

삼광의료재단 서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩) 검사기관 11365200

Tel. 1661-5117 www.smlab.co.kr

Report Date: 10 Sep 2025 1 of 10

Patient Name: 김영섭 Gender: M Sample ID: N25-181 Primary Tumor Site: lung
Collection Date: 2025.08.18

Sample Cancer Type: Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page
Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	2
Relevant Therapy Summary	6

Report Highlights 1 Relevant Biomarkers 0 Therapies Available 1 Clinical Trials

Relevant Lung Cancer Findings

_			_		
Gene	Finding		Gene	Finding	
ALK	None detected		NTRK1	None detected	
BRAF	None detected		NTRK2	None detected	
EGFR	None detected		NTRK3	None detected	
ERBB2	None detected		RET	None detected	
KRAS	None detected		ROS1	None detected	
MET	None detected				
Genomic Alt	eration	Finding			
Tumor Mu	ıtational Burden	8.52 Mut/Mb measured			

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	MYCL amplification MYCL proto-oncogene, bHLH transcription factor Locus: chr1:40362966	None*	None*	1

^{*} Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

Microsatellite stable, PTEN p.(Y176*) c.528T>G, RB1 c.861+1G>T, TP53 c.375+1G>A, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, CSMD3 p.(S1423*) c.4268C>A, CSMD3 p.(R427Efs*9) c.1278delG, NQ01 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

^{*} Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Report Date: 10 Sep 2025 2 of 10

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

				Allele		
Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
p.(Y176*)	c.528T>G		chr10:89711910	30.73%	NM_000314.8	nonsense
p.(?)	c.861+1G>T		chr13:48937094	29.40%	NM_000321.3	unknown
p.(?)	c.375+1G>A		chr17:7579311	45.70%	NM_000546.6	unknown
p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	67.78%	NM_000463.3	missense
p.(S1423*)	c.4268C>A		chr8:113564916	34.83%	NM_198123.2	nonsense
p.(R427Efs*9)	c.1278delG		chr8:113988129	17.56%	NM_198123.2	frameshift Deletion
p.(P187S)	c.559C>T		chr16:69745145	99.50%	NM_000903.3	missense
p.(K787N)	c.2361A>C		chr3:47163765	34.14%	NM_014159.7	missense
p.(E310Q)	c.928G>C		chr6:112017585	24.95%	NM_153047.4	missense
p.(G3295R)	c.9883G>C		chr8:113267636	15.37%	NM_198123.2	missense
p.(T1926I)	c.5777C>T		chr11:108180901	14.34%	NM_000051.4	missense
p.(Y298*)	c.894C>A		chr12:101433834	13.71%	NM_178826.4	nonsense
p.(R1268C)	c.3802C>T		chr16:2131787	31.78%	NM_000548.5	missense
p.(S842N)	c.2525G>A		chr20:40827903	15.76%	NM_133170.4	missense
	p.(Y176*) p.(?) p.(?) p.(G71R) p.(S1423*) p.(R427Efs*9) p.(P187S) p.(K787N) p.(E310Q) p.(G3295R) p.(T1926I) p.(Y298*) p.(R1268C)	p.(Y176*) c.528T>G p.(?) c.861+1G>T p.(?) c.375+1G>A p.(G71R) c.211G>A p.(S1423*) c.4268C>A p.(R427Efs*9) c.1278delG p.(P187S) c.559C>T p.(K787N) c.2361A>C p.(E310Q) c.928G>C p.(G3295R) c.9883G>C p.(T1926l) c.5777C>T p.(Y298*) c.894C>A p.(R1268C) c.3802C>T	p.(Y176*) c.528T>G . p.(?) c.861+1G>T . p.(?) c.375+1G>A . p.(G71R) c.211G>A COSM4415616 p.(S1423*) c.4268C>A . p.(R427Efs*9) c.1278delG . p.(P187S) c.559C>T . p.(K787N) c.2361A>C . p.(E310Q) c.928G>C . p.(G3295R) c.9883G>C . p.(T1926l) c.5777C>T . p.(Y298*) c.894C>A . p.(R1268C) c.3802C>T .	p.(Y176*) c.528T>G . chr10:89711910 p.(?) c.861+1G>T . chr13:48937094 p.(?) c.375+1G>A . chr17:7579311 p.(G71R) c.211G>A COSM4415616 chr2:234669144 p.(S1423*) c.4268C>A . chr8:113564916 p.(R427Efs*9) c.1278delG . chr8:113988129 p.(P187S) c.559C>T . chr16:69745145 p.(K787N) c.2361A>C . chr3:47163765 p.(E310Q) c.928G>C . chr6:112017585 p.(G3295R) c.9883G>C . chr8:113267636 p.(T1926l) c.5777C>T . chr11:108180901 p.(Y298*) c.894C>A . chr12:101433834 p.(R1268C) c.3802C>T . chr16:2131787	Amino Acid ChangeCodingVariant IDLocusFrequencyp.(Y176*)c.528T>G.chr10:8971191030.73%p.(?)c.861+1G>T.chr13:4893709429.40%p.(?)c.375+1G>A.chr17:757931145.70%p.(G71R)c.211G>ACOSM4415616chr2:23466914467.78%p.(S1423*)c.4268C>A.chr8:11356491634.83%p.(R427Efs*9)c.1278delG.chr8:11398812917.56%p.(P187S)c.559C>T.chr16:6974514599.50%p.(K787N)c.2361A>C.chr3:4716376534.14%p.(E310Q)c.928G>C.chr6:11201758524.95%p.(G3295R)c.9883G>C.chr8:11326763615.37%p.(T1926l)c.5777C>T.chr11:10818090114.34%p.(Y298*)c.894C>A.chr12:10143383413.71%p.(R1268C)c.3802C>T.chr16:213178731.78%	Amino Acid Change Coding Variant ID Locus Frequency Transcript p.(Y176*) c.528T>G . chr10:89711910 30.73% NM_000314.8 p.(?) c.861+1G>T . chr13:48937094 29.40% NM_000321.3 p.(?) c.375+1G>A . chr17:7579311 45.70% NM_000546.6 p.(G71R) c.211G>A COSM4415616 chr2:234669144 67.78% NM_000463.3 p.(S1423*) c.4268C>A . chr8:113564916 34.83% NM_198123.2 p.(R427Efs*9) c.1278delG . chr8:113988129 17.56% NM_198123.2 p.(P187S) c.559C>T . chr16:69745145 99.50% NM_000903.3 p.(K787N) c.2361A>C . chr3:47163765 34.14% NM_014159.7 p.(E310Q) c.928G>C . chr6:112017585 24.95% NM_153047.4 p.(G3295R) c.9883G>C . chr11:108180901 14.34% NM_000051.4 p.(Y298*) c.894C>A .

Copy	y Num	ber \	/ariati	ions

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
MYCL	chr1:40362966	33.9	10.57

Biomarker Descriptions

MYCL amplification

MYCL proto-oncogene, bHLH transcription factor

<u>Background:</u> The MYCL gene encodes MYCL proto-oncogene, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor¹. MYCL is a member of MYC oncogene family that includes related transcription factors, MYC and MYCN which regulate transcription in 10-15% of promoter regions^{1,7}. MYCL, along with MYC and MYCN, control cell proliferation, replication, evasion of growth suppression and cell death⁸.

Alterations and prevalence: Amplification of MYCL was first discovered in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) cell lines and is observed in 8% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 6% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, as well as 3% uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma^{5,6,9}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MYCL aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome⁵⁰. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{51,52}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2⁵³. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250⁵⁴. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)⁵⁴. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{55,56,57,58,59}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes⁵². LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{51,52,56,60}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{51,52,61,62}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{61,62}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab⁶³ (2014) and nivolumab⁶⁴ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab⁶³ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication⁶³. Dostarlimab⁶⁵ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{57,66}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab⁶⁷ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{57,68,69}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁶⁹. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{70,71}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{70,71}.

PTEN p.(Y176*) c.528T>G

phosphatase and tensin homolog

Background: The PTEN gene encodes the phosphatase and tensin homolog, a tumor suppressor protein with lipid and protein phosphatase activities¹⁰. PTEN antagonizes PI3K/AKT signaling by catalyzing the dephosphorylation of phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP3) to PIP2 at the cell membrane, which inhibits the activation of AKT^{11,12}. In addition, PTEN has been proposed to influence RAD51 loading at double strand breaks during homologous recombination repair (HRR) and regulate the G2/M checkpoint by influencing CHEK1 localization through AKT inhibition, thereby regulating HRR efficiency¹³. Germline mutations in PTEN are linked to hamartoma tumor syndromes, including Cowden disease, which are defined by uncontrolled cell growth and benign or malignant tumor formation¹⁴. PTEN germline mutations are also associated with inherited cancer risk in several cancer types¹⁵.

Alterations and prevalence: PTEN is frequently altered in cancer by inactivating loss-of-function mutations and by gene deletion. PTEN mutations are frequently observed in 50%-60% of uterine cancer^{5,6}. Nearly half of somatic mutations in PTEN are stop-gain or frame-shift mutations that result in truncation of the protein reading frame. Recurrent missense or stop-gain mutations at codons R130, R173, and R233 result in loss of phosphatase activity and inhibition of wild-type PTEN^{12,16,17,18,19}. PTEN gene deletion is observed in 15% of prostate cancer, 9% of squamous lung cancer, 9% of glioblastoma, and 1-5% of melanoma, sarcoma, and ovarian cancer^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: Due to the role of PTEN in HRR, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi) are being explored as a potential therapeutic strategy in PTEN deficient tumors^{20,21}. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex²², for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. In 2023, the FDA approved the kinase inhibitor, capivasertib²³ in combination with fulvestrant for locally advanced or metastatic hormone receptor (HR)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative breast cancer with one or more PIK3CA/AKT1/PTEN-alterations following progression after endocrine treatment.

RB1 c.861+1G>T

RB transcriptional corepressor 1

Background: The RB1 gene encodes the retinoblastoma protein (pRB), and is an early molecular hallmark of cancer. RB1 belongs to the family of pocket proteins that also includes p107 and p130, which play a crucial role in the cell proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation^{72,73}. RB1 is well characterized as a tumor suppressor gene that restrains cell cycle progression from G1 phase to S phase⁷⁴. Specifically, RB1 binds and represses the E2F family of transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes involved in the G1/S cell cycle regulation^{72,73,75}. Germline mutations in RB1 are associated with retinoblastoma (a rare childhood tumor) as well as other cancer types such as osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcoma, and melanoma⁷⁶.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations in RB1, including mutations and biallelic loss, lead to the inactivation of the RB1 protein. RB1 mutations are observed in urothelial carcinoma (approximately 16%), endometrial cancer (approximately 12%), and sarcomas (approximately 9%)⁶. Similarly, biallelic loss of RB1 is observed in sarcomas (approximately 13%), urothelial carcinoma (approximately 6%), and endometrial cancer (approximately 1%)⁶. Biallelic loss of the RB1 gene is also linked to the activation of chemotherapy-induced acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{77,78,79}.

Potential relevance: Currently, there are no therapies approved for RB1 aberrations.

TP53 c.375+1G>A

tumor protein p53

<u>Background</u>: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair¹. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis²⁴. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential²⁵. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{26,27}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{5,6,28,29,30,31}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{5,6}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{32,33,34,35}. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{5,6}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)^{5,6}. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586³⁶ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt³⁷, (2019) and breakthrough designation³⁸ (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{39,40}. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma⁴¹. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{42,43,44,45,46,47}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant⁴⁸. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system⁴⁹.

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily^{1,80}. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites^{80,81}. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance⁸². Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation^{82,83,84,85}. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38⁸⁶.

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

CSMD3 p.(S1423*) c.4268C>A, CSMD3 p.(R427Efs*9) c.1278delG

CUB and Sushi multiple domains 3

Background: CSMD3 encodes the CUB and Sushi multiple domains 3 protein, a member of the CSMD family, which includes CSMD1 and CSMD2^{1,2}. Proteins containing CUB and Sushi domains are known to mediate protein-protein interactions between the transmembrane and extracellular proteins^{2,3}. CSMD family proteins have 14 CUB and 26–28 Sushi domains, which are reported to regulate dendrite growth, neuronal migration, and synapse formation^{2,3}. In cancer, mutation of CMSD3 has been associated with greater tumor mutational burden (TMB)^{2,4}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations of CSMD3 are observed in 43% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 40% of lung adenocarcinoma, 37% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 25% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 24% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 19% of esophageal adenocarcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 17% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 14% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 10% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 8% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma and cervical squamous cell carcinoma, 7% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 5% of uterine carcinosarcoma, and 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma and, uveal melanoma^{5,6}. Amplification of CSMD3 is observed in 20% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, 12% of breast invasive carcinoma, 11% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 10% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma, 8% of prostate adenocarcinoma, 7% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 6% of uveal melanoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and 5% of bladder urothelial carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma^{5,6}. Biallelic loss of CSMD3 is observed in 2% of mesothelioma and prostate adenocarcinoma^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CSMD3 aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CG, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K1, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations (continued)

SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF11, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCE, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCH, FA

Relevant Therapy Summary

In this cancer type	O In other cancer type	In this cancer type and other cancer types	No evidence
---------------------	------------------------	--	-------------

MYCL amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
MRT-2359	×	×	×	×	(1/11)

^{*} Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	40.18%
BRCA2	LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x2
ATM	SNV, T1926I, AF:0.14
BARD1	LOH, 2q35(215593375-215674382)x3
PALB2	LOH, 16p12.2(23614759-23652528)x2
RAD51B	LOH, 14q24.1(68290164-69061406)x2

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's lon Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

- 1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
- 2. Lu et al. CSMD3 is Associated with Tumor Mutation Burden and Immune Infiltration in Ovarian Cancer Patients. Int J Gen Med. 2021;14:7647-7657. PMID: 34764678
- 3. Lau et al. Identification of two new members of the CSMD gene family. Genomics. 2003 Sep;82(3):412-5. PMID: 12906867
- 4. Cai et al. Epigenetic alterations are associated with tumor mutation burden in non-small cell lung cancer. J Immunother Cancer. 2019 Jul 26;7(1):198. PMID: 31349879
- 5. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
- Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
- 7. Dang et al. The c-Myc target gene network. Semin. Cancer Biol. 2006 Aug;16(4):253-64. PMID: 16904903
- Bachmann et al. J. Biol. Chem. 2018 Nov 30;293(48):18757-18769. PMID: 30404920
- 9. Nau et al. L-myc, a new myc-related gene amplified and expressed in human small cell lung cancer. Nature. 1985 Nov 7-13;318(6041):69-73. PMID: 2997622
- Milella et al. PTEN: Multiple Functions in Human Malignant Tumors. Front Oncol. 2015 Feb 16;5:24. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2015.00024. eCollection 2015. PMID: 25763354
- 11. Song et al. The functions and regulation of the PTEN tumour suppressor. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2012 Apr 4;13(5):283-96. PMID: 22473468
- 12. Chalhoub et al. PTEN and the PI3-kinase pathway in cancer. Annu Rev Pathol. 2009;4:127-50. PMID: 18767981
- 13. Mansour et al. Loss of PTEN-assisted G2/M checkpoint impedes homologous recombination repair and enhances radio-curability and PARP inhibitor treatment response in prostate cancer. Sci Rep. 2018 Mar 2;8(1):3947. PMID: 29500400
- 14. Leslie et al. Inherited PTEN mutations and the prediction of phenotype. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 2016 Apr;52:30-8. PMID: 26827793
- 15. Tan et al. Lifetime cancer risks in individuals with germline PTEN mutations. Clin. Cancer Res. 2012 Jan 15;18(2):400-7. PMID: 22252256
- 16. Dillon et al. Therapeutic targeting of cancers with loss of PTEN function. Curr Drug Targets. 2014 Jan;15(1):65-79. PMID: 24387334
- 17. Papa et al. Cancer-associated PTEN mutants act in a dominant-negative manner to suppress PTEN protein function. Cell. 2014 Apr 24;157(3):595-610. PMID: 24766807
- 18. Kato et al. Functional evaluation of p53 and PTEN gene mutations in gliomas. Clin. Cancer Res. 2000 Oct;6(10):3937-43. PMID: 11051241
- 19. Han et al. Functional evaluation of PTEN missense mutations using in vitro phosphoinositide phosphatase assay. Cancer Res. 2000 Jun 15;60(12):3147-51. PMID: 10866302
- Mendes-Pereira et al. Synthetic lethal targeting of PTEN mutant cells with PARP inhibitors. EMBO Mol Med. 2009 Sep;1(6-7):315-22. PMID: 20049735
- 21. Bian et al. PTEN deficiency sensitizes endometrioid endometrial cancer to compound PARP-PI3K inhibition but not PARP inhibition as monotherapy. Oncogene. 2018 Jan 18;37(3):341-351. PMID: 28945226
- 22. https://www.senhwabio.com//en/news/20220125
- 23. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/218197s002lbl.pdf
- 24. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
- 25. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
- 26. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
- 27. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
- 28. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
- 29. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
- 30. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780

References (continued)

- 31. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
- 32. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
- 33. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
- 34. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
- 35. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
- 36. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
- 37. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
- 38. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
- Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
- 40. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
- 41. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
- 42. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
- 43. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
- 44. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
- 45. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
- 46. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
- 47. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
- 48. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
- 49. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
- 50. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
- 51. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
- 52. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
- 53. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
- 54. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
- 55. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
- 56. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
- 57. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
- 58. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
- 59. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
- 60. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427

Report Date: 10 Sep 2025 10 of 10

References (continued)

- 61. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
- 62. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
- 63. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
- 64. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
- 65. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
- 66. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 67. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
- 68. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
- 69. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
- 70. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
- 71. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
- 72. Korenjak et al. E2F-Rb complexes regulating transcription of genes important for differentiation and development. Curr Opin Genet Dev . 2005 Oct;15(5):520-7. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2005.07.001. PMID: 16081278
- 73. Sachdeva et al. Understanding pRb: toward the necessary development of targeted treatments for retinoblastoma. J. Clin. Invest. 2012 Feb;122(2):425-34. PMID: 22293180
- 74. Dyson. RB1: a prototype tumor suppressor and an enigma. Genes Dev. 2016 Jul 1;30(13):1492-502. PMID: 27401552
- 75. Cobrinik. Pocket proteins and cell cycle control. Oncogene. 2005 Apr 18;24(17):2796-809. PMID: 15838516
- 76. Dommering et al. RB1 mutations and second primary malignancies after hereditary retinoblastoma. Fam. Cancer. 2012 Jun;11(2):225-33. PMID: 22205104
- 77. Anasua et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia as second primary tumor in a patient with retinoblastoma. . Oman J Ophthalmol . May-Aug 2016;9(2):116-8. PMID: 27433042
- 78. Tanaka et al. Frequent allelic loss of the RB, D13S319 and D13S25 locus in myeloid malignancies with deletion/translocation at 13q14 of chromosome 13, but not in lymphoid malignancies. Leukemia. 1999 Sep;13(9):1367-73. PMID: 10482987
- 79. Gombos et al. Secondary acute myelogenous leukemia in patients with retinoblastoma: is chemotherapy a factor?. Ophthalmology. 2007 Jul;114(7):1378-83. PMID: 17613328
- 80. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
- 81. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
- 82. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
- 83. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
- 84. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
- 85. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
- 86. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:0P2100624. PMID: 34860573