

Patient Name: 장미숙
Gender: F
Sample ID: N25-156

Primary Tumor Site: Breast
Collection Date: 2024.03.15

Sample Cancer Type: Breast Cancer

Table of Contents	Page	Report Highlights
Variant Details	2	4 Relevant Biomarkers
Biomarker Descriptions	3	3 Therapies Available
Relevant Therapy Summary	14	11 Clinical Trials

Relevant Breast Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding
BRCA1	None detected
ERBB2	None detected

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	5.69 Mut/Mb measured

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	BRCA2 deletion BRCA2, DNA repair associated Locus: chr13:32890491	None*	niraparib II+ olaparib II+ rucaparib II+	3
IIC	FGFR1 amplification fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 Locus: chr8:38271452	None*	None*	7
IIC	FANCA deletion Fanconi anemia complementation group A Locus: chr16:89804984	None*	None*	1
IIC	RB1 deletion RB transcriptional corepressor 1 Locus: chr13:48877953	None*	None*	1

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO
* Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

Microsatellite stable, PIK3R1 deletion, PPP2R2A deletion, RB1 p.(S838*) c.2513C>A, RNASEH2B deletion, RNF43 p.(R117Tfs*41) c.349_350delCGinsA, RPA1 deletion, TP53 p.(D281E) c.843C>A, DNMT3A deletion, ASXL2 deletion, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, MAP3K1 deletion, RASA1 deletion, HLA-A deletion, CYLD deletion, CBFB deletion, CTCF deletion, CDH1

deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, ZFH3 deletion, GPS2 deletion, NCOR1 deletion, SOX9 p.(K242Nfs*9) c.723_724delCA, SOX9 p.(D244Rfs*8) c.729_730insC, TOP1 deletion, PTPRT deletion, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
RB1	p.(S838*)	c.2513C>A	.	chr13:49047519	51.74%	NM_000321.3	nonsense
RNF43	p.(R117Tfs*41)	c.349_350delCGinsA	.	chr17:56448297	0.78%	NM_017763.6	frameshift Block Substitution
TP53	p.(D281E)	c.843C>A	COSM43906	chr17:7577095	56.73%	NM_000546.6	missense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	52.51%	NM_000463.3	missense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	77.75%	NM_000903.3	missense
SOX9	p.(K242Nfs*9)	c.723_724delCA	.	chr17:70119720	3.52%	NM_000346.4	frameshift Deletion
SOX9	p.(D244Rfs*8)	c.729_730insC	.	chr17:70119725	96.48%	NM_000346.4	frameshift Insertion
MSH3	p.(A61_P63dup)	c.189_190insGCAGCG CCC	.	chr5:79950735	42.06%	NM_002439.5	nonframeshift Insertion
JAK2	p.(C644Y)	c.1931G>A	.	chr9:5077519	49.35%	NM_004972.4	missense
LATS2	p.(E943Q)	c.2827G>C	.	chr13:21549449	9.95%	NM_014572.3	missense
PARP4	p.(?)	c.3285_3285+5delinsA GT	.	chr13:25021149	100.00%	NM_006437.4	unknown

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
BRCA2	chr13:32890491	1	0.68
FGFR1	chr8:38271452	19.19	7.79
FANCA	chr16:89804984	1.13	0.65
RB1	chr13:48877953	1.09	0.64
PIK3R1	chr5:67522468	1.16	0.67
PPP2R2A	chr8:26149298	1.08	0.64
RNASEH2B	chr13:51484145	0.96	0.59
RPA1	chr17:1733385	1.16	0.67
DNMT3A	chr2:25457069	1.05	0.62
ASXL2	chr2:25964858	1.08	0.63
MAP3K1	chr5:56111388	1.1	0.65
RASA1	chr5:86564256	1.04	0.62
HLA-A	chr6:29910229	0.96	0.59
CYLD	chr16:50783549	1.13	0.65
CBFB	chr16:67063242	0.92	0.57

Variant Details (continued)

Copy Number Variations (continued)

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
CTCF	chr16:67644720	1.08	0.64
CDH1	chr16:68771249	1.11	0.65
ZFHX3	chr16:72820995	1.01	0.61
GPS2	chr17:7216071	0.97	0.6
NCOR1	chr17:15935586	1.19	0.68
TOP1	chr20:39690023	0.91	0.57
PTPRT	chr20:40710527	1.01	0.61
AKT3	chr1:243663007	0.94	0.58
ALK	chr2:29416263	1.13	0.65
CUL3	chr2:225338933	4.8	2.11
PLCG1	chr20:39766236	1.04	0.62

Biomarker Descriptions

BRCA2 deletion

BRCA2, DNA repair associated

Background: The breast cancer early onset gene 2 (BRCA2) encodes one of two BRCA proteins (BRCA1 and BRCA2) initially discovered as major hereditary breast cancer genes. Although structurally unrelated, both BRCA1 and BRCA2 exhibit tumor suppressor function and are integrally involved in the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway, a pathway critical in the repair of damaged DNA^{38,39}. Specifically, BRCA1/2 are required for repair of chromosomal double strand breaks (DSBs) which are highly unstable and compromise genome integrity^{38,39}. Inherited pathogenic mutations in BRCA1/2 are known to confer increased risk in women for breast and ovarian cancer and in men for breast and prostate cancer^{40,41,42}. For individuals diagnosed with inherited pathogenic or likely pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants, the cumulative risk of breast cancer by 80 years of age was 69-72% and the cumulative risk of ovarian cancer by 70 years was 20-48%^{40,43}.

Alterations and prevalence: Inherited BRCA1/2 mutations occur in 1:400 to 1:500 individuals and are observed in 10-15% of ovarian cancer, 5-10% of breast cancer, and 1-4% of prostate cancer^{44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51}. Somatic alterations in BRCA2 are observed in 5-15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3-4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, renal papillary cell carcinoma, and 2% of renal clear cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thymoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, and glioblastoma multiforme^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Individuals possessing BRCA1/2 pathogenic germline or somatic mutations are shown to exhibit sensitivity to platinum based chemotherapy as well as treatment with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi)⁵². Inhibitors targeting PARP induce synthetic lethality in recombination deficient BRCA1/2 mutant cells^{53,54}. Consequently, several PARP inhibitors have been FDA approved for BRCA1/2-mutated cancers. Olaparib⁵⁵ (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Additionally, olaparib⁵⁵ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Rucaparib⁵⁶ is also approved (2020) for deleterious gBRCAm or sBRCAm mCRPC and ovarian cancer. Talazoparib⁵⁷ (2018) is indicated for the treatment of gBRCAm HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Additionally, talazoparib⁵⁷ in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA2. Niraparib⁵⁸ (2017) is another PARPi approved for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers with a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation. Niraparib

in combination with abiraterone acetate⁵⁹ received FDA approval (2023) for the treatment of deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA-mutated (BRCAm) mCRPC. Despite tolerability and efficacy, acquired resistance to PARP inhibition has been clinically reported⁶⁰. One of the most common mechanisms of resistance includes secondary intragenic mutations that restore BRCA1/2 functionality⁶¹. In addition to PARP inhibitors, other drugs which promote synthetic lethality have been investigated for BRCA mutations. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex¹⁸, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Like PARPi, pidnarulex promotes synthetic lethality but through an alternative mechanism which involves stabilization of G-quadruplexes at the replication fork leading to DNA breaks and genomic instability. In 2024, the FDA granted fast track designation to TNG-348⁶², a USP1 inhibitor, for the treatment of BRCA1/2 mutated breast and ovarian cancer.

fibroblast growth factor receptor 1

Potential relevance: The FGFR kinase inhibitor, pemigatinib¹⁹⁵ (2022) is approved for the treatment of adults with relapsed/refractory myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms (MLNs) with FGFR1 rearrangement. Additionally, the FDA granted fast-track designation to Debio 1347¹⁹⁶ (2018) for solid tumors harboring aberrations in FGFR1, FGFR2, or FGFR3. FDA has approved multi-kinase inhibitors, including regorafenib, ponatinib, lenvatinib, nintedanib, and pazopanib, that are known to inhibit FGFR family members¹⁹⁷. These inhibitors have demonstrated anti-tumor activity in select cancer types with FGFR alterations^{198,199,200,201,202,203,204}. In a phase II clinical trial, dovitinib, a multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), exhibited an overall response rate (ORR) of 11.5% and a disease control rate (DCR) of 50% in patients with advanced squamous cell lung cancer possessing FGFR1 amplification²⁰⁵. The patients had a median overall survival (OS) of 5 months and progression-free survival (PFS) of 2.9 months²⁰⁵. Likewise, in a phase Ib study testing the FGFR inhibitor AZD4547, the median OS was 4.9 months in patients with FGFR1-amplified advanced squamous cell lung cancer. One of 13 (8%) patients achieved a partial response, 4 (31%) exhibited stable disease, and 2 (13.3%) demonstrated PFS at 12 weeks²⁰⁶. Rearrangements in FGFR1 are associated with poor risk pediatric and adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia^{207,208,209}.

Fanconi anemia complementation group A

Background: The FANCA gene encodes the FA complementation group A protein, a member of the Fanconi Anemia (FA) family, which also includes FANCB, FANCC, FANCD1 (BRCA2), FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCJ (BRIP1), FANCL, FANCM, and FANCN (PALB2)¹. FA genes are tumor suppressors that are responsible for the maintenance of replication fork stability, DNA damage repair through the removal of interstrand cross-links (ICL), and subsequent initiation of the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway^{63,64}. In response to DNA damage, FANCA, FANCB, FANCC, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCL, and FANCM assemble to form the FA core complex which is responsible for the monoubiquitination of the FANCI-FANCD2 (ID2) complex⁶³. Monoubiquitination of the ID2 complex promotes co-localization with BRCA1/2, which is critical in BRCA mediated DNA repair^{65,66}. Loss of function mutations in the FA family and HRR pathway, including FANCA, can result in the BRCAness phenotype, characterized by a defect in the HRR pathway, mimicking BRCA1 or BRCA2 loss^{67,68}. Germline mutations in FA genes lead to Fanconi Anemia, a condition characterized by chromosomal instability and congenital abnormalities, including bone marrow failure and cancer predisposition^{69,70}. Of those

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

diagnosed with FA, mutations in FANCA are the most common and confer predisposition to myelodysplastic syndrome, acute myeloid leukemia, and solid tumors^{64,70,71,72,73}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in FANCA are observed in 4-8% of uterine, colorectal, and bladder cancers and about 6% of melanoma⁷. Biallelic loss is also reported in 2-5% of uveal melanoma, invasive breast carcinoma, ovarian cancer, and prostate cancer⁷.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, talazoparib⁵⁷ in combination with enzalutamide is approved (2023) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with mutations in HRR genes that includes FANCA. Consistent with other genes that contribute to the BRCAness phenotype, mutations in FANCA are shown to confer enhanced sensitivity in vitro to DNA damaging agents, including cisplatin, as well as PARP inhibitors such as olaparib^{74,75}. FANCA copy number loss along with reduced expression has also been associated with genetic instability in sporadic acute myeloid leukemia (AML)⁷³.

RB1 deletion, RB1 p.(S838*) c.2513C>A

RB transcriptional corepressor 1

Background: The RB1 gene encodes the retinoblastoma protein (pRB), and is an early molecular hallmark of cancer. RB1 belongs to the family of pocket proteins that also includes p107 and p130, which play a crucial role in the cell proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation^{78,79}. RB1 is well characterized as a tumor suppressor gene that restrains cell cycle progression from G1 phase to S phase⁸⁰. Specifically, RB1 binds and represses the E2F family of transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes involved in the G1/S cell cycle regulation^{78,79,81}. Germline mutations in RB1 are associated with retinoblastoma (a rare childhood tumor) as well as other cancer types such as osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcoma, and melanoma⁸².

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations in RB1, including mutations and biallelic loss, lead to the inactivation of the RB1 protein. RB1 mutations are observed in urothelial carcinoma (approximately 16%), endometrial cancer (approximately 12%), and sarcomas (approximately 9%)⁸. Similarly, biallelic loss of RB1 is observed in sarcomas (approximately 13%), urothelial carcinoma (approximately 6%), and endometrial cancer (approximately 1%)⁸. Biallelic loss of the RB1 gene is also linked to the activation of chemotherapy-induced acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{83,84,85}.

Potential relevance: Currently, there are no therapies approved for RB1 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome¹¹⁴. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{115,116}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2¹¹⁷. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250¹¹⁸. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)¹¹⁸. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{119,120,121,122,123}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes¹¹⁶. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{115,116,120,124}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{115,116,125,126}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{125,126}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab¹²⁷ (2014) and nivolumab¹²⁸ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab¹²⁷ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication¹²⁷. Dostarlimab¹²⁹ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{121,130}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab¹³¹ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{121,132,133}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients¹³³. The majority of patients with tumors

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{134,135}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{134,135}.

PIK3R1 deletion

phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1

Background: The PIK3R1 gene encodes the phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1 of the class I phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) enzyme¹. PI3K is a heterodimer that contains a p85 regulatory subunit and a p110 catalytic subunit²¹⁰. Specifically, PIK3R1 encodes the p85α protein, one of five p85 isoforms²¹⁰. p85α is responsible for the binding, stabilization, and inhibition of the p110 catalytic subunit, thereby regulating PI3K activity²¹⁰. PI3K catalyzes the conversion of phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP2) into phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP3) while the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) catalyzes the reverse reaction^{211,212}. The reversible phosphorylation of inositol lipids regulates diverse aspects of cell growth and metabolism^{211,212,213,214}. p85 is also capable of binding PTEN thereby preventing ubiquitination and increasing PTEN stability²¹⁵. Loss of function mutations in PIK3R1 results in the inability of p85 to bind p110 or PTEN resulting in aberrant activation of the PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway, a common driver event in several cancer types which supports a tumor suppressor role for PIK3R1²¹⁰.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in PIK3R1 are predominantly truncating or missense and are observed in about 31% of uterine cancer, 10% of uterine carcinosarcoma and glioblastoma, 6% of colorectal cancer, and 3-4% of melanoma, low grade glioma (LGG), stomach, and cervical cancers⁷. Additionally, biallelic loss of PIK3R1 is observed in 3-4% of ovarian and prostate cancers⁷.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for PIK3R1 aberrations.

PPP2R2A deletion

protein phosphatase 2 regulatory subunit Balpha

Background: The PPP2R2A gene encodes the protein phosphatase 2 regulatory subunit B alpha, a member of a large heterotrimeric serine/threonine phosphatase 2A (PP2A) family. Proteins of the PP2A family includes 3 subunits— the structural A subunit (includes PPP2R1A and PPP2R1B), the regulatory B subunit (includes PPP2R2A, PPP2R5, PPP2R3, and STRN), and the catalytic C subunit (PPPP2CA and PPP2CB)^{13,14}. PPA2 proteins are essential tumor suppressor genes that regulate cell division and possess pro-apoptotic activity through negative regulation of the PI3K/AKT pathway¹⁵. Specifically, PPP2R2A modulates ATM phosphorylation which is critical in the regulation of the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway¹³.

Alterations and prevalence: Copy number loss and downregulation of PPP2R2A is commonly observed in solid tumors including breast and non-small cell lung cancer and define an aggressive subgroup of luminal-like breast cancer^{13,14,16,17}. Biallelic loss of PPP2R2A is observed in 4-8% of breast invasive carcinoma, lung, colorectal, bladder, liver, and prostate cancers, as well as 4% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma⁷.

Potential relevance: Currently no therapies are approved for PPP2R2A aberrations. However, in 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex¹⁸, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Loss of PPP2R2A in pre-clinical and xenograft models have been shown to inhibit homologous recombination DNA directed repair and may predict sensitivity to PARP inhibitors such as veliparib¹³. Olaparib treatment in prostate cancer with PPP2R2A mutations is not recommended due to unfavorable risk benefit¹⁹.

RNASEH2B deletion

ribonuclease H2 subunit B

Background: The RNASEH2B gene encodes the ribonuclease H2 subunit B protein¹. RNASEH2B functions as an auxiliary subunit of RNase H2 holoenzyme along with RNASEH2C and the catalytic subunit RNASEH2A^{142,143}. RNase H2 is responsible for the removal of ribonucleotides that have been misincorporated in DNA, and also degrades DNA:RNA hybrids formed during transcription¹⁴². Specifically, RNase H2 is observed to interact with BRCA1 for DNA:RNA hybrid resolution at double-strand breaks (DSBs) through homologous recombination repair (HRR)¹⁴².

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RNASEH2B are observed in 3% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 2% of skin cutaneous melanoma^{7,8}. RNASEH2B biallelic deletions are observed in 10% of prostate adenocarcinoma, 7% sarcoma, 6% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, and 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RNASEH2B aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

RNF43 p.(R117Tfs*41) c.349_350delCGinsA

ring finger protein 43

Background: The RNF43 gene encodes the ring finger protein 43¹. RNF43 is a transmembrane E3 ubiquitin ligase and a negative regulator of the Wnt signaling pathway^{173,174}. Wnt signaling leads to the expression of genes that control cell proliferation, migration, and cell polarity formation¹⁷³. RNF43 functions as a tumor suppressor and inhibits the Wnt pathway by ubiquitination and degradation of the Wnt receptor frizzled (FZD)^{173,174}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RNF43 are observed in 14% endometrial carcinoma, 8% gastroesophageal junction cancer and colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 6% pancreatic adenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Somatic frameshift mutations in RNF43 including R117fs and G659fs are frequently observed in colorectal and endometrial cancers with microsatellite instability^{173,175,176}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RNF43 aberrations.

RPA1 deletion

replication protein A1

Background: The RPA1 gene encodes replication protein A1¹. Replication protein A (RPA) is a heterotrimeric complex composed of RPA1 (RPA70), RPA2 (RPA32), and RPA3 (RPA14)¹⁶². RPA is involved in multiple DNA repair processes including base excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision repair (NER), mismatch repair (MMR), non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination repair (HRR)¹⁶². RPA is known to participate in DNA damage recognition by binding single stranded DNA (ssDNA) and interacting with several proteins involved in DNA repair processes including XPA, ERCC5, RAD52, RAD51, BRCA1, and BRCA2, thereby promoting DNA replication and repair¹⁶².

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RPA1 are observed in 3% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 2% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and skin cutaneous melanoma^{7,8}. Biallelic deletions in RPA1 are observed in 2% of adrenocortical carcinoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), and lung adenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RPA1 aberrations.

TP53 p.(D281E) c.843C>A

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair¹. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis²¹⁶. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential²¹⁷. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{218,219}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{7,8,188,220,221,222}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{7,8}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{223,224,225,226}. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{7,8}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586²²⁷ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt²²⁸, (2019) and breakthrough designation²²⁹ (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{230,231}. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma²³². TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{28,29,140,207,233,234}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant²³⁵. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system²³⁶.

DNMT3A deletion

DNA methyltransferase 3 alpha

Background: The DNMT3A gene encodes the DNA methyltransferase 3 alpha which functions as a de novo methyltransferase (DNMT) with equal methylation efficiency for unmethylated and hemimethylated DNA²⁰. Methylation of DNA occurs at CpG islands, a region of DNA consisting of sequential cytosine/guanine dinucleotide pairs. CpG island methylation plays an important role in development as well as stem cell regulation. Alterations to global DNA methylation patterns are dependent on DNMTs, which are associated with cancer initiation and progression^{21,22}.

Alterations and prevalence: DNMT3A mutations are observed in approximately 25% of all acute myeloid leukemia (AML) including 29-34% of AML with normal karyotype (NK-AML)^{7,23,24,25,26,27,28}. Mutations in DNMT3A are also reported in 12-18% of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) as well as 4-6% of melanoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine cancer^{7,29}. The majority of mutations in DNMT3A are missense however, frameshift, nonsense, and splice site mutations have also been reported^{7,23}. Missense mutations at R882 are most prevalent and are observed to coexist with NPM1 and FLT3 mutations^{30,31}. The R882 mutations occur at the dimer/tetramer interface within the catalytic domain, which leads to disruption of DNMT3A tetramerization and loss of CpG methylation^{32,33}. However, DNMT3A mutations observed in AML at positions other than R882 also contribute to pathogenesis by mechanisms that do not involve methyltransferase activity³⁴.

Potential relevance: DNMT3A mutations confer shorter overall survival (OS) in patients with AML including those with NK-AML^{23,26,27,31}. DNMT3A mutations are a useful in the diagnosis of angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITCL) when trying to differentiate from other peripheral T-cell lymphomas (PTCL)³⁵.

ASXL2 deletion

additional sex combs like 2, transcriptional regulator

Background: The ASXL2 gene encodes the ASXL transcriptional regulator 2 protein, a ligand-dependent co-activator and epigenetic scaffolding protein involved in transcriptional regulation^{1,102}. ASXL2 belongs to the ASXL gene family, which also includes ASXL1 and ASXL3¹⁰². ASXL proteins contain a conserved C-terminal plant homeodomain (PHD), which facilitates interaction with DNA and histones¹⁰². ASXL2 influences chromatin remodeling and transcription through interaction with BAP1 as well as other transcriptional activators and repressors¹⁰².

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in ASXL2 are observed in 8% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and bladder urothelial carcinoma, 7% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma^{7,8}. ASXL2 mutations in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) are observed to co-occur with t(8;21)(q22;q22)/RUNX1::RUNX1T1¹⁰³. ASXL2 deletions are observed in 4% diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ASXL2 aberrations. ASXL2 mutations have been shown to be associated with better prognosis in pediatric AML with t(8;21)¹⁰³.

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily^{1,86}. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites^{86,87}. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance⁸⁸. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation^{88,89,90,91}. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38⁹².

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

MAP3K1 deletion

mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 1

Background: The MAP3K1 gene encodes the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 1, also known as MEKK1¹. Activation of MAPK proteins occurs through a kinase signaling cascade^{163,164,165}. Specifically, MAP3Ks are responsible for phosphorylation of MAP2K family members^{163,164,165}. Once activated, MAP2Ks are responsible for the phosphorylation of various MAPK proteins whose signaling is involved in several cellular processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, and inflammation^{163,164,165}. MAP3K1 is known to exist in two protein configurations, including a full length and an N-terminal truncated form possessing an intact kinase domain¹⁶⁶. The full length MAP3K1 is observed to regulate cell survival and migration, whereas the truncated form is observed to promote apoptosis¹⁶⁶. MAP3K1 also regulates JNK activation and contains an E3 ligase domain responsible for ubiquitinating c-JUN and MAPK1/MAPK3¹⁶⁶.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in MAP3K1 are observed in 13% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 8% of breast invasive carcinoma, 5% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 4% of esophageal carcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma^{7,8}. MAP3K1 mutations are most frequently observed in hormone receptor positive breast cancer as opposed to other subtypes¹⁶⁶. MAP3K1 biallelic deletions have been observed in 4% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and prostate adenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MAP3K1 aberrations.

RASA1 deletion

RAS p21 protein activator 1

Background: The RASA1 gene encodes the Ras p21 protein activator 1¹. RASA1 is a member of the RasGAP family, which includes RASA2^{76,77}. RASA1 functions as a dual-specificity GTPase activating protein (GAP) by accelerating RAS and RAP GTPase activity and promoting the inactive GDP-bound form⁷⁶. RASA1 activity is influential in several cellular processes including in growth, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis⁷⁶. In tumorigenesis, loss of RASA1 function inhibits RAS regulation, leading to activation of the MAPK/MEK/ERK or PI3K/AKT pathways⁷⁶. Mutations or epigenetic inactivation of RASA1 have been observed in diverse cancer types⁷⁶.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RASA1 are observed in 11% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 6% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of stomach adenocarcinoma and of skin cutaneous melanoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, and 3% of esophageal adenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic deletions are observed in 4% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 2% of skin cutaneous melanoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for RASA1 aberrations.

HLA-A deletion

major histocompatibility complex, class I, A

Background: The HLA-A gene encodes the major histocompatibility complex, class I, A¹. MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I molecules are located on the cell surface of nucleated cells and present antigens from within the cell for recognition by cytotoxic T cells⁹³. MHC class I molecules are heterodimers composed of two polypeptide chains, α and B2M⁹⁴. The classical MHC class I genes include HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C and encode the α polypeptide chains, which present short polypeptide chains, of 7 to 11 amino acids, to the immune system to distinguish self from non-self^{95,96,97}. Downregulation of MHC class I promotes tumor evasion of the immune system, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for HLA-A⁹⁸.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in HLA-A are observed in 7% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 3% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of HLA-A is observed in 4% of DLBCL^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for HLA-A aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

CYLD deletion

CYLD lysine 63 deubiquitinase

Background: The CYLD gene encodes CYLD lysine 63 deubiquitinase, which is a deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) and a member of the ubiquitin-specific protease (USP) family of deubiquitinases^{1,2}. DUBs are responsible for protein deubiquitination, thereby counter-regulating the post-transcriptional ubiquitin modification of proteins within the cell³. CLYD contains a USP domain with a catalytic triad formed by Cys601, His871, and Asp889 that selectively hydrolyses K63-linked ubiquitin chains from signaling molecules and regulates cell survival, proliferation, and tumorigenesis^{4,5}. CYLD plays a tumor suppressor role by negatively regulating NF-κB activation by deubiquitinating multiple NF-κB signaling components, including NEMO, Tak1, TRAF2, TRAF6, and RIP1⁶. Mutations in CYLD were originally identified in patients with familial cylindromatosis, a genetic condition that predisposes patients to the development of skin appendage tumors^{5,6}. CYLD has also been found to be downregulated in melanoma, salivary gland tumors, head and neck cancer, colon and hepatocellular carcinoma, cervical cancer, lung cancer, and renal cell carcinoma⁵.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in CYLD have been observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 3% of stomach adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and lung squamous cell carcinoma, and 2% of thymoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and kidney chromophobe^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of CYLD has been observed in 2% of prostate adenocarcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, sarcoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CYLD aberrations.

CBFB deletion

core-binding factor beta subunit

Background: The CBFB gene encodes the core-binding factor subunit beta, a member of the PEBP2/CBF transcription factor family¹. CBFB is capable of heterodimerization with the RUNX protein family (RUNX1, RUNX2, and RUNX3) which results in the formation of the core binding factor (CBF) complex, a transcription factor complex responsible for the regulation of many critical functions in hematopoiesis and osteogenesis^{136,137,138}. Although possessing no DNA-binding activity, CBFB has been observed to enhance stability and transcriptional activity of RUNX proteins, thereby exhibiting a critical role in RUNX mediated transcriptional regulation^{137,138}. In cancer, mutations in CBFB have been implicated in decreased protein stability and loss of function, supporting a tumor suppressor role for CBFB¹³⁸.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in CBFB are observed in 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, breast invasive carcinoma, and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma⁷. Biallelic deletions in CBFB are found in 2% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, and breast invasive carcinoma⁷. Translocations including inv(16) and t(16;16) have been observed to be recurrent in de novo AML, occurring in 7-10% of patients, and have been associated with the AML M4 with bone marrow eosinophilia (M4Eo) subtype¹³⁹. Translocations often result in CBFB::MYH11 fusion, which can exist as one of multiple transcripts, depending on the exons fused¹³⁹.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CBFB aberrations. In AML, CBFB translocations, including inv(16) and t(16;16) which result in CBFB::MYH11 fusion, are associated with favorable prognosis and define a distinct molecular subtype of AML according to the World Health Organization (WHO)^{28,140,141}.

CTCF deletion

CCCTC-binding factor

Background: The CTCF gene encodes the CCCTC-binding factor, a member of the BORIS + CTCF gene family¹. CTCF promotes the formation of cohesion-mediated loops, the formation of which organizes chromatin into self-interacting topologically associated domains (TADs) and influences gene expression⁹⁹. Additionally, CTCF has been observed to function as a transcription factor through the binding of transcriptional start sites (TSS), but may also play a role in transcriptional repression^{99,100,101}. CTCF mutations lead to disruption of TAD boundaries which alters gene expression and may promote oncogenesis⁹⁹.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in CTCF are observed in 25% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of stomach adenocarcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 3% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and cholangiocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CTCF aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

CDH1 deletion

cadherin 1

Background: The CDH1 gene encodes epithelial cadherin or E-cadherin, a member of the cadherin superfamily that includes the classical cadherins: neural cadherin (N-cadherin), retinal cadherin (R-cadherin), and placental cadherin (P-cadherin)^{1,177}. E-cadherin proteins, composed of 5 extracellular cadherin repeats, a single transmembrane domain, and conserved cytoplasmic tail, are calcium-dependent transmembrane glycoproteins expressed in epithelial cells¹. Extracellular E-cadherin monomers form homodimers with those on adjacent cells to form adherens junctions. Adherens junctions are reinforced by intracellular complexes formed between the cytoplasmic tail of E-cadherin and catenins, proteins which directly anchor cadherins to actin filaments¹⁷⁸. E-cadherin is a critical tumor suppressor and when lost, results in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), anchorage-independent cell growth, loss of cell polarity, and tumor metastasis^{179,180}. Germline mutations in CDH1 are enriched in a rare autosomal-dominant genetic malignancies such as hereditary diffuse gastric cancer, lobular breast cancer, and colorectal cancer¹⁸¹.

Alterations and prevalence: Mutations in CDH1 are predominantly missense or truncating and have been observed to result in loss of function^{7,8,182,183}. In cancer, somatic mutation of CDH1 is observed in 12% of invasive breast carcinoma, 10% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 7% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, 3% of bladder urothelial carcinomas, and 2% of lung squamous cell and liver hepatocellular carcinomas^{7,8}. Biallelic deletion of CDH1 is observed in 3% of prostate adenocarcinoma and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and breast invasive carcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CDH1 aberrations.

ZFHX3 deletion

zinc finger homeobox 3

Background: ZFHX3 encodes zinc finger homeobox 3, a large transcription factor composed of several DNA binding domains, including seventeen zinc finger domains and four homeodomains^{1,104,105}. Functionally, ZFHX3 is found to be necessary for neuronal and myogenic differentiation^{105,106}. ZFHX3 is capable of binding and repressing transcription of α -fetoprotein (AFP), thereby negatively regulating the expression of MYB and cancer cell growth^{107,108,109,110,111}. In addition, ZFHX3 has been observed to be altered in several cancer types, supporting a tumor suppressor role for ZFHX3^{107,110,112,113}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in ZFHX3 are observed in 24% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 14% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 10% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 9% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 8% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 6% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of uterine carcinosarcoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and lung adenocarcinoma, 3% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, adrenocortical carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and prostate adenocarcinoma, and 2% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, glioblastoma multiforme, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, thyroid carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, thymoma, sarcoma, and acute myeloid leukemia^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of ZFHX3 is observed in 6% of prostate adenocarcinoma, 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ZFHX3 aberrations.

GPS2 deletion

G protein pathway suppressor 2

Background: GPS2 encodes G protein pathway suppressor 2¹. GPS2 is a core subunit regulating transcription and suppresses G protein-activated MAPK signaling¹⁶⁷. GPS2 plays a role in several cellular processes including transcriptional regulation, cell cycle regulation, metabolism, proliferation, apoptosis, cytoskeleton architecture, DNA repair, and brain development^{167,168}. Dysregulation of GPS2 through decreased expression, somatic mutation, and deletion is associated with oncogenic pathway activation and tumorigenesis, supporting a tumor suppressor role for GPS2^{169,170,171}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in GPS2 are predominantly splice site or truncating mutations and have been observed in 3% of cholangiocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and colorectal adenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of GPS2 is observed in 4% of prostate adenocarcinoma, and 2% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma^{7,8}. Isolated GSP2 fusions have been reported in cancer with various fusion partners^{7,8,172}. In one case, MLL4:GPS2 fusion was observed to drive anchorage independent growth in a spindle cell sarcoma¹⁷².

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for GPS2 aberrations.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

NCOR1 deletion

nuclear receptor corepressor 1

Background: NCOR1 encodes nuclear receptor corepressor 1, which serves as a scaffold protein for large corepressor including transducin beta like 1 X-linked (TBL1X), TBL1X/Y related 1 (TBL1XR1), the G-protein-pathway suppressor 2 (GPS2), and protein deacetylases such as histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3)^{1,144,145}. NCOR1 plays a key role in several processes including embryonal development, metabolism, glucose homeostasis, inflammation, cell fate, chromatin structure and genomic stability^{144,145,146,147}. NCOR1 has been shown exhibit a tumor suppressor role by inhibiting invasion and metastasis in various cancer models¹⁴⁵. Inactivation of NCOR1 through mutation or deletion is observed in several cancer types including colorectal cancer, bladder cancer, hepatocellular carcinomas, lung cancer, and breast cancer^{145,148}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in NCOR1 are observed in 13% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 11% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 7% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 6% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 5% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and breast invasive carcinoma, 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma, 3% of mesothelioma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, and kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, and 2% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, glioblastoma multiforme, and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of NCOR1 are observed in 3% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and bladder urothelial carcinoma^{7,8}. Structural variants of NCOR1 are observed in 3% of cholangiocarcinoma and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for NCOR1 aberrations.

SOX9 p.(K242Nfs*9) c.723_724delCA, SOX9 p.(D244Rfs*8) c.729_730insC

SRY-box 9

Background: The SOX9 gene encodes the SRY-box transcription factor 9 protein¹. SOX9 regulates developmental pathways including stemness, differentiation, and progenitor development³⁶. SOX9 has been shown to regulate cell cycle progression and cell proliferation³⁶. In cancer, SOX9 aberrations have been observed to confer both gain or loss of function depending on the cancer type, supporting both tumor suppressor and oncogenic roles for SOX9³⁷.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in SOX9 are predominantly missense or truncating and are observed in 12% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 4% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 3% of stomach adenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Amplification of SOX9 is observed in 3% of sarcoma, breast invasive carcinoma, mesothelioma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 2% of stomach adenocarcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, skin cutaneous melanoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, brain lower grade glioma, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, thymoma, and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 1% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and prostate adenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic deletion is also observed in 1% of uveal melanoma, sarcoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for SOX9 aberrations.

TOP1 deletion

topoisomerase (DNA) I

Background: The TOP1 gene encodes DNA topoisomerase I, a member of the type I topoisomerase subfamily which also includes TOP3A and TOP3B^{1,149}. Topoisomerases function as enzymes that create breaks in the backbone of DNA to relieve the negative supercoiling of DNA that occurs during replication or transcription^{150,151}. Topoisomerases also function in DNA repair¹⁵¹. Specifically, TOP1 is responsible for catalyzing single-strand breaks followed by the re-ligation of DNA strands after unwinding¹⁵⁰. In normal transcription, TOP1 activity maintains genomic stability by preventing the formation of RNA:DNA hybrid R-loops, which can interfere with the transcription and replication processes¹⁵⁰. TOP1 amplification and overexpression have been observed in several cancer types and are believed to contribute to cancer growth and progression^{150,152,153,154}.

Alterations and prevalence: TOP1 amplification is observed in 7% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 5% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma and stomach cancer, and 2% of esophageal cancer^{7,8}. Somatic mutations in TOP1 are observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of skin cutaneous melanoma, and 2% of colorectal adenocarcinoma and adrenocortical carcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for TOP1 aberrations. TOP1 inhibitors including irinotecan (1996) for colon or rectal cancer as well as topotecan (1996) for small cell lung and cervical cancers are FDA approved, although not indicated for specific alterations. Mutations in TOP1 may confer resistance to topoisomerase inhibitors including irinotecan^{155,156,157}. Additionally,

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations (continued)

TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSP02, RSP03, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBF3, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERFF1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFB2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

☒ In this cancer type ☐ In other cancer type ☒ In this cancer type and other cancer types ☒ No evidence

BRCA2 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
olaparib	✗	○	✗	✗	● (II)
niraparib	✗	○	✗	✗	✗
rucaparib	✗	○	✗	✗	✗
pamiparib, tislelizumab	✗	✗	✗	✗	● (II)

FGFR1 amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
hormone therapy, catequentinib	✗	✗	✗	✗	● (II)
pemigatinib	✗	✗	✗	✗	● (II)
regorafenib	✗	✗	✗	✗	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

In this cancer type

In other cancer type

In this cancer type and other cancer types

No evidence

FGFR1 amplification (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
sunitinib	×	×	×	×	● (II)
BBI-355, futibatinib	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)
ABSK-121	×	×	×	×	● (I)

FANCA deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pamiparib, tislelizumab	×	×	×	×	● (II)

RB1 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
ARTS-021	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	11.28%
BRCA2	CNV, CN:1.0
BRCA2	LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x1

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
2. Hrdinka et al. CYLD Limits Lys63- and Met1-Linked Ubiquitin at Receptor Complexes to Regulate Innate Immune Signaling. *Cell Rep.* 2016 Mar 29;14(12):2846-58. PMID: 26997266
3. Dufner et al. Ubiquitin-specific protease 8 (USP8/UBPy): a prototypic multidomain deubiquitinating enzyme with pleiotropic functions. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2019 Dec 20;47(6):1867-1879. PMID: 31845722
4. Komander et al. The structure of the CYLD USP domain explains its specificity for Lys63-linked polyubiquitin and reveals a B box module. *Mol Cell.* 2008 Feb 29;29(4):451-64. PMID: 18313383
5. Massoumi. CYLD: a deubiquitination enzyme with multiple roles in cancer. *Future Oncol.* 2011 Feb;7(2):285-97. PMID: 21345146
6. Sun. CYLD: a tumor suppressor deubiquitinase regulating NF-kappaB activation and diverse biological processes. *Cell Death Differ.* 2010 Jan;17(1):25-34. PMID: 19373246
7. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nat. Genet.* 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
8. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
9. Xie et al. Regulatory Functions of Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase Receptor Type O in Immune Cells. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:783370. PMID: 34880876
10. Alonso et al. The extended human PTPome: a growing tyrosine phosphatase family. *FEBS J.* 2016 Jun;283(11):2197-201. PMID: 27263510
11. Kumar et al. Activity-based probes for protein tyrosine phosphatases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004 May 25;101(21):7943-8. PMID: 15148367
12. Tonks. Protein tyrosine phosphatases: from genes, to function, to disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2006 Nov;7(11):833-46. PMID: 17057753
13. Kalev et al. Loss of PPP2R2A inhibits homologous recombination DNA repair and predicts tumor sensitivity to PARP inhibition. *Cancer Res.* 2012 Dec 15;72(24):6414-24. PMID: 23087057
14. Álvarez-Fernández et al. Therapeutic relevance of the PP2A-B55 inhibitory kinase MASTL/Greatwall in breast cancer. *Cell Death Differ.* 2018 May;25(5):828-840. PMID: 29229993
15. Perrotti et al. Protein phosphatase 2A: a target for anticancer therapy. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013 May;14(6):e229-38. PMID: 23639323
16. Beca et al. Altered PPP2R2A and Cyclin D1 Expression Defines a Subgroup of Aggressive Luminal-Like Breast Cancer. *BMC Cancer.* 2015 Apr 15;15:285. doi: 10.1186/s12885-015-1266-1. PMID: 25879784
17. Curtis et al. The genomic and transcriptomic architecture of 2,000 breast tumours reveals novel subgroups. *Nature.* 2012 Apr 18;486(7403):346-52. PMID: 22522925
18. <https://www.senhwabio.com/en/news/20220125>
19. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Prostate Cancer [Version 2.2025]
20. Okano et al. Cloning and characterization of a family of novel mammalian DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferases. *Nat Genet.* 1998 Jul;19(3):219-20. PMID: 9662389
21. Fernandez et al. A DNA methylation fingerprint of 1628 human samples. *Genome Res.* 2012 Feb;22(2):407-19. PMID: 21613409
22. Jones et al. The epigenomics of cancer. *Cell.* 2007 Feb 23;128(4):683-92. PMID: 17320506
23. Ley et al. DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2010 Dec 16;363(25):2424-33. PMID: 21067377
24. Marková et al. Prognostic impact of DNMT3A mutations in patients with intermediate cytogenetic risk profile acute myeloid leukemia. *Eur. J. Haematol.* 2012 Feb;88(2):128-35. PMID: 21967546
25. Yang et al. DNMT3A in haematological malignancies. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 2015 Mar;15(3):152-65. PMID: 25693834
26. Renneville et al. Prognostic significance of DNA methyltransferase 3A mutations in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: a study by the Acute Leukemia French Association. *Leukemia.* 2012 Jun;26(6):1247-54. PMID: 22289988
27. Marcucci et al. Age-related prognostic impact of different types of DNMT3A mutations in adults with primary cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2012 Mar 1;30(7):742-50. PMID: 22291079
28. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
29. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
30. Kumar et al. DNMT3A (R882) mutation features and prognostic effect in acute myeloid leukemia in Coexistent with NPM1 and FLT3 mutations. *Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther.* 2018 Jun;11(2):82-89. PMID: 29079128

References (continued)

31. Thol et al. Incidence and prognostic influence of DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2011 Jul 20;29(21):2889-96. PMID: 21670448
32. Sandoval et al. Mutations in the DNMT3A DNA methyltransferase in acute myeloid leukemia patients cause both loss and gain of function and differential regulation by protein partners. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2019 Mar 29;294(13):4898-4910. PMID: 30705090
33. Holz-Schietinger et al. Mutations in DNA methyltransferase (DNMT3A) observed in acute myeloid leukemia patients disrupt processive methylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2012 Sep 7;287(37):30941-51. PMID: 22722925
34. Russler-Germain et al. The R882H DNMT3A mutation associated with AML dominantly inhibits wild-type DNMT3A by blocking its ability to form active tetramers. *Cancer Cell.* 2014 Apr 14;25(4):442-54. PMID: 24656771
35. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-T-Cell Lymphomas [Version 1.2025]
36. Jana et al. SOX9: The master regulator of cell fate in breast cancer. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2020 Apr;174:113789. PMID: 31911091
37. Aguilar-Medina et al. SOX9 Stem-Cell Factor: Clinical and Functional Relevance in Cancer. *J Oncol.* 2019;2019:6754040. PMID: 31057614
38. Liu et al. Distinct functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in double-strand break repair. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2002;4(1):9-13. PMID: 11879553
39. Jasin. Homologous repair of DNA damage and tumorigenesis: the BRCA connection. *Oncogene.* 2002 Dec 16;21(58):8981-93. PMID: 12483514
40. Kuchenbaecker et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. *JAMA.* 2017 Jun 20;317(23):2402-2416. PMID: 28632866
41. Tai et al. Breast cancer risk among male BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2007 Dec 5;99(23):1811-4. PMID: 18042939
42. Levy-Lahad et al. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Br. J. Cancer.* 2007 Jan 15;96(1):11-5. PMID: 17213823
43. Chen et al. Penetrance of Breast and Ovarian Cancer in Women Who Carry a BRCA1/2 Mutation and Do Not Use Risk-Reducing Salpingo-Oophorectomy: An Updated Meta-Analysis. *JNCI Cancer Spectr.* 2020 Aug;4(4):pkaa029. PMID: 32676552
44. Petrucelli et al. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *GeneReviews®* [Internet]. PMID: 20301425
45. Pruthi et al. Identification and Management of Women With BRCA Mutations or Hereditary Predisposition for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 2010 Dec;85(12):1111-20. PMID: 21123638
46. Walsh et al. Mutations in 12 genes for inherited ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinoma identified by massively parallel sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2011 Nov 1;108(44):18032-7. PMID: 22006311
47. Alsop et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2012 Jul 20;30(21):2654-63. PMID: 22711857
48. Whittemore et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutation carriers among U.S. non-Hispanic Whites. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2004 Dec;13(12):2078-83. PMID: 15598764
49. King et al. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Science.* 2003 Oct 24;302(5645):643-6. PMID: 14576434
50. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. *Br. J. Cancer.* 2000 Nov;83(10):1301-8. PMID: 11044354
51. Shao et al. A comprehensive literature review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of pan-cancer BRCA mutations, homologous recombination repair gene mutations, and homologous recombination deficiencies. *Environ Mol Mutagen.* 2022 Jul;63(6):308-316. PMID: 36054589
52. Hodgson et al. Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes. *Br. J. Cancer.* 2018 Nov;119(11):1401-1409. PMID: 30353044
53. Bryant et al. Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. *Nature.* 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):913-7. PMID: 15829966
54. Farmer et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. *Nature.* 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):917-21. PMID: 15829967
55. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/208558s028lbl.pdf
56. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf
57. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/217439s000lbl.pdf
58. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/214876s000lbl.pdf
59. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/216793s000lbl.pdf

References (continued)

60. Barber et al. Secondary mutations in BRCA2 associated with clinical resistance to a PARP inhibitor. *J. Pathol.* 2013 Feb;229(3):422-9. PMID: 23165508
61. D'Andrea. Mechanisms of PARP inhibitor sensitivity and resistance. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*. 2018 Nov;71:172-176. PMID: 30177437
62. <https://ir.tangotx.com//news-releases/news-release-details/tango-therapeutics-reports-third-quarter-2023-financial-results>
63. Niraj et al. The Fanconi Anemia Pathway in Cancer. *Annu Rev Cancer Biol.* 2019 Mar;3:457-478. PMID: 30882047
64. Rodríguez et al. Fanconi anemia pathway. *Curr Biol.* 2017 Sep 25;27(18):R986-R988. PMID: 28950089
65. Garcia-Higuera et al. Interaction of the Fanconi anemia proteins and BRCA1 in a common pathway. *Mol. Cell.* 2001 Feb;7(2):249-62. PMID: 11239454
66. Hussain et al. Direct interaction of FANCD2 with BRCA2 in DNA damage response pathways. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2004 Jun 15;13(12):1241-8. PMID: 15115758
67. Lord et al. BRCAness revisited. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 2016 Feb;16(2):110-20. PMID: 26775620
68. Byrum et al. Defining and Modulating 'BRCAness'. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2019 Sep;29(9):740-751. PMID: 31362850
69. Michl et al. Interplay between Fanconi anemia and homologous recombination pathways in genome integrity. *EMBO J.* 2016 May 2;35(9):909-23. PMID: 27037238
70. Abbasi et al. A rare FANCA gene variation as a breast cancer susceptibility allele in an Iranian population. *Mol Med Rep.* 2017 Jun;15(6):3983-3988. PMID: 28440412
71. Levran et al. Sequence variation in the Fanconi anemia gene FAA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1997 Nov 25;94(24):13051-6. PMID: 9371798
72. Antonio et al. A comprehensive strategy for the subtyping of patients with Fanconi anaemia: conclusions from the Spanish Fanconi Anemia Research Network. *J. Med. Genet.* 2007 Apr;44(4):241-9. PMID: 17105750
73. Tischkowitz et al. Deletion and reduced expression of the Fanconi anemia FANCA gene in sporadic acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia.* 2004 Mar;18(3):420-5. PMID: 14749703
74. McCabe et al. Deficiency in the repair of DNA damage by homologous recombination and sensitivity to poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibition. *Cancer Res.* 2006 Aug 15;66(16):8109-15. PMID: 16912188
75. Wilkes et al. A germline FANCA alteration that is associated with increased sensitivity to DNA damaging agents. *Cold Spring Harb Mol Case Stud.* 2017 Sep;3(5). PMID: 28864460
76. Zhang et al. Role of RASA1 in cancer: A review and update (Review). *Oncol Rep.* 2020 Dec;44(6):2386-2396. PMID: 33125148
77. King et al. Nonredundant functions for Ras GTPase-activating proteins in tissue homeostasis. *Sci Signal.* 2013 Feb 26;6(264):re1. PMID: 23443682
78. Korenjak et al. E2F-Rb complexes regulating transcription of genes important for differentiation and development. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2005 Oct;15(5):520-7. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2005.07.001. PMID: 16081278
79. Sachdeva et al. Understanding pRb: toward the necessary development of targeted treatments for retinoblastoma. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2012 Feb;122(2):425-34. PMID: 22293180
80. Dyson. RB1: a prototype tumor suppressor and an enigma. *Genes Dev.* 2016 Jul 1;30(13):1492-502. PMID: 27401552
81. Cobrinik. Pocket proteins and cell cycle control. *Oncogene.* 2005 Apr 18;24(17):2796-809. PMID: 15838516
82. Dommering et al. RB1 mutations and second primary malignancies after hereditary retinoblastoma. *Fam. Cancer.* 2012 Jun;11(2):225-33. PMID: 22205104
83. Anasua et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia as second primary tumor in a patient with retinoblastoma. *Oman J Ophthalmol.* May-Aug 2016;9(2):116-8. PMID: 27433042
84. Tanaka et al. Frequent allelic loss of the RB, D13S319 and D13S25 locus in myeloid malignancies with deletion/translocation at 13q14 of chromosome 13, but not in lymphoid malignancies. *Leukemia.* 1999 Sep;13(9):1367-73. PMID: 10482987
85. Gombos et al. Secondary acute myelogenous leukemia in patients with retinoblastoma: is chemotherapy a factor?. *Ophthalmology.* 2007 Jul;114(7):1378-83. PMID: 17613328
86. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
87. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. *Oncogene.* 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
88. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. *Br J Cancer.* 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
89. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. *Mol Carcinog.* 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693

References (continued)

90. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. *Oncotarget*. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
91. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
92. Karas et al. *JCO Oncol Pract*. 2021 Dec 3;OP2100624. PMID: 34860573
93. Hulpke et al. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. *Trends Biochem Sci*. PMID: 23849087
94. Adams et al. The adaptable major histocompatibility complex (MHC) fold: structure and function of nonclassical and MHC class I-like molecules. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2013;31:529-61. PMID: 23298204
95. Rossjohn et al. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2015;33:169-200. PMID: 25493333
96. Parham. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2005 Mar;5(3):201-14. PMID: 15719024
97. Sidney et al. HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. *BMC Immunol*. 2008 Jan 22;9:1. PMID: 18211710
98. Cornel et al. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020 Jul 2;12(7). PMID: 32630675
99. Debaugny et al. CTCF and CTCFL in cancer. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*. 2020 Apr;61:44-52. PMID: 32334335
100. Lutz et al. Transcriptional repression by the insulator protein CTCF involves histone deacetylases. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2000 Apr 15;28(8):1707-13. PMID: 10734189
101. Holwerda et al. CTCF: the protein, the binding partners, the binding sites and their chromatin loops. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2013;368(1620):20120369. PMID: 23650640
102. Katoh. Functional and cancer genomics of ASXL family members. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2013 Jul 23;109(2):299-306. PMID: 23736028
103. Yamato et al. ASXL2 mutations are frequently found in pediatric AML patients with t(8;21)/ RUNX1-RUNX1T1 and associated with a better prognosis. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2017 May;56(5):382-393. PMID: 28063196
104. Zhao et al. Zinc Finger Homeodomain Factor Zfhx3 Is Essential for Mammary Lactogenic Differentiation by Maintaining Prolactin Signaling Activity. *J Biol Chem*. 2016 Jun 10;291(24):12809-12820. PMID: 27129249
105. Miura et al. Cloning and characterization of an ATBF1 isoform that expresses in a neuronal differentiation-dependent manner. *J Biol Chem*. 1995 Nov 10;270(45):26840-8. PMID: 7592926
106. Berry et al. Positive and negative regulation of myogenic differentiation of C2C12 cells by isoforms of the multiple homeodomain zinc finger transcription factor ATBF1. *J Biol Chem*. 2001 Jul 6;276(27):25057-65. PMID: 11312261
107. Kataoka et al. Alpha-fetoprotein producing gastric cancer lacks transcription factor ATBF1. *Oncogene*. 2001 Feb 15;20(7):869-73. PMID: 11314020
108. Ninomiya et al. Regulation of the alpha-fetoprotein gene by the isoforms of ATBF1 transcription factor in human hepatoma. *Hepatology*. 2002 Jan;35(1):82-7. PMID: 11786962
109. Kaspar et al. Myb-interacting protein, ATBF1, represses transcriptional activity of Myb oncoprotein. *J Biol Chem*. 1999 May 14;274(20):14422-8. PMID: 10318867
110. Sun et al. Frequent somatic mutations of the transcription factor ATBF1 in human prostate cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2005 Apr;37(4):407-12. PMID: 15750593
111. Mabuchi et al. Tumor suppressor, AT motif binding factor 1 (ATBF1), translocates to the nucleus with runt domain transcription factor 3 (RUNX3) in response to TGF-beta signal transduction. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2010 Jul 23;398(2):321-5. PMID: 20599712
112. Sun et al. Deletion of atbf1/zfhx3 in mouse prostate causes neoplastic lesions, likely by attenuation of membrane and secretory proteins and multiple signaling pathways. *Neoplasia*. 2014 May;16(5):377-89. PMID: 24934715
113. Kawaguchi et al. A diagnostic marker for superficial urothelial bladder carcinoma: lack of nuclear ATBF1 (ZFHX3) by immunohistochemistry suggests malignant progression. *BMC Cancer*. 2016 Oct 18;16(1):805. PMID: 27756245
114. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
115. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. *Front Oncol*. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
116. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J*. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
117. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. *Front Microbiol*. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133

References (continued)

118. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
119. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. *Cancer Res.* 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
120. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. *Carcinogenesis.* 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
121. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
122. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. *Dis. Markers.* 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
123. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
124. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
125. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. *Nat Commun.* 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
126. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
127. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
128. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
129. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
130. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
131. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
132. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
133. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
134. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. *J Pers Med.* 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
135. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
136. Link et al. Core binding factor at the crossroads: determining the fate of the HSC. *J Cell Physiol.* 2010 Jan;222(1):50-6. PMID: 19813271
137. Qin et al. Cbfb regulates bone development by stabilizing Runx family proteins. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2015 Apr;30(4):706-14. PMID: 25262822
138. Malik et al. The transcription factor CBFB suppresses breast cancer through orchestrating translation and transcription. *Nat Commun.* 2019 May 6;10(1):2071. PMID: 31061501
139. Lesser et al. Tables of power for the F-test for comparing two exponential survival distributions. *J Chronic Dis.* 1981;34(11):533-44. PMID: 17287858
140. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. *Blood.* 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
141. Khoury et al. The 5th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Haematolymphoid Tumours: Myeloid and Histiocytic/Dendritic Neoplasms. *Leukemia.* 2022 Jul;36(7):1703-1719. PMID: 35732831
142. D'Alessandro et al. BRCA2 controls DNA:RNA hybrid level at DSBs by mediating RNase H2 recruitment. *Nat Commun.* 2018 Dec 18;9(1):5376. PMID: 30560944
143. Aden et al. Epithelial RNase H2 Maintains Genome Integrity and Prevents Intestinal Tumorigenesis in Mice. *Gastroenterology.* 2019 Jan;156(1):145-159.e19. PMID: 30273559
144. Geiger et al. Role of the Nuclear Receptor Corepressor 1 (NCOR1) in Atherosclerosis and Associated Immunometabolic Diseases. *Front Immunol.* 2020;11:569358. PMID: 33117357
145. Martínez-Iglesias et al. Tumor suppressive actions of the nuclear receptor corepressor 1. *Pharmacol Res.* 2016 Jun;108:75-79. PMID: 27149915
146. Bhaskara et al. Hdac3 is essential for the maintenance of chromatin structure and genome stability. *Cancer Cell.* 2010 Nov 16;18(5):436-47. PMID: 21075309

References (continued)

147. Mottis et al. Emerging roles of the corepressors NCoR1 and SMRT in homeostasis. *Genes Dev.* 2013 Apr 15;27(8):819-35. PMID: 23630073
148. Noblejas-López et al. Evaluation of transcriptionally regulated genes identifies NCOR1 in hormone receptor negative breast tumors and lung adenocarcinomas as a potential tumor suppressor gene. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(11):e0207776. PMID: 30485330
149. Hevener et al. Recent developments in topoisomerase-targeted cancer chemotherapy. *Acta Pharm Sin B.* 2018 Oct;8(6):844-861. PMID: 30505655
150. Kim et al. The Top1 paradox: Friend and foe of the eukaryotic genome. *DNA Repair (Amst.).* 2017 Aug;56:33-41. PMID: 28641942
151. Thomas et al. Targeting Topoisomerase I in the Era of Precision Medicine. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2019 Nov 15;25(22):6581-6589. PMID: 31227499
152. Kümler et al. Topoisomerase-1 gene copy aberrations are frequent in patients with breast cancer. *Int. J. Cancer.* 2015 Oct 15;137(8):2000-6. PMID: 25855483
153. Grunnet et al. TOP1 gene copy numbers are increased in cancers of the bile duct and pancreas. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 2015 Apr;50(4):485-94. PMID: 25615400
154. Lee et al. Targeting of Topoisomerase I for Prognoses and Therapeutics of Camptothecin-Resistant Ovarian Cancer. *PLoS ONE.* 2015;10(7):e0132579. PMID: 26207989
155. Gongora et al. New Topoisomerase I mutations are associated with resistance to camptothecin. *Mol. Cancer.* 2011 May 27;10:64. PMID: 21619602
156. Arakawa et al. Three missense mutations of DNA topoisomerase I in highly camptothecin-resistant colon cancer cell sublines. *Oncol. Rep.* 2013 Sep;30(3):1053-8. PMID: 23836376
157. Rasheed et al. Mechanisms of resistance to topoisomerase I-targeting drugs. *Oncogene.* 2003 Oct 20;22(47):7296-304. PMID: 14576839
158. Ryan et al. Topoisomerase I amplification in melanoma is associated with more advanced tumours and poor prognosis. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2010 Aug;23(4):542-53. PMID: 20465595
159. Rømer et al. Topoisomerase 1(TOP1) gene copy number in stage III colorectal cancer patients and its relation to prognosis. *Mol Oncol.* 2013 Feb;7(1):101-11. PMID: 23110915
160. Liu et al. DNA topoisomerase 1 and 2A function as oncogenes in liver cancer and may be direct targets of nitidine chloride. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2018 Nov;53(5):1897-1912. PMID: 30132517
161. Bai et al. Targeting of topoisomerases for prognosis and drug resistance in ovarian cancer. *J Ovarian Res.* 2016 Jun 18;9(1):35. PMID: 27315793
162. Binz et al. Replication Protein A phosphorylation and the cellular response to DNA damage. *DNA Repair*, 01 Aug 2004, 3(8-9):1015-1024. PMID: 15279788
163. Pritchard et al. Molecular pathways: mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway mutations and drug resistance. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2013 May 1;19(9):2301-9. PMID: 23406774
164. Lee et al. Targeting MAPK Signaling in Cancer: Mechanisms of Drug Resistance and Sensitivity. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020 Feb 7;21(3). PMID: 32046099
165. Bubici et al. JNK signalling in cancer: in need of new, smarter therapeutic targets. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2014 Jan;171(1):24-37. PMID: 24117156
166. Pham et al. MAP3K1: Genomic Alterations in Cancer and Function in Promoting Cell Survival or Apoptosis. *Genes Cancer.* 2013 Nov;4(11-12):419-26. PMID: 24386504
167. Cheng et al. G protein pathway suppressor 2 (GPS2) is a transcriptional corepressor important for estrogen receptor alpha-mediated transcriptional regulation. *J Biol Chem.* 2009 Dec 25;284(52):36395-36404. PMID: 19858209
168. Si et al. G protein pathway suppressor 2 suppresses gastric cancer by destabilizing epidermal growth factor receptor. *Cancer Sci.* 2021 Dec;112(12):4867-4882. PMID: 34609770
169. Bien-Willner et al. Mutation and expression analysis in medulloblastoma yields prognostic variants and a putative mechanism of disease for i17q tumors. *Acta Neuropathol Commun.* 2014 Jul 17;2:74. PMID: 25030029
170. Huang et al. G protein pathway suppressor 2 (GPS2) acts as a tumor suppressor in liposarcoma. *Tumour Biol.* 2016 Oct;37(10):13333-13343. PMID: 27460081
171. Chan et al. Loss of G-Protein Pathway Suppressor 2 Promotes Tumor Growth Through Activation of AKT Signaling. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2020;8:608044. PMID: 33490071
172. O'Meara et al. Identification of an MLL4-GPS2 fusion as an oncogenic driver of undifferentiated spindle cell sarcoma in a child. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2014 Dec;53(12):991-8. PMID: 25139254

References (continued)

173. Hao et al. Control of Wnt Receptor Turnover by R-spondin-ZNRF3/RNF43 Signaling Module and Its Dysregulation in Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2016 Jun 8;8(6). PMID: 27338477
174. Tsukiyama et al. Molecular Role of RNF43 in Canonical and Noncanonical Wnt Signaling. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2015 Jun 1;35(11):2007-23. PMID: 25825523
175. Fennell et al. RNF43 is mutated less frequently in Lynch Syndrome compared with sporadic microsatellite unstable colorectal cancers. *Fam. Cancer*. 2018 Jan;17(1):63-69. PMID: 28573495
176. Giannakis et al. RNF43 is frequently mutated in colorectal and endometrial cancers. *Nat. Genet.* 2014 Dec;46(12):1264-6. PMID: 25344691
177. Halbleib et al. Cadherins in development: cell adhesion, sorting, and tissue morphogenesis. *Genes Dev.* 2006 Dec 1;20(23):3199-214. PMID: 17158740
178. Pećina-Slaus. Tumor suppressor gene E-cadherin and its role in normal and malignant cells. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2003 Oct 14;3(1):17. PMID: 14613514
179. Hirohashi. Inactivation of the E-cadherin-mediated cell adhesion system in human cancers. *Am J Pathol.* 1998 Aug;153(2):333-9. PMID: 9708792
180. Bruner et al. Loss of E-Cadherin-Dependent Cell-Cell Adhesion and the Development and Progression of Cancer. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2018 Mar 1;10(3). PMID: 28507022
181. Adib et al. CDH1 germline variants are enriched in patients with colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, and breast cancer. *Br J Cancer.* 2022 Mar;126(5):797-803. PMID: 34949788
182. Al-Ahmadie et al. Frequent somatic CDH1 loss-of-function mutations in plasmacytoid variant bladder cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2016 Apr;48(4):356-8. PMID: 26901067
183. Kim et al. Loss of CDH1 (E-cadherin) expression is associated with infiltrative tumour growth and lymph node metastasis. *Br J Cancer.* 2016 Jan 19;114(2):199-206. PMID: 26742007
184. Babina et al. Advances and challenges in targeting FGFR signalling in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 2017 May;17(5):318-332. PMID: 28303906
185. Ahmad et al. Mechanisms of FGFR-mediated carcinogenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2012 Apr;1823(4):850-60. PMID: 22273505
186. Sarabipour et al. Mechanism of FGF receptor dimerization and activation. *Nat Commun.* 2016 Jan 4;7:10262. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10262. PMID: 26725515
187. Helsten et al. The FGFR Landscape in Cancer: Analysis of 4,853 Tumors by Next-Generation Sequencing. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2016 Jan 1;22(1):259-67. PMID: 26373574
188. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. *Nature.* 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
189. Ciriello et al. Comprehensive Molecular Portraits of Invasive Lobular Breast Cancer. *Cell.* 2015 Oct 8;163(2):506-19. PMID: 26451490
190. Cancer et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. *Nature.* 2013 May 2;497(7447):67-73. PMID: 23636398
191. Lew et al. The precise sequence of FGF receptor autophosphorylation is kinetically driven and is disrupted by oncogenic mutations. *Sci Signal.* 2009 Feb 17;2(58):ra6. PMID: 19224897
192. Jackson et al. 8p11 myeloproliferative syndrome: a review. *Hum. Pathol.* 2010 Apr;41(4):461-76. PMID: 20226962
193. Li et al. Identification of a novel partner gene, TPR, fused to FGFR1 in 8p11 myeloproliferative syndrome. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2012 Sep;51(9):890-7. PMID: 22619110
194. Wasag et al. The kinase inhibitor TKI258 is active against the novel CUX1-FGFR1 fusion detected in a patient with T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma and t(7;8)(q22;p11). *Haematologica.* 2011 Jun;96(6):922-6. PMID: 21330321
195. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/213736s002lbl.pdf
196. <https://www.debiopharm.com/drug-development/press-releases/fda-grants-fast-track-designation-to-debiopharm-internationals-debio-1347-for-the-treatment-of-patients-with-unresectable-or-metastatic-tumors-with-a-specific-fgfr-gene-alteration/>
197. Helsten et al. Fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling in hereditary and neoplastic disease: biologic and clinical implications. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 2015 Sep;34(3):479-96. PMID: 26224133
198. Cha et al. FGFR2 amplification is predictive of sensitivity to regorafenib in gastric and colorectal cancers in vitro. *Mol Oncol.* 2018 Jun;12(7):993-1003. PMID: 29573334
199. Chae et al. Inhibition of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) pathway: the current landscape and barriers to clinical application. *Oncotarget.* 2017 Feb 28;8(9):16052-16074. PMID: 28030802

References (continued)

200. Porta et al. FGFR a promising druggable target in cancer: Molecular biology and new drugs. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 2017 May;113:256-267. PMID: 28427515
201. Gozgit et al. Ponatinib (AP24534), a multitargeted pan-FGFR inhibitor with activity in multiple FGFR-amplified or mutated cancer models. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2012 Mar;11(3):690-9. PMID: 22238366
202. Yamamoto et al. Lenvatinib, an angiogenesis inhibitor targeting VEGFR/FGFR, shows broad antitumor activity in human tumor xenograft models associated with microvessel density and pericyte coverage. *Vasc Cell.* 2014 Sep 6;6:18. doi: 10.1186/2045-824X-6-18. eCollection 2014. PMID: 25197551
203. Kim et al. Pazopanib, a novel multitargeted kinase inhibitor, shows potent in vitro antitumor activity in gastric cancer cell lines with FGFR2 amplification. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2014 Nov;13(11):2527-36. PMID: 25249557
204. Hibi et al. FGFR gene alterations in lung squamous cell carcinoma are potential targets for the multikinase inhibitor nintedanib. *Cancer Sci.* 2016 Nov;107(11):1667-1676. PMID: 27581340
205. Lim et al. Efficacy and safety of dovitinib in pretreated patients with advanced squamous non-small cell lung cancer with FGFR1 amplification: A single-arm, phase 2 study. *Cancer.* 2016 Oct;122(19):3024-31. PMID: 27315356
206. Paik et al. A Phase Ib Open-Label Multicenter Study of AZD4547 in Patients with Advanced Squamous Cell Lung Cancers. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017 Sep 15;23(18):5366-5373. PMID: 28615371
207. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
208. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Pediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2025]
209. Brown et al. Biological and clinical implications of FGFR aberrations in paediatric and young adult cancers. *Oncogene.* 2023 Jun;42(23):1875-1888. PMID: 37130917
210. Cheung et al. Targeting therapeutic liabilities engendered by PIK3R1 mutations for cancer treatment. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2016 Feb;17(3):297-307. PMID: 26807692
211. Cantley. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. *Science.* 2002 May 31;296(5573):1655-7. PMID: 12040186
212. Fruman et al. The PI3K Pathway in Human Disease. *Cell.* 2017 Aug 10;170(4):605-635. PMID: 28802037
213. Engelman et al. The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2006 Aug;7(8):606-19. PMID: 16847462
214. Vanhaesebroeck et al. PI3K signalling: the path to discovery and understanding. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2012 Feb 23;13(3):195-203. PMID: 22358332
215. Chagpar et al. Direct positive regulation of PTEN by the p85 subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2010 Mar 23;107(12):5471-6. PMID: 20212113
216. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. *J Biomed Res.* 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
217. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Cell.* 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
218. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
219. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med.* 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
220. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature.* 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
221. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat. Genet.* 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
222. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. *Nature.* 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
223. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. *Hum. Mutat.* 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
224. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. *Genes Cancer.* 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
225. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. *Oncogene.* 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
226. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. *Hum. Mutat.* 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566

References (continued)

227. <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html>
228. <https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation>
229. <http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167>
230. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. *Front Oncol.* 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
231. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
232. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Neuro Oncol.* 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
233. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
234. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
235. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
236. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Nat. Med.* 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829