

Tel. 1661-5117 www.smlab.co.kr



Report Date: 07 Aug 2025 1 of 11

Patient Name: 조유경 Gender: F Sample ID: N25-128 Primary Tumor Site: Ovary
Collection Date: 2025.07.10

Sample Cancer Type: Ovarian Cancer

| Table of Contents | Page |
|--------------------------|------|
| Variant Details | 2 |
| Biomarker Descriptions | 2 |
| Relevant Therapy Summary | 7 |

Report Highlights 1 Relevant Biomarkers 3 Therapies Available 6 Clinical Trials

Relevant Ovarian Cancer Findings

| Gene | Finding | | Gene | Finding | |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------|---------------|--|
| BRAF | None detected | | NTRK1 | None detected | |
| BRCA1 | None detected | | NTRK2 | None detected | |
| BRCA2 | None detected | | NTRK3 | None detected | |
| ERBB2 | None detected | | RET | None detected | |
| Genomic Alte | eration | Finding | | | |
| Tumor Mutational Burden | | 7.59 Mut/Mb measured | | | |
| Genomic Instability | | GIM 3 (Low) | | | |

HRD Status: HR Proficient (HRD-)

Relevant Biomarkers

| Tier | Genomic Alteration | Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type) | Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type) | Clinical Trials |
|------|--|---|---|-----------------|
| IIC | PIK3CA p.(E542K) c.1624G>A phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3- kinase catalytic subunit alpha Allele Frequency: 9.96% Locus: chr3:178936082 Transcript: NM_006218.4 | None* | inavolisib + palbociclib + hormone therapy 1/1 alpelisib + hormone therapy 1,2/11+ capivasertib + hormone therapy 1,2/1 + | 6 |

^{*} Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

Microsatellite stable, PIK3CB p.(R321Q) c.962G>A, RB1 p.(N258Efs*11) c.772_776delAACAG, RB1 p.(R445*) c.1333C>T, TP53 c.376-1G>A, TSC2 p.(Y1279*) c.3837C>G, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, DSC1 deletion, Tumor Mutational Burden, Genomic Instability (Low)

^{*} Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

2 of 11

Report Date: 07 Aug 2025

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

| | | | | | Allele | | |
|---------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Gene | Amino Acid Change | Coding | Variant ID | Locus | Frequency | Transcript | Variant Effect |
| PIK3CA | p.(E542K) | c.1624G>A | COSM760 | chr3:178936082 | 9.96% | NM_006218.4 | missense |
| PIK3CB | p.(R321Q) | c.962G>A | COSM1039061 | chr3:138453486 | 59.75% | NM_006219.3 | missense |
| RB1 | p.(N258Efs*11) | c.772_776delAACAG | | chr13:48937003 | 38.07% | NM_000321.3 | frameshift Deletion |
| RB1 | p.(R445*) | c.1333C>T | | chr13:48953730 | 35.19% | NM_000321.3 | nonsense |
| TP53 | p.(?) | c.376-1G>A | | chr17:7578555 | 65.40% | NM_000546.6 | unknown |
| TSC2 | p.(Y1279*) | c.3837C>G | | chr16:2132459 | 46.35% | NM_000548.5 | nonsense |
| UGT1A1 | p.(G71R) | c.211G>A | COSM4415616 | chr2:234669144 | 59.70% | NM_000463.3 | missense |
| NQ01 | p.(P187S) | c.559C>T | | chr16:69745145 | 99.20% | NM_000903.3 | missense |
| C8B | p.(E495del) | c.1482_1484delGGA | | chr1:57399075 | 6.26% | NM_000066.4 | nonframeshift Deletion |
| OR2T4 | p.(R171M) | c.512G>T | | chr1:248525394 | 3.05% | NM_001004696.1 | missense |
| TET2 | p.(R1262Q) | c.3785G>A | | chr4:106164917 | 19.43% | NM_001127208.3 | missense |
| MSH3 | p.(A57_A62del) | c.162_179delTGCAGC GGCCGCAGCGGC | | chr5:79950707 | 73.98% | NM_002439.5 | nonframeshift Deletion |
| HLA-B | p.([T118l;L119l]) | c.353_355delCCCinsT CA | | chr6:31324208 | 100.00% | NM_005514.8 | missense, missense |
| C8orf89 | p.(I57S) | c.170T>G | | chr8:74169319 | 19.02% | NM_001243237.1 | missense |

Copy Number Variations

| Gene | Locus | Copy Number | CNV Ratio |
|------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| DSC1 | chr18:28710424 | 0.96 | 0.65 |

Biomarker Descriptions

PIK3CA p.(E542K) c.1624G>A

phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha

Background: The PIK3CA gene encodes the phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha of the class I phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) enzyme⁵⁷. PI3K is a heterodimer that contains a p85 regulatory subunit, which couples one of four p110 catalytic subunits to activated tyrosine protein kinases^{58,59}. The p110 catalytic subunits include p110α, β, δ, γ and are encoded by genes PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, and PIK3CG, respectively⁵⁸. PI3K catalyzes the conversion of phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P2) into phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PI(3,4,5)P3) while the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) catalyzes the reverse reaction^{60,61}. The reversible phosphorylation of inositol lipids regulates diverse aspects of cell growth and metabolism^{60,61,62,63}. Recurrent somatic alterations in PIK3CA are frequent in cancer and result in the activation of PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway, which can influence several hallmarks of cancer including cell proliferation, apoptosis, cancer cell metabolism and invasion, and genetic instability^{64,65,66}.

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic activating mutations in PIK3CA are common in diverse cancers and are observed in 20-30% of breast, cervical, and uterine cancers and 10-20% of bladder, gastric, head and neck, and colorectal cancers^{7,8}. Activating mutations in PIK3CA commonly occur in exons 10 and 21 (previously referred to as exons 9 and 20 due to exon 1 being untranslated)^{67,68}. These mutations typically cluster in the exon 10 helical (codons E542/E545) and exon 21 kinase (codon H1047) domains, each having distinct mechanisms of activation^{69,70,71}. PIK3CA resides in the 3q26 cytoband, a region frequently amplified

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

(10-30%) in diverse cancers including squamous carcinomas of the lung, cervix, head and neck, and esophagus, and in serous ovarian and uterine cancers^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: The PI3K inhibitor, alpelisib⁷², is FDA-approved (2019) in combination with fulvestrant for the treatment of patients with PIK3CA-mutated, hormone receptor (HR)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative, advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Additionally, a phase Ib study of alpelisib with letrozole in patients with metastatic estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer showed the clinical benefit rate, defined as lack of disease progression ≥ 6 months, was 44% (7/16) in PIK3CA-mutated tumors and 20% (2/20) in PIK3CA wild-type tumors⁷³. Specifically, exon 20 H1047R mutations were associated with more durable clinical responses in comparison to exon 9 E545K mutations⁷³. However, alpelisib did not improve response when administered with letrozole in patients with ER+ early breast cancer with PIK3CA mutations⁷⁴. The FDA also approved the kinase inhibitor, capivasertib (2023)⁷⁵ in combination with fulvestrant for locally advanced or metastatic HR-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer with one or more PIK3CA/AKT1/PTEN-alterations following progression after endocrine treatment. The kinase inhibitor, inavolisib⁷⁶, is also FDA-approved (2024) in combination with palbociclib and fulvestrant for the treatment of adults with endocrine-resistant, PIK3CA-mutated, HR-positive, and HER2-negative breast cancer. Case studies with mTOR inhibitors sirolimus and temsirolimus report isolated cases of clinical response in PIK3CA mutated refractory cancers^{77,78}.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome³⁵. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{36,37}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2³⁸. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250³⁹. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)³⁹. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{40,41,42,43,44}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes³⁷. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{36,37,41,45}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{36,37,46,47}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{46,47}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab⁴⁸ (2014) and nivolumab⁴⁹ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab⁴⁸ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication⁴⁸. Dostarlimab⁵⁰ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{42,51}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab⁵² (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{42,53,54}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁵⁴. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{55,56}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{55,56}.

PIK3CB p.(R321Q) c.962G>A

phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit beta

Background: The PIK3CB gene encodes the phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit beta of the class I phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) enzyme⁵⁸. PI3K is a heterodimer that contains a p85 regulatory subunit, which couples one of four p110 catalytic subunits to activated tyrosine protein kinases^{58,59}. The p110 catalytic subunits include p110α, β, δ, γ and are encoded by genes PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, and PIK3CG, respectively⁵⁸. PI3K catalyzes the conversion of phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P2) into phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PI(3,4,5)P3) while the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) catalyzes the reverse reaction^{60,61}. The reversible phosphorylation of inositol lipids regulate diverse aspects of cell growth and

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

metabolism^{60,61,62,63}. Aberrations in PIK3CB that lead to activation of the PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway have been observed to promote tumor formation, suggesting an oncogenic role for PIK3CB^{58,82,83}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in PIK3CB are predominantly missense with amino acid substitutions at D1067 being the most recurrent and observed to lead to hyperactivation of the PI3K pathway^{7,8,84}. PIK3CB mutations are observed in about 9% of uterine cancer and 2-3% of melanoma, glioblastoma, cholangiocarcinoma, colorectal, bladder, stomach, esophageal, and squamous lung cancers^{7,8}. Amplification of PIK3CB is also observed in 9% of squamous lung cancer, 7% of cervical cancer, and 5-6% of head and neck, ovarian, and esophageal cancers^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for PIK3CB aberrations.

RB1 p.(N258Efs*11) c.772_776delAACAG, RB1 p.(R445*) c.1333C>T

RB transcriptional corepressor 1

Background: The RB1 gene encodes the retinoblastoma protein (pRB), and is an early molecular hallmark of cancer. RB1 belongs to the family of pocket proteins that also includes p107 and p130, which play a crucial role in the cell proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation^{85,86}. RB1 is well characterized as a tumor suppressor gene that restrains cell cycle progression from G1 phase to S phase⁸⁷. Specifically, RB1 binds and represses the E2F family of transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes involved in the G1/S cell cycle regulation^{85,86,88}. Germline mutations in RB1 are associated with retinoblastoma (a rare childhood tumor) as well as other cancer types such as osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcoma, and melanoma⁸⁹.

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations in RB1, including mutations and biallelic loss, lead to the inactivation of the RB1 protein. RB1 mutations are observed in urothelial carcinoma (approximately 16%), endometrial cancer (approximately 12%), and sarcomas (approximately 9%)8. Similarly, biallelic loss of RB1 is observed in sarcomas (approximately 13%), urothelial carcinoma (approximately 6%), and endometrial cancer (approximately 1%)8. Biallelic loss of the RB1 gene is also linked to the activation of chemotherapy-induced acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)90,91,92.

Potential relevance: Currently, there are no therapies approved for RB1 aberrations.

TP53 c.376-1G>A

tumor protein p53

<u>Background</u>: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair¹. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis⁹. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential¹⁰. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{11,12}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{7,8,13,14,15,16}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{7,8}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{17,18,19,20}. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{7,8}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586²¹ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt²², (2019) and breakthrough designation²³ (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{24,25}. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma²⁶. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{27,28,29,30,31,32}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant³³. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system³⁴.

TSC2 p.(Y1279*) c.3837C>G

tuberous sclerosis 2

Background: The TSC2 gene encodes the tuberin protein. TSC2 and TSC1 (also known as hamartin) form a complex through their respective coiled-coil domains⁷⁹. The TSC1-TSC2 complex is a negative regulator of the mTOR signaling pathway that regulates cell growth, cell proliferation, and protein and lipid synthesis⁸⁰. Specifically, the TSC1-TSC2 complex acts as a GTPase activating (GAP) protein that inhibits the G-protein RHEB and keeps it in an inactivated state (RHEB-GDP). GTP bound RHEB (RHEB-GTP) is required to activate the mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1). TSC1 and TSC2 are tumor suppressor genes. Loss of function mutations in TSC1 and TSC2 lead to dysregulation of the mTOR pathway^{79,81}. Inactivating germline mutations in TSC1 and TSC2 are associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), an autosomal dominant neurocutaneous and progressive disorder that presents with multiple benign tumors in different organs⁷⁹.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations are observed in up to 8% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 7% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, and 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for TSC2 aberrations.

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily^{1,93}. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites^{93,94}. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance⁹⁵. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation^{95,96,97,98}. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38⁹⁹.

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

DSC1 deletion

desmocollin 1

Background: The DSC1 gene encodes desmocollin 1, a member of the desmocollin (DSC) subfamily of the cadherin superfamily, which also includes DSC2 and DSC3 1 . DSCs along with desmogleins (DSGs) function as membrane-spanning constituents of the desmosomes 2 . Desmosomes are protein complexes in the intracellular junctions that confer stability and strengthen cell-cell adhesion 3 . Deregulation of DSC expression is suggested to impact β-catenin signaling and has been observed in a number of cancer types, supporting a potential role for DSC1 in tumorigenesis 2 ,4,5,6.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in DSC1 are observed in 17% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of uterine carcinosarcoma, and 3% of lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and colorectal adenocarcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic deletion of DSC1 is observed in 2% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for DSC1 aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CG, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF11, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCE, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCH, FA

Relevant Therapy Summary

■ In this cancer type
O In other cancer type
In this cancer type and other cancer types
X No evidence

PIK3CA p.(E542K) c.1624G>A **FDA NCCN EMA FSMO Clinical Trials* Relevant Therapy** alpelisib + fulvestrant 0 0 0 O × capivasertib + fulvestrant 0 0 \bigcirc × × inavolisib + palbociclib + fulvestrant 0 0 × × × (I/II) HTL-0039732, atezolizumab × × × × JS-105, chemotherapy (I/II) × × × × STX-478, hormone therapy (I/II) × × × × JS-105 × × × × (I) **RLY-2608** (I) × × × × SNV-4818, hormone therapy × × × × (I)

HRR Details

| Gene/Genomic Alteration | Finding |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| LOH percentage | 35.1% |
| BRCA2 | LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x3 |
| ATM | LOH, 11q22.3(108098341-108236285)x3 |
| CHEK1 | LOH, 11q24.2(125496639-125525271)x3 |

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.06(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-05-14. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-05-14. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-05-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-05-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

^{*} Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

References

- O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
- Chidgey et al. Desmosomes: a role in cancer?. Br J Cancer. 2007 Jun 18;96(12):1783-7. PMID: 17519903
- Dubash et al. Desmosomes. Curr Biol. 2011 Jul 26;21(14):R529-31. PMID: 21783027
- Hardman et al. Desmosomal cadherin misexpression alters beta-catenin stability and epidermal differentiation. Mol Cell Biol. 2005 Feb;25(3):969-78. PMID: 15657425
- Wang et al. Lower DSC1 expression is related to the poor differentiation and prognosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2016 Dec;142(12):2461-2468. PMID: 27601166
- 6. Oshiro et al. Epigenetic silencing of DSC3 is a common event in human breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2005;7(5):R669-80. PMID: 16168112
- 7. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
- 8. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
- 9. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
- 10. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
- 11. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
- 12. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
- 13. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
- 14. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
- 15. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
- 16. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
- 17. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
- 18. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
- 19. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
- 20. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
- 21. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
- 22. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
- 23. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
- Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
- 25. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
- 26. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
- 27. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
- 28. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
- 29. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]

References (continued)

- 30. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
- 31. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 3.2025]
- 32. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
- 33. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
- 34. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
- 35. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
- 36. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
- 37. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
- 38. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
- 39. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
- 40. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
- 41. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
- 42. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 3.2025]
- 43. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
- 44. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
- 45. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
- 46. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
- 47. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
- 48. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
- 49. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125554s129lbl.pdf
- 50. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
- 51. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 52. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s133lbl.pdf
- 53. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
- 54. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
- 55. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
- 56. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
- 57. Volinia et al. Molecular cloning, cDNA sequence, and chromosomal localization of the human phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase p110 alpha (PIK3CA) gene. Genomics. 1994 Dec;24(3):472-7. PMID: 7713498
- 58. Whale et al. Functional characterization of a novel somatic oncogenic mutation of PIK3CB. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2017;2:17063. PMID: 29279775
- 59. Osaki et al. PI3K-Akt pathway: its functions and alterations in human cancer. Apoptosis. 2004 Nov;9(6):667-76. PMID: 15505410
- 60. Cantley. The phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway. Science. 2002 May 31;296(5573):1655-7. PMID: 12040186
- 61. Fruman et al. The PI3K Pathway in Human Disease. Cell. 2017 Aug 10;170(4):605-635. PMID: 28802037

References (continued)

- 62. Engelman et al. The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2006 Aug;7(8):606-19. PMID: 16847462
- 63. Vanhaesebroeck et al. PI3K signalling: the path to discovery and understanding. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2012 Feb 23;13(3):195-203. PMID: 22358332
- 64. Yuan et al. PI3K pathway alterations in cancer: variations on a theme. Oncogene. 2008 Sep 18;27(41):5497-510. PMID: 18794884
- 65. Liu et al. Targeting the phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway in cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2009 Aug;8(8):627-44. PMID: 19644473
- 66. Hanahan et al. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011 Mar 4;144(5):646-74. PMID: 21376230
- 67. Brito et al. PIK3CA Mutations in Diffuse Gliomas: An Update on Molecular Stratification, Prognosis, Recurrence, and Aggressiveness. Clin Med Insights Oncol. 2022;16:11795549211068804. PMID: 35023985
- 68. Huret et al. Atlas of genetics and cytogenetics in oncology and haematology in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan;41(Database issue):D920-4. PMID: 23161685
- 69. Miled et al. Mechanism of two classes of cancer mutations in the phosphoinositide 3-kinase catalytic subunit. Science. 2007 Jul 13;317(5835):239-42. PMID: 17626883
- 70. Burke et al. Synergy in activating class I PI3Ks. Trends Biochem. Sci. 2015 Feb;40(2):88-100. PMID: 25573003
- 71. Burke et al. Oncogenic mutations mimic and enhance dynamic events in the natural activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110α (PIK3CA). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012 Sep 18;109(38):15259-64. PMID: 22949682
- 72. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/212526s009lbl.pdf
- 73. Mayer et al. A Phase Ib Study of Alpelisib (BYL719), a PI3Kα-Specific Inhibitor, with Letrozole in ER+/HER2- Metastatic Breast Cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2017 Jan 1;23(1):26-34. PMID: 27126994
- 74. Mayer et al. A Phase II Randomized Study of Neoadjuvant Letrozole Plus Alpelisib for Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Breast Cancer (NEO-ORB). Clin. Cancer Res. 2019 Feb 5. PMID: 30723140
- 75. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/218197s002lbl.pdf
- 76. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/219249s000lbl.pdf
- 77. Jung et al. Pilot study of sirolimus in patients with PIK3CA mutant/amplified refractory solid cancer. Mol Clin Oncol. 2017 Jul;7(1):27-31. PMID: 28685070
- 78. Janku et al. PIK3CA mutations in patients with advanced cancers treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis inhibitors. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2011 Mar;10(3):558-65. PMID: 21216929
- 79. Rosset et al. TSC1 and TSC2 gene mutations and their implications for treatment in Tuberous Sclerosis Complex: a review. Genet Mol Biol. 2017 Jan-Mar;40(1):69-79. PMID: 28222202
- 80. Henske et al. Tuberous sclerosis complex. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2016 May 26;2:16035. PMID: 27226234
- 81. Santiago et al. Identification of regions critical for the integrity of the TSC1-TSC2-TBC1D7 complex. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(4):e93940. PMID: 24714658
- 82. Pazarentzos et al. Oncogenic activation of the PI3-kinase p110β isoform via the tumor-derived PIK3Cβ(D1067V) kinase domain mutation. Oncogene. 2016 Mar 3;35(9):1198-205. PMID: 25982275
- 83. Crowder et al. PIK3CA and PIK3CB inhibition produce synthetic lethality when combined with estrogen deprivation in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2009 May 1;69(9):3955-62. PMID: 19366795
- 84. Nakanishi et al. Activating Mutations in PIK3CB Confer Resistance to PI3K Inhibition and Define a Novel Oncogenic Role for p110β. Cancer Res. 2016 Mar 1;76(5):1193-203. PMID: 26759240
- 85. Korenjak et al. E2F-Rb complexes regulating transcription of genes important for differentiation and development. Curr Opin Genet Dev . 2005 Oct;15(5):520-7. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2005.07.001. PMID: 16081278
- 86. Sachdeva et al. Understanding pRb: toward the necessary development of targeted treatments for retinoblastoma. J. Clin. Invest. 2012 Feb;122(2):425-34. PMID: 22293180
- 87. Dyson. RB1: a prototype tumor suppressor and an enigma. Genes Dev. 2016 Jul 1;30(13):1492-502. PMID: 27401552
- 88. Cobrinik. Pocket proteins and cell cycle control. Oncogene. 2005 Apr 18;24(17):2796-809. PMID: 15838516
- 89. Dommering et al. RB1 mutations and second primary malignancies after hereditary retinoblastoma. Fam. Cancer. 2012 Jun;11(2):225-33. PMID: 22205104
- 90. Anasua et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia as second primary tumor in a patient with retinoblastoma. . Oman J Ophthalmol . May-Aug 2016;9(2):116-8. PMID: 27433042
- 91. Tanaka et al. Frequent allelic loss of the RB, D13S319 and D13S25 locus in myeloid malignancies with deletion/translocation at 13q14 of chromosome 13, but not in lymphoid malignancies. Leukemia. 1999 Sep;13(9):1367-73. PMID: 10482987

Report Date: 07 Aug 2025 11 of 11

References (continued)

- 92. Gombos et al. Secondary acute myelogenous leukemia in patients with retinoblastoma: is chemotherapy a factor?. Ophthalmology. 2007 Jul;114(7):1378-83. PMID: 17613328
- 93. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
- 94. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
- 95. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
- 96. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
- 97. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
- 98. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
- 99. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:0P2100624. PMID: 34860573