19247306

53. Ornitz et al. The Fibroblast Growth Factor signaling pathway. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol . May-Jun 2015;4(3):215-66. doi: 10.1002/wdev.176. PMID: 25772309

54. Babina et al. Advances and challenges in targeting FGFR signalling in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2017 May;17(5):318-332. PMID: 28303906

55. Ahmad et al. Mechanisms of FGFR-mediated carcinogenesis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2012 Apr;1823(4):850-60. PMID: 22273505

56. Sarabipour et al. Mechanism of FGF receptor dimerization and activation. Nat Commun. 2016 Jan 4;7:10262. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10262. PMID: 26725515

57. Itoh et al. Evolution of the Fgf and Fgfr gene families. Trends Genet. 2004 Nov;20(11):563-9. PMID: 15475116

58. Mathieu et al. Receptor binding and mitogenic properties of mouse fibroblast growth factor 3. Modulation of response by heparin. J. Biol. Chem. 1995 Oct 13;270(41):24197-203. PMID: 7592624

References (continued)

59. Tai et al. Co-overexpression of fibroblast growth factor 3 and epidermal growth factor receptor is correlated with the development of nonsmall cell lung carcinoma. Cancer. 2006 Jan 1;106(1):146-55. PMID: 16329133

60. Hu et al. Up-regulation of fibroblast growth factor 3 is associated with tumor metastasis and recurrence in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett. 2007 Jul 8;252(1):36-42. PMID: 17215076

61. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265

62. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012

63. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602

64. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529

65. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745

66. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445

67. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780

68. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061

69. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217

70. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514

71. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424

72. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566

73. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html

74. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation

75. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167

76. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534

77. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165

78. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076

79. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]

80. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]

81. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]

82. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829

83. Repana et al. Targeting FGF19/FGFR4 Pathway: A Novel Therapeutic Strategy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Diseases. 2015 Oct 28;3(4):294-305. PMID: 28943626

84. Goetz et al. Molecular insights into the klotho-dependent, endocrine mode of action of fibroblast growth factor 19 subfamily members. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2007 May;27(9):3417-28. PMID: 17339340

85. Lu et al. Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 4 (FGFR4) Selective Inhibitors as Hepatocellular Carcinoma Therapy: Advances and Prospects. J. Med. Chem. 2018 Nov 16. PMID: 30403487

86. Miura et al. Fibroblast growth factor 19 expression correlates with tumor progression and poorer prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2012 Feb 6;12:56. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-56. PMID: 22309595

References (continued)

87. Kim et al. First-in-Human Phase I Study of Fisogatinib (BLU-554) Validates Aberrant FGF19 Signaling as a Driver Event in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancer Discov. 2019 Dec;9(12):1696-1707. PMID: 31575541

88. Rojo et al. NRF2 and the Hallmarks of Cancer. Cancer Cell. 2018 Jul 9;34(1):21-43. PMID: 29731393

89. Suzuki et al. Toward clinical application of the Keap1-Nrf2 pathway. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2013 Jun;34(6):340-6. PMID: 23664668

90. Goldstein et al. Recurrent Loss of NFE2L2 Exon 2 Is a Mechanism for Nrf2 Pathway Activation in Human Cancers. Cell Rep. 2016 Sep 6;16(10):2605-2617. PMID: 27568559

91. https://ir.calithera.com//news-releases/news-release-details/calithera-receives-fda-fast-track-designation-sapanisertib

92. Kiuru-Kuhlefelt et al. FGF4 and INT2 oncogenes are amplified and expressed in Kaposi's sarcoma. Mod Pathol. 2000 Apr;13(4):433-7. PMID: 10786811

93. Suzuki et al. Predominant expression of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 8, FGF4, and FGF receptor 1 in nonseminomatous and highly proliferative components of testicular germ cell tumors. Virchows Arch. 2001 Nov;439(5):616-21. PMID: 11764380

94. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011

95. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754

96. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854

97. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133

98. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339

99. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358

100. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460

101. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]

102. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785

103. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947

104. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427

105. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546

106. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653

107. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf

108. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf

109. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf

110. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]

111. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf

112. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608

113. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982

114. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522

115. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031

116. Volinia et al. Molecular cloning, cDNA sequence, and chromosomal localization of the human phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase p110 alpha (PIK3CA) gene. Genomics. 1994 Dec;24(3):472-7. PMID: 7713498

References (continued)

117. Whale et al. Functional characterization of a novel somatic oncogenic mutation of PIK3CB. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2017;2:17063. PMID: 29279775

118. Osaki et al. PI3K-Akt pathway: its functions and alterations in human cancer. Apoptosis. 2004 Nov;9(6):667-76. PMID: 15505410

119. Cantley. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. Science. 2002 May 31;296(5573):1655-7. PMID: 12040186

120. Fruman et al. The PI3K Pathway in Human Disease. Cell. 2017 Aug 10;170(4):605-635. PMID: 28802037

121. Engelman et al. The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2006 Aug;7(8):606-19. PMID: 16847462

122. Vanhaesebroeck et al. PI3K signalling: the path to discovery and understanding. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2012 Feb 23;13(3):195-203. PMID: 22358332

123. Yuan et al. PI3K pathway alterations in cancer: variations on a theme. Oncogene. 2008 Sep 18;27(41):5497-510. PMID: 18794884

124. Liu et al. Targeting the phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway in cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2009 Aug;8(8):627-44. PMID: 19644473

125. Hanahan et al. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011 Mar 4;144(5):646-74. PMID: 21376230

126. Brito et al. PIK3CA Mutations in Diffuse Gliomas: An Update on Molecular Stratification, Prognosis, Recurrence, and Aggressiveness. Clin Med Insights Oncol. 2022;16:11795549211068804. PMID: 35023985

127. Huret et al. Atlas of genetics and cytogenetics in oncology and haematology in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan;41(Database issue):D920-4. PMID: 23161685

128. Miled et al. Mechanism of two classes of cancer mutations in the phosphoinositide 3-kinase catalytic subunit. Science. 2007 Jul 13;317(5835):239-42. PMID: 17626883

129. Burke et al. Synergy in activating class I PI3Ks. Trends Biochem. Sci. 2015 Feb;40(2):88-100. PMID: 25573003

130. Burke et al. Oncogenic mutations mimic and enhance dynamic events in the natural activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110α (PIK3CA). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012 Sep 18;109(38):15259-64. PMID: 22949682

131. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/212526s009lbl.pdf

132. Mayer et al. A Phase Ib Study of Alpelisib (BYL719), a PI3Kα-Specific Inhibitor, with Letrozole in ER+/HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2017 Jan 1;23(1):26-34. PMID: 27126994

133. Mayer et al. A Phase II Randomized Study of Neoadjuvant Letrozole Plus Alpelisib for Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Breast Cancer (NEO-ORB). Clin. Cancer Res. 2019 Feb 5. PMID: 30723140

134. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/218197s002lbl.pdf

135. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/219249s000lbl.pdf

136. Jung et al. Pilot study of sirolimus in patients with PIK3CA mutant/amplified refractory solid cancer. Mol Clin Oncol. 2017 Jul;7(1):27-31. PMID: 28685070

137. Janku et al. PIK3CA mutations in patients with advanced cancers treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis inhibitors. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2011 Mar;10(3):558-65. PMID: 21216929

138. Malumbres et al. Cell cycle, CDKs and cancer: a changing paradigm. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2009 Mar;9(3):153-66. PMID: 19238148

139. Koyama-Nasu et al. The critical role of cyclin D2 in cell cycle progression and tumorigenicity of glioblastoma stem cells. Oncogene. 2013 Aug 15;32(33):3840-5. PMID: 22964630

140. Ding et al. Prognostic role of cyclin D2/D3 in multiple human malignant neoplasms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancer Med. 2019 Jun;8(6):2717-2729. PMID: 30950241

141. Bartek et al. Pathways governing G1/S transition and their response to DNA damage. FEBS Lett. 2001 Feb 16;490(3):117-22. PMID: 11223026

142. Shan et al. Cyclin D1 overexpression correlates with poor tumor differentiation and prognosis in gastric cancer. Oncol Lett. 2017 Oct;14(4):4517-4526. PMID: 28943959

143. Cancer et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. Nature. 2013 May 2;497(7447):67-73. PMID: 23636398

144. Beà et al. Landscape of somatic mutations and clonal evolution in mantle cell lymphoma. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013 Nov 5;110(45):18250-5. PMID: 24145436

145. Diehl et al. Glycogen synthase kinase-3beta regulates cyclin D1 proteolysis and subcellular localization. Genes Dev. 1998 Nov 15;12(22):3499-511. PMID: 9832503

146. Alt et al. Phosphorylation-dependent regulation of cyclin D1 nuclear export and cyclin D1-dependent cellular transformation. Genes Dev. 2000 Dec 15;14(24):3102-14. PMID: 11124803

References (continued)

147. Moreno-Bueno et al. Cyclin D1 gene (CCND1) mutations in endometrial cancer. Oncogene. 2003 Sep 4;22(38):6115-8. PMID: 12955092

148. Benzeno et al. Identification of mutations that disrupt phosphorylation-dependent nuclear export of cyclin D1. Oncogene. 2006 Oct 12;25(47):6291-303. PMID: 16732330

149. Kim et al. Nuclear cyclin D1: an oncogenic driver in human cancer. J. Cell. Physiol. 2009 Aug;220(2):292-6. PMID: 19415697

150. Jares et al. Genetic and molecular pathogenesis of mantle cell lymphoma: perspectives for new targeted therapeutics. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2007 Oct;7(10):750-62. PMID: 17891190