

Tel. 1661-5117 www.smlab.co.kr



**Report Date:** 11 Jul 2025 1 of 17

Patient Name: 송인숙 Gender: F Sample ID: N25-87 Primary Tumor Site: colon
Collection Date: 2025.06.24

# Sample Cancer Type: Colon Cancer

Table of Contents	Page
Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	3
Alert Details	7
Relevant Therapy Summary	12

Report Highlights
3 Relevant Biomarkers
1 Therapies Available
30 Clinical Trials

# **Relevant Colon Cancer Findings**

Gene	Finding		Gene	Finding	
BRAF	None detected		NTRK2	None detected	
ERBB2	None detected		NTRK3	None detected	
KRAS	KRAS p.(G12L	)) c.35G>A	POLD1	None detected	
NRAS	None detected		POLE	None detected	
NTRK1	None detected		RET	None detected	
Genomic Alte	eration	Finding			
Microsatel	llite Status	Microsatellite stable			
Tumor Mu	tational Burden	5.68 Mut/Mb measured			

HRD Status: HR Proficient (HRD-)

### **Relevant Biomarkers**

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A  KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase Allele Frequency: 26.71% Locus: chr12:25398284 Transcript: NM_033360.4	bevacizumab + chemotherapy <sup> </sup>	None*	30
IIC	Microsatellite stable	None*	None*	1
IIC	TP53 p.(G245S) c.733G>A tumor protein p53 Allele Frequency: 16.29% Locus: chr17:7577548 Transcript: NM_000546.6	None*	None*	1

<sup>\*</sup> Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

<sup>\*</sup> Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

2 of 17 Report Date: 11 Jul 2025

# **Relevant Biomarkers (continued)**

🛕 Alerts informed by public data sources: 🧿 Contraindicated, 🄻 Resistance, 🗳 Breakthrough, 🗚 Fast Track

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

⊘ cetuximab 1, 2, cetuximab + chemotherapy 2, panitumumab 1, panitumumab + chemotherapy 2

Public data sources included in alerts: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

#### Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

APC p.(R1450\*) c.4348C>T, APC p.(S833Qfs\*11) c.2496\_2497insC, DNMT3A p.(R771\*) c.2311C>T, PARP1 p.(S507Rfs\*14) c.1519\_1528delAGCAAGGGCC, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, HLA-A deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

### **Variant Details**

DNA S	Sequence Variar	nts					
Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
KRAS	p.(G12D)	c.35G>A	COSM521	chr12:25398284	26.71%	NM_033360.4	missense
TP53	p.(G245S)	c.733G>A	COSM6932	chr17:7577548	16.29%	NM_000546.6	missense
APC	p.(R1450*)	c.4348C>T	COSM13127	chr5:112175639	19.95%	NM_000038.6	nonsense
APC	p.(S833Qfs*11)	c.2496_2497insC		chr5:112173784	18.64%	NM_000038.6	frameshift Insertion
DNMT3A	p.(R771*)	c.2311C>T	COSM231563	chr2:25463182	3.57%	NM_022552.5	nonsense
PARP1	p.(S507Rfs*14)	c.1519_1528delAGCAA GGGCC	A .	chr1:226567637	20.82%	NM_001618.4	frameshift Deletion
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	55.24%	NM_000463.3	missense
NQ01	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T		chr16:69745145	99.45%	NM_000903.3	missense
PGD	p.(A4G)	c.11C>G		chr1:10459688	50.68%	NM_002631.4	missense
EXO5	p.(V178I)	c.532G>A		chr1:40980748	50.03%	NM_022774.2	missense
PRRT3	p.(G216S)	c.646G>A		chr3:9991154	2.25%	NM_207351.5	missense
TET2	p.(C1263Y)	c.3788G>A		chr4:106164920	4.40%	NM_001127208.3	missense
FAT1	p.(L3250F)	c.9748C>T		chr4:187532645	12.10%	NM_005245.4	missense
KMT2C	p.(P860S)	c.2578C>T		chr7:151935866	28.60%	NM_170606.3	missense
POLE	p.(S1340R)	c.4020C>G		chr12:133225644	39.36%	NM_006231.4	missense
MGA	p.(R1757C)	c.5269C>T		chr15:42040891	3.26%	NM_001164273.1	missense
CTCF	p.(?)	c.1519-1G>A		chr16:67662272	21.83%	NM_006565.4	unknown

Copy Number Variations						
Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio			
HLA-A	chr6:29910229	0.68	0.61			

# **Biomarker Descriptions**

KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

KRAS proto-oncogene, GTPase

<u>Background:</u> The KRAS proto-oncogene encodes a GTPase that functions in signal transduction and is a member of the RAS superfamily which also includes NRAS and HRAS. RAS proteins mediate the transmission of growth signals from the cell surface to the nucleus via the PI3K/AKT/MTOR and RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathways, which regulate cell division, differentiation, and survival<sup>1,2,3</sup>.

<u>Alterations and prevalence</u>: Recurrent mutations in RAS oncogenes cause constitutive activation and are found in 20-30% of cancers. KRAS mutations are observed in up to 10-20% of uterine cancer, 30-35% of lung adenocarcinoma and colorectal cancer, and about 60% of pancreatic cancer<sup>4</sup>. The majority of KRAS mutations consist of point mutations occurring at G12, G13, and Q61<sup>4,5,6</sup>. Mutations at A59, K117, and A146 have also been observed but are less frequent<sup>7,8</sup>.

Potential relevance: The FDA has approved the small molecule inhibitors, sotorasib<sup>9</sup> (2021) and adagrasib<sup>10</sup> (2022), for the treatment of adult patients with KRAS G12C-mutated locally advanced or metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Sotorasib and adagrasib are also useful in certain circumstances for KRAS G12C-mutated pancreatic adenocarcinoma<sup>11</sup>. The FDA has also granted breakthrough therapy designation (2022) to the KRAS G12C inhibitor, GDC-6036<sup>12</sup>, for KRAS G12C-mutated non-small cell lung cancer. The SHP2 inhibitor, BBP-398<sup>13</sup> was granted fast track designation (2022) in combination with sotorasib for previously treated patients with KRAS G12C-mutated metastatic NSCLC. The RAF/MEK clamp, avutometinib<sup>14</sup> was also granted fast track designation (2024) in combination with sotorasib for KRAS G12C-mutated metastatic NSCLC who have received at least one prior systemic therapy and have not been previously treated with a KRAS G12C inhibitor. The KRAS G12C inhibitor, BBO-8520<sup>15</sup>, was granted fast track designation in 2025 for previously treated KRAS G12C-mutated patients with metastatic NSCLC. The KRAS G12C inhibitor, D3S-001<sup>16</sup>, was granted fast track designation in 2024 for KRAS G12C-mutated patients with advanced unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancers. The PLK1 inhibitor, onvansertib<sup>17</sup>, was granted fast track designation (2020) in combination with bevacizumab and FOLFIRI for second-line treatment of patients with KRAS-mutated metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). The EGFR antagonists, cetuximab<sup>18</sup> and panitumumab<sup>19</sup>, are contraindicated for treatment of colorectal cancer patients with KRAS mutations in exon 2 (codons 12 and 13), exon 3 (codons 59 and 61), and exon 4 (codons 117 and 146)<sup>8</sup>. Additionally, KRAS mutations are associated with poor prognosis in NSCLC<sup>20</sup>.

#### Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome<sup>68</sup>. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue<sup>69,70</sup>. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2<sup>71</sup>. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250<sup>72</sup>. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)<sup>72</sup>. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS<sup>73,74,75,76,77</sup>. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes<sup>70</sup>. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer <sup>69,70,74,78</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma<sup>69,70,79,80</sup>. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers<sup>79,80</sup>.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab<sup>81</sup> (2014) and nivolumab<sup>82</sup> (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab<sup>81</sup> is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication<sup>81</sup>. Dostarlimab<sup>83</sup> (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer<sup>75,84</sup>. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab<sup>85</sup> (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location<sup>75,86,87</sup>. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients<sup>87</sup>. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those

**Report Date:** 11 Jul 2025 4 of 17

# **Biomarker Descriptions (continued)**

with MSI-H tumors<sup>88,89</sup>. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers<sup>88,89</sup>.

#### TP53 p.(G245S) c.733G>A

tumor protein p53

<u>Background</u>: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair<sup>21</sup>. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis<sup>28</sup>. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential<sup>29</sup>. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers<sup>30,31</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)<sup>4,7,32,33,34,35</sup>. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282<sup>4,7</sup>. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes<sup>36,37,38,39</sup>. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers<sup>4,7</sup>. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)<sup>4,7</sup>. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)<sup>4,7</sup>.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586<sup>40</sup> (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt<sup>41</sup>, (2019) and breakthrough designation<sup>42</sup> (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation<sup>43,44</sup>. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma<sup>45</sup>. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)<sup>46,47,48,49,50,51</sup>. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant<sup>52</sup>. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system<sup>53</sup>.

#### APC p.(R1450\*) c.4348C>T, APC p.(S833Qfs\*11) c.2496\_2497insC

APC, WNT signaling pathway regulator

Background: The APC gene encodes the adenomatous polyposis coli tumor suppressor protein that plays a crucial role in regulating the  $\beta$ -catenin/WNT signaling pathway which is involved in cell migration, adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation<sup>100</sup>. APC is an antagonist of WNT signaling as it targets  $\beta$ -catenin for proteasomal degradation<sup>101,102</sup>. Germline mutations in APC are predominantly inactivating and result in an autosomal dominant predisposition for familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) which is characterized by numerous polyps in the intestine<sup>100,103</sup>. Acquiring a somatic mutation in APC is considered to be an early and possibly initiating event in colorectal cancer<sup>104</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in APC are observed in up to 65% of colorectal cancer, and in up to 15% of stomach adenocarcinoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma $^{4,7,105}$ . In colorectal cancer, ~60% of somatic APC mutations have been reported to occur in a mutation cluster region (MCR) resulting in C-terminal protein truncation and APC inactivation $^{106,107}$ .

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for APC aberrations.

#### DNMT3A p.(R771\*) c.2311C>T

DNA methyltransferase 3 alpha

Background: The DNMT3A gene encodes the DNA methyltransferase 3 alpha which functions as a de novo methyltransferase (DNMT) with equal methylation efficiency for unmethylated and hemimethylated DNA<sup>54</sup>. Methylation of DNA occurs at CpG islands, a region of DNA consisting of sequential cytosine/guanine dinucleotide pairs. CpG island methylation plays an important role in development

# **Biomarker Descriptions (continued)**

as well as stem cell regulation. Alterations to global DNA methylation patterns are dependent on DNMTs, which are associated with cancer initiation and progression<sup>55,56</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: DNMT3A mutations are observed in approximately 25% of all acute myeloid leukemia (AML) including 29-34% of AML with normal karyotype (NK-AML)<sup>4,46,57,58,59,60,61</sup>. Mutations in DNMT3A are also reported in 12-18% of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) as well as 4-6% of melanoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine cancer<sup>4,48</sup>. The majority of mutations in DNMT3A are missense however, frameshift, nonsense, and splice site mutations have also been reported<sup>4,57</sup>. Missense mutations at R882 are most prevalent and are observed to coexist with NPM1 and FLT3 mutations<sup>62,63</sup>. The R882 mutations occur at the dimer/tetramer interface within the catalytic domain, which leads to disruption of DNMT3A tetramerization and loss of CpG methylation<sup>64,65</sup>. However, DNMT3A mutations observed in AML at positions other than R882 also contribute to pathogenesis by mechanisms that do not involve methyltransferase activity<sup>66</sup>.

Potential relevance: DNMT3A mutations confer shorter overall survival (OS) in patients with AML including those with NK-AML<sup>57,60,61,63</sup>. DNMT3A mutations are a useful in the diagnosis of angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITCL) when trying to differentiate from other peripheral T-cell lymphomas (PTCL)<sup>67</sup>.

#### PARP1 p.(S507Rfs\*14) c.1519\_1528delAGCAAGGGCC

poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1

Background: The PARP1 gene encodes the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 protein<sup>21</sup>. PARP1 belongs to the large PARP protein family that also includes PARP2, PARP3, and PARP4<sup>90</sup>. PARP enzymes are responsible for the transfer of ADP-ribose, known as poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation or PARylation, to a variety of protein targets resulting in the recruitment of proteins involved in DNA repair, DNA synthesis, nucleic acid metabolism, and regulation of chromatin structure<sup>90,91</sup>. PARP enzymes are involved in several DNA repair pathways<sup>90,91</sup>. In base excision repair (BER), PARP1 recognizes DNA single-strand breaks and is capable of auto-PARylation (self-PARylation) which promotes the recruitment of additional BER enzymes<sup>91,92</sup>. PARP1 is also responsible for sensing DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and assists in end resection during homologous recombination repair (HRR) through the recruitment MRE11 to DSBs<sup>92</sup>. PARylation of histones H1, H2A, and H2B by PARP1 promotes an open chromatin conformation, which allows DNA repair machinery access to sites of DNA damage<sup>93</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in PARP1 are observed in 6% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 4% of skin cutaneous melanoma, and 3% of adrenocortical carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and colorectal adenocarcinoma<sup>4,7</sup>.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for PARP1 aberrations. However, PARP inhibition is known to induce synthetic lethality in certain cancer types that are HRR deficient (HRD) due to mutations in the HRR pathway. This is achieved from PARP inhibitors (PARPi) by promoting the accumulation of DNA damage in cells with HRD, consequently resulting in cell death<sup>94,95</sup>. Although not indicated for specific alterations in PARP1, several PARPis including olaparib, rucaparib, talazoparib, and niraparib have been approved in various cancer types with HRD. Olaparib<sup>96</sup> (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer and metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Additionally, olaparib<sup>96</sup> is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes BRCA1. Rucaparib<sup>97</sup> (2016) was the first PARPi approved for the treatment of patients with either gBRCAm or sBRCAm epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers and is also approved (2020) for deleterious gBRCAm or sBRCAm mCRPC. Talazoparib<sup>98</sup> (2018) is indicated for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers. Niraparib<sup>99</sup> (2017) is another PARPi approved for the treatment of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers with a deleterious or suspected deleterious BRCA mutation.

#### UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily<sup>21,108</sup>. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites<sup>108,109</sup>. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance<sup>110</sup>. Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation<sup>110,111,112,113</sup>. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1\*28,

**Report Date**: 11 Jul 2025 6 of 17

# **Biomarker Descriptions (continued)**

UGT1A1\*93, and UGT1A1\*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38<sup>114</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma<sup>4,7</sup>.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

#### **HLA-A** deletion

major histocompatibility complex, class I, A

Background: The HLA-A gene encodes the major histocompatibility complex, class I,  $A^{21}$ . MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I molecules are located on the cell surface of nucleated cells and present antigens from within the cell for recognition by cytotoxic T cells<sup>22</sup>. MHC class I molecules are heterodimers composed of two polypeptide chains, α and B2M<sup>23</sup>. The classical MHC class I genes include HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C and encode the α polypeptide chains, which present short polypeptide chains, of 7 to 11 amino acids, to the immune system to distinguish self from non-self<sup>24,25,26</sup>. Downregulation of MHC class I promotes tumor evasion of the immune system, suggesting a tumor suppressor role for HLA-A<sup>27</sup>.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in HLA-A are observed in 7% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 3% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma<sup>4,7</sup>. Biallelic loss of HLA-A is observed in 4% of DLBCL<sup>4,7</sup>.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for HLA-A aberrations.

Report Date: 11 Jul 2025 7 of 17

# **Alerts Informed By Public Data Sources**

#### **Current FDA Information**

Contraindicated

Not recommended



Resistance



Fast Track

FDA information is current as of 2025-04-16. For the most up-to-date information, search www.fda.gov.

### KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

cetuximab

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer

Label as of: 2021-09-24

Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

Indications and usage:

Erbitux® is an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist indicated for treatment of:

Head and Neck Cancer

- Locally or regionally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in combination with radiation therapy.
- Recurrent locoregional disease or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in combination with platinumbased therapy with fluorouracil.
- Recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck progressing after platinum-based therapy.

Colorectal Cancer

K-Ras wild-type, EGFR-expressing, metastatic colorectal cancer as determined by FDA-approved test

- in combination with FOLFIRI for first-line treatment,
- in combination with irinotecan in patients who are refractory to irinotecan-based chemotherapy,
- as a single agent in patients who have failed oxaliplatin- and irinotecan-based chemotherapy or who are intolerant to irinotecan.

Limitations of Use: Erbitux® is not indicated for treatment of Ras-mutant colorectal cancer or when the results of the Ras mutation tests are unknown.

BRAF V600E Mutation-Positive Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (CRC)

in combination with encorafenib, for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) with a BRAF V600E mutation, as detected by an FDA-approved test, after prior therapy.

#### Reference:

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2021/125084s279lbl.pdf

**Report Date**: 11 Jul 2025 8 of 17

# KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A (continued)

### panitumumab

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer Label as of: 2025-01-16 Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

#### Indications and usage:

VECTIBIX® is an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist indicated for the treatment of:

Adult patients with wild-type RAS (defined as wild-type in both KRAS and NRAS as determined by an FDA-approved test) Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (mCRC)\*:

- In combination with FOLFOX for first-line treatment.
- As monotherapy following disease progression after prior treatment with fluoropyrimidine, oxaliplatin, and irinotecancontaining chemotherapy.

KRAS G12C-mutated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (mCRC)\*

■ In combination with sotorasib, for the treatment of adult patients with KRAS G12C-mutated mCRC, as determined by an FDA-approved test, who have received prior treatment with fluoropyrimidine-, oxaliplatin-, and irinotecan-based chemotherapy.

\*Limitations of Use: VECTIBIX® is not indicated for the treatment of patients with RAS-mutant mCRC unless used in combination with sotorasib in KRAS G12C-mutated mCRC. VECTIBIX® is not indicated for the treatment of patients with mCRC for whom RAS mutation status is unknown.

#### Reference:

https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/125147s213lbl.pdf

#### **Current NCCN Information**

Ocontraindicated Not recommended Resistance

✓ Breakthrough

A Fast Track

NCCN information is current as of 2025-04-01. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org.

For NCCN International Adaptations & Translations, search www.nccn.org/global/what-we-do/international-adaptations.

Some variant specific evidence in this report may be associated with a broader set of alterations from the NCCN Guidelines. Specific variants listed in this report were sourced from approved therapies or scientific literature. These therapeutic options are appropriate for certain population segments with cancer. Refer to the NCCN Guidelines® for full recommendation.

All guidelines cited below are referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2023. All rights reserved. NCCN makes no warranties regarding their content.

# KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

#### cetuximab

Cancer type: Colon Cancer Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

#### Summary:

NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):

■ "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) or NRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 2.2025]

**Report Date**: 11 Jul 2025 9 of 17

# KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A (continued)

### panitumumab

Cancer type: Colon Cancer Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:

NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):

■ "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) or NRAS mutation (exon 2, 3, 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 2.2025]

#### cetuximab

Cancer type: Rectal Cancer Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:

NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):

■ "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) or NRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]

### panitumumab

Cancer type: Rectal Cancer Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

Summary:

NCCN Guidelines® include the following supporting statement(s):

■ "Patients with any known KRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) or NRAS mutation (exons 2, 3, and 4) should not be treated with either cetuximab or panitumumab, unless given as part of a regimen targeting a KRAS G12C mutation."

Reference: NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]

#### **Current EMA Information**

EMA information is current as of 2025-04-16. For the most up-to-date information, search www.ema.europa.eu.

### KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

#### cetuximab, cetuximab + oxaliplatin

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer Label as of: 2025-01-16 Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

Reference:

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/erbitux-epar-product-information\_en.pdf

### panitumumab + oxaliplatin

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer Label as of: 2022-07-06 Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

Reference:

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/vectibix-epar-product-information\_en.pdf

10 of 17 Report Date: 11 Jul 2025

#### **Current ESMO Information**

Contraindicated



Not recommended



Resistance



Breakthrough



ESMO information is current as of 2025-04-01. For the most up-to-date information, search www.esmo.org.

### KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A

# cetuximab

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

#### Summary:

ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines include the following supporting statement:

- "The presence of RAS mutations is associated with resistance to anti-EGFR mAbs and knowing the expanded RAS mutational status is mandatory for use of both cetuximab and panitumumab, avoiding anti-EGFR mAb treatment when a RAS mutation is
- "RAS testing is mandatory before treatment with anti-EGFR mAbs and can be carried out on either the primary tumor or other metastatic sites [III, A]".

Reference: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines - ESMO-Metastatic Colorectal Cancer [Ann Oncol (2023); https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.annonc.2022.10.003 (published)]

### panitumumab

Cancer type: Colorectal Cancer Variant class: KRAS G12 mutation

#### Summary:

ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines include the following supporting statement:

- "The presence of RAS mutations is associated with resistance to anti-EGFR mAbs and knowing the expanded RAS mutational status is mandatory for use of both cetuximab and panitumumab, avoiding anti-EGFR mAb treatment when a RAS mutation is
- "RAS testing is mandatory before treatment with anti-EGFR mAbs and can be carried out on either the primary tumor or other metastatic sites [III, A]".

Reference: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines - ESMO-Metastatic Colorectal Cancer [Ann Oncol (2023); https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.annonc.2022.10.003 (published)]

### **Genes Assayed**

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLC01B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XP01, ZNF217, ZNF429

**Report Date:** 11 Jul 2025 11 of 17

### **Genes Assayed (continued)**

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

### Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

### Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF11, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

# **Relevant Therapy Summary**

In this cancer type In other cancer type

× No evidence

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
bevacizumab + CAPOX	×	×	×	•	×
bevacizumab + FOLFIRI	×	×	×		×
bevacizumab + FOLFOX	×	×	×	•	×
bevacizumab + FOLFOXIRI	×	×	×		×
bevacizumab, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	<b>(III)</b>
fruquintinib, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	<b>(II)</b>
regorafenib	×	×	×	×	<b>(II)</b>
tunlametinib, vemurafenib	×	×	×	×	<b>(II)</b>
afatinib, selumetinib	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> 1/11)
anti-KRAS G12D mTCR	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> 1/11)
APR-1051	×	×	×	×	(I/II)
DN-022150	×	×	×	×	(I/II)
GDC-7035	×	×	×	×	(I/II)
GFH-375	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> 1/11)
HRS-4642, adebrelimab, SHR-9839, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	(I/II)
IMM-1-104	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b>  /  )
TSN-1611	×	×	×	×	(I/II)
YL-15293	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b>  /  )
ASP-4396	×	×	×	×	(I)
AST-NS2101	×	×	×	×	(I)
HMPL-415	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> I)
IX-001	×	×	×	×	(I)
JAB-3312	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> I)
KRAS peptide vaccine, poly-ICLC, nivolumab, ipilimumab	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> l)
KRAS TCR, aldesleukin, SLATE 001, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	(I)
KRAS-EphA-2-CAR-DC, anti-PD-1, ipilimumab	×	×	×	×	(I)
Nest-1	×	×	×	×	(I)
NW-301D	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> l)
PT-0253	×	×	×	×	(I)

<sup>\*</sup> Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

**Report Date:** 11 Jul 2025 13 of 17

# **Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)**

In this cancer type
In other cancer type
In this cancer type and other cancer types
X No evidence

### KRAS p.(G12D) c.35G>A (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
QLC-1101	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> l)
RMC-6236	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> l)
RMC-9805, RMC-6236	×	×	×	×	(I)

#### Microsatellite stable

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
KRAS peptide vaccine, poly-ICLC, nivolumab, ipilimumab	×	×	×	×	<b>(</b> I)

### TP53 p.(G245S) c.733G>A

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
APR-1051	×	×	×	×	(I/II)

<sup>\*</sup> Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

### **HRR Details**

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	0.0%
Not Detected	Not Applicable

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.05(007)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-04-16. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-04-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-04-16. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-04-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-04-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

14 of 17

Report Date: 11 Jul 2025

#### References

- 1. Pylayeva-Gupta et al. RAS oncogenes: weaving a tumorigenic web. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2011 Oct 13;11(11):761-74. PMID: 21993244
- 2. Karnoub et al. Ras oncogenes: split personalities. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2008 Jul;9(7):517-31. PMID: 18568040
- Scott et al. Therapeutic Approaches to RAS Mutation. Cancer J. 2016 May-Jun;22(3):165-74. doi: 10.1097/ PP0.0000000000187. PMID: 27341593
- 4. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
- 5. Román et al. KRAS oncogene in non-small cell lung cancer: clinical perspectives on the treatment of an old target. Mol Cancer. 2018 Feb 19;17(1):33. doi: 10.1186/s12943-018-0789-x. PMID: 29455666
- Dinu et al. Prognostic significance of KRAS gene mutations in colorectal cancer--preliminary study. J Med Life. 2014 Oct-Dec;7(4):581-7. PMID: 25713627
- 7. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
- 8. Allegra et al. Extended RAS Gene Mutation Testing in Metastatic Colorectal Carcinoma to Predict Response to Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Monoclonal Antibody Therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Provisional Clinical Opinion Update 2015. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016 Jan 10;34(2):179-85. PMID: 26438111
- https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/2146650rig1s009correctedlbl.pdf
- 10. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2024/216340s005lbl.pdf
- 11. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma [Version 2.2025]
- 12. https://assets.cwp.roche.com/f/126832/x/5738a7538b/irp230202.pdf
- 13. https://bridgebio.com/news/bridgebio-pharma-announces-first-lung-cancer-patient-dosed-in-phase-1-2-trial-and-us-fda-fast-track-designation-for-shp2-inhibitor-bbp-398-in-combination-with-amgens-lumakras-sotorasib/
- 14. https://investor.verastem.com/news-releases/news-release-details/verastem-oncology-granted-fast-track-designation-combination
- 15. https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20250109170439/en/
- 16. https://www.d3bio.com/press-releases/d3-bios-d3s-001-receives-u-s-fda-fast-track-designation-for-the-treatment-of-colorectal-cancer-with-kras-g12c-mutation
- 17. https://cardiffoncology.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Cardiff\_Oncology\_Investor\_Presentation-\_July\_2021.pdf
- 18. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2021/125084s279lbl.pdf
- 19. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/125147s213lbl.pdf
- 20. Slebos et al. K-ras oncogene activation as a prognostic marker in adenocarcinoma of the lung. N. Engl. J. Med. 1990 Aug 30;323(9):561-5. PMID: 2199829
- 21. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
- 22. Hulpke et al. The MHC I loading complex: a multitasking machinery in adaptive immunity. Trends Biochem Sci. PMID: 23849087
- 23. Adams et al. The adaptable major histocompatibility complex (MHC) fold: structure and function of nonclassical and MHC class l-like molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:529-61. PMID: 23298204
- 24. Rossjohn et al. T cell antigen receptor recognition of antigen-presenting molecules. Annu Rev Immunol. 2015;33:169-200. PMID: 25493333
- 25. Parham. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. Nat Rev Immunol. 2005 Mar;5(3):201-14. PMID: 15719024
- 26. Sidney et al. HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. BMC Immunol. 2008 Jan 22;9:1. PMID: 18211710
- 27. Cornel et al. MHC Class I Downregulation in Cancer: Underlying Mechanisms and Potential Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2020 Jul 2;12(7). PMID: 32630675
- 28. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
- 29. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
- 30. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
- 31. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529

# **References (continued)**

- 32. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
- 33. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
- 34. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
- 35. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
- 36. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
- 37. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
- 38. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
- 39. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
- 40. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
- 41. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
- 42. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
- 43. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
- 44. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
- 45. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
- 46. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
- 47. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
- 48. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
- 49. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
- 50. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 2.2025]
- 51. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
- 52. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
- 53. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
- 54. Okano et al. Cloning and characterization of a family of novel mammalian DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferases. Nat Genet. 1998 Jul;19(3):219-20. PMID: 9662389
- 55. Fernandez et al. A DNA methylation fingerprint of 1628 human samples. Genome Res. 2012 Feb;22(2):407-19. PMID: 21613409
- 56. Jones et al. The epigenomics of cancer. Cell. 2007 Feb 23;128(4):683-92. PMID: 17320506
- 57. Ley et al. DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010 Dec 16;363(25):2424-33. PMID: 21067377
- 58. Marková et al. Prognostic impact of DNMT3A mutations in patients with intermediate cytogenetic risk profile acute myeloid leukemia. Eur. J. Haematol. 2012 Feb;88(2):128-35. PMID: 21967546
- 59. Yang et al. DNMT3A in haematological malignancies. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2015 Mar;15(3):152-65. PMID: 25693834
- 60. Renneville et al. Prognostic significance of DNA methyltransferase 3A mutations in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia: a study by the Acute Leukemia French Association. Leukemia. 2012 Jun;26(6):1247-54. PMID: 22289988
- 61. Marcucci et al. Age-related prognostic impact of different types of DNMT3A mutations in adults with primary cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. 2012 Mar 1;30(7):742-50. PMID: 22291079

16 of 17

Report Date: 11 Jul 2025

# **References (continued)**

- 62. Kumar et al. DNMT3A (R882) mutation features and prognostic effect in acute myeloid leukemia in Coexistent with NPM1 and FLT3 mutations. Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther. 2018 Jun;11(2):82-89. PMID: 29079128
- 63. Thol et al. Incidence and prognostic influence of DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. 2011 Jul 20;29(21):2889-96. PMID: 21670448
- 64. Sandoval et al. Mutations in the DNMT3A DNA methyltransferase in acute myeloid leukemia patients cause both loss and gain of function and differential regulation by protein partners. J. Biol. Chem. 2019 Mar 29;294(13):4898-4910. PMID: 30705090
- 65. Holz-Schietinger et al. Mutations in DNA methyltransferase (DNMT3A) observed in acute myeloid leukemia patients disrupt processive methylation. J. Biol. Chem. 2012 Sep 7;287(37):30941-51. PMID: 22722925
- 66. Russler-Germain et al. The R882H DNMT3A mutation associated with AML dominantly inhibits wild-type DNMT3A by blocking its ability to form active tetramers. Cancer Cell. 2014 Apr 14;25(4):442-54. PMID: 24656771
- 67. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-T-Cell Lymphomas [Version 1.2025]
- 68. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
- 69. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
- 70. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
- 71. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
- 72. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
- 73. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
- 74. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
- 75. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 76. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
- 77. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
- 78. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
- 79. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
- 80. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
- 81. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
- 82. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2024/125554s127lbl.pdf
- 83. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
- 84. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 85. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2025/125377s132lbl.pdf
- 86. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
- 87. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
- 88. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
- 89. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
- 90. Amé et al. The PARP superfamily. Bioessays. 2004 Aug;26(8):882-93. PMID: 15273990
- 91. Morales et al. Review of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) mechanisms of action and rationale for targeting in cancer and other diseases. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr. 2014;24(1):15-28. PMID: 24579667

**Report Date:** 11 Jul 2025 17 of 17

# **References (continued)**

- 92. Ray et al. The multifaceted roles of PARP1 in DNA repair and chromatin remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017 Oct;18(10):610-621. PMID: 28676700
- 93. Schreiber et al. Poly(ADP-ribose): novel functions for an old molecule. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006 Jul;7(7):517-28. PMID: 16829982
- 94. Pilié et al. PARP Inhibitors: Extending Benefit Beyond BRCA-Mutant Cancers. Clin Cancer Res. 2019 Jul 1;25(13):3759-3771. PMID: 30760478
- 95. Lord et al. PARP inhibitors: Synthetic lethality in the clinic. Science. 2017 Mar 17;355(6330):1152-1158. PMID: 28302823
- 96. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2023/208558s028lbl.pdf
- 97. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf
- 98. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2024/217439s000lbl.pdf
- 99. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2023/214876s000lbl.pdf
- 100. Wang et al. Loss of Tumor Suppressor Gene Function in Human Cancer: An Overview. Cell. Physiol. Biochem. 2018;51(6):2647-2693. PMID: 30562755
- 101. Stamos et al. The β-catenin destruction complex. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2013 Jan 1;5(1):a007898. PMID: 23169527
- 102. Minde et al. Messing up disorder: how do missense mutations in the tumor suppressor protein APC lead to cancer?. Mol Cancer. 2011 Aug 22;10:101. doi: 10.1186/1476-4598-10-101. PMID: 21859464
- 103. Aoki et al. Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC): a multi-functional tumor suppressor gene. J. Cell. Sci. 2007 Oct 1;120(Pt 19):3327-35. PMID: 17881494
- 104. Miyoshi et al. Somatic mutations of the APC gene in colorectal tumors: mutation cluster region in the APC gene. Hum. Mol. Genet. 1992 Jul;1(4):229-33. PMID: 1338904
- 105. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of gastric adenocarcinoma. Nature. 2014 Sep 11;513(7517):202-9. doi: 10.1038/nature13480. Epub 2014 Jul 23. PMID: 25079317
- 106. Rowan et al. APC mutations in sporadic colorectal tumors: A mutational "hotspot" and interdependence of the "two hits". Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2000 Mar 28;97(7):3352-7. PMID: 10737795
- 107. Laurent-Puig et al. APC gene: database of germline and somatic mutations in human tumors and cell lines. Nucleic Acids Res. 1998 Jan 1;26(1):269-70. PMID: 9399850
- 108. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
- 109. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
- 110. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
- 111. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
- 112. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
- 113. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
- 114. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:0P2100624. PMID: 34860573