





Report Date: 10 Jul 2025 1 of 11

Patient Name: 장옥남 Gender: F Sample ID: N25-78 Primary Tumor Site: Lung
Collection Date: 20250613

Sample Cancer Type: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page
Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	2
Relevant Therapy Summary	7

Report Highlights 3 Relevant Biomarkers 0 Therapies Available 4 Clinical Trials

Relevant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding		Gene	Finding
ALK	None detected		MET	None detected
BRAF	None detected		NRG1	None detected
EGFR	None detected		NTRK1	None detected
ERBB2	None detected		NTRK2	None detected
FGFR1	None detected		NTRK3	None detected
FGFR2	None detected		RET	None detected
FGFR3	None detected		ROS1	None detected
KRAS	None detected			
Genomic Alt	eration	Finding		
Tumor Mu	ıtational Burden	11.35 Mut/Mb measured		

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	CDKN2A deletion cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A Locus: chr9:21968178	None*	None*	3
IIC	ARID1A p.(S593Ffs*30) c.1777_1778insT AT-rich interaction domain 1A Allele Frequency: 26.44% Locus: chr1:27058067 Transcript: NM_006015.6	None*	None*	1
IIC	CDKN2B deletion cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B Locus: chr9:22005728	None*	None*	1

^{*} Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

^{*} Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

FAT1 p.(E4130*) c.12388G>T, Microsatellite stable, RAD54L deletion, TP53 p.(Q192*) c.574C>T, NQ01 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA S	equence Variar	nts					
Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
ARID1A	p.(S593Ffs*30)	c.1777_1778insT		chr1:27058067	26.44%	NM_006015.6	frameshift Insertion
FAT1	p.(E4130*)	c.12388G>T		chr4:187518306	29.69%	NM_005245.4	nonsense
TP53	p.(Q192*)	c.574C>T	COSM10733	chr17:7578275	29.62%	NM_000546.6	nonsense
NQ01	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T		chr16:69745145	46.85%	NM_000903.3	missense
DNMT3A	p.(K589N)	c.1767G>T		chr2:25467108	20.82%	NM_022552.5	missense
NFE2L2	p.(V32_S33insP)	c.95_96insTCC		chr2:178098949	22.92%	NM_006164.5	nonframeshift Insertion
SETD2	p.(R1496Q)	c.4487G>A		chr3:47158212	24.53%	NM_014159.7	missense
ARHGEF38	p.(Q482H)	c.1446G>T		chr4:106580423	24.27%	NM_001242729.2	missense
C6	p.(K54N)	c.162G>T		chr5:41201798	25.75%	NM_000065.5	missense
TRAPPC9	p.(R75C)	c.223C>T		chr8:141461250	51.25%	NM_031466.8	missense
SUFU	p.(E376D)	c.1128G>T		chr10:104375130	23.70%	NM_016169.4	missense
OR5F1	p.(H56Q)	c.168C>A		chr11:55761934	22.70%	NM_003697.1	missense
AMER1	p.(S789R)	c.2367C>A		chrX:63410800	19.26%	NM_152424.4	missense
PHF6	p.(C28F)	c.83G>T		chrX:133511730	13.71%	NM_032458.3	missense

Copy Number Variations					
Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio		
CDKN2A	chr9:21968178	0	0.55		
CDKN2B	chr9:22005728	0.07	0.6		
RAD54L	chr1:46714017	1	0.82		
CUL4A	chr13:113863977	9.1	2.46		

Biomarker Descriptions

CDKN2A deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A

Background: CDKN2A encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression¹¹. CDKN2A, also known as p16/INK4A, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2B (p15/INK4B), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)⁶⁷. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb^{68,69,70}. CDKN2A encodes two alternative transcript variants, namely p16 and p14ARF, both of which exhibit differential tumor suppressor functions⁷¹. Specifically, the CDKN2A/p16 transcript inhibits cell cycle kinases CDK4 and

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

CDK6, whereas the CDKN2A/p14ARF transcript stabilizes the tumor suppressor protein p53 to prevent its degradation 11,71,72. CDKN2A aberrations commonly co-occur with CDKN2B⁶⁷. Loss of CDKN2A/p16 results in downstream inactivation of the Rb and p53 pathways, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation 3. Germline mutations of CDKN2A are known to confer a predisposition to melanoma and pancreatic cancer 4,75.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic alterations in CDKN2A often result in loss of function (LOF) which is attributed to copy number loss, truncating, or missense mutations⁷⁶. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in 20% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 15% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 13% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 7% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 4% of lung adenocarcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 56% of glioblastoma multiforme, 45% of mesothelioma, 39% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 32% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 31% of skin cutaneous melanoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 28% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 27% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 17% of lung adenocarcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and of brain lower grade glioma, 7% of adrenocortical carcinoma, 6% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of breast invasive carcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma and thymoma, 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe^{7,8}. Alterations in CDKN2A are also observed in pediatric cancers⁸. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 68% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 40% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of glioma, 19% of bone cancer, and 6% of embryonal tumors⁸. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in less that 1.5% of bone cancer (5 in 327 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 252 cases), and leukemia (1 in 354 cases)⁸.

Potential relevance: Loss of CDKN2A can be useful in the diagnosis of mesothelioma, and mutations in CDKN2A are ancillary diagnostic markers of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors^{77,78,79}. Additionally, deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging Grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma⁸⁰. Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2A aberrations. However, CDKN2A LOF leading to CDK4/6 activation may confer sensitivity to CDK inhibitors such as palbociclib and abemaciclib^{81,82,83}. Alternatively, CDKN2A expression and Rb inactivation demonstrate resistance to palbociclib in cases of glioblastoma multiforme⁸⁴. CDKN2A (p16) expression is associated with a favorable prognosis for progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in p16/HPV positive head and neck cancer^{85,86,87,88}.

ARID1A p.(S593Ffs*30) c.1777_1778insT

AT-rich interaction domain 1A

Background: The ARID1A gene encodes the AT-rich interaction domain 1A tumor suppressor protein¹¹. ARID1A, also known as BAF250A, belongs to the ARID1 subfamily that also includes AR1D1B^{11,61}. ARID1A and ARID1B are mutually exclusive subunits of the BAF variant of the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex^{61,62}. The BAF complex is a multisubunit protein that consists of SMARCB1/IN1, SMARCC1/BAF155, SMARCC2/BAF170, SMARCA4/BRG1 or SMARCA2/BRM, and ARID1A or ARID1B⁶². The BAF complex remodels chromatin at promoter and enhancer elements to alter and regulate gene expression^{62,63}. ARID1A binds to transcription factors and coactivator/corepressor complexes to alter transcription⁶¹. Recurrent inactivating mutations in BAF complex subunits, including ARID1A, lead to transcriptional dysfunction thereby, altering its tumor suppressor function⁶¹.

Alterations and prevalence: Mutations in SWI/SNF complex subunits are the most commonly mutated chromatin modulators in cancer and have been observed in 20% of all tumors⁶³. The majority of ARID1A inactivating mutations are nonsense or frameshift mutations⁶¹. Somatic mutations in ARID1A have been identified in 50% of ovarian clear cell carcinoma, 30% of endometrioid carcinoma, and 24-43% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, and stomach adenocarcinoma^{7,8,62}. In microsatellite stable (MSS) colorectal cancer, mutations in ARID1A have been observed to correlate with increased tumor mutational burden (TMB) and expression of genes involved in the immune response⁶⁴.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for ARID1A aberrations. However, the FDA has granted fast track designation (2022) to HSF1 pathway inhibitor, NXP-800⁶⁵, for the treatment of platinum resistant ARID1A-mutated ovarian carcinoma. Tulmimetostat⁶⁶, dual inhibitor of EZH2 and EZH1, was also granted a fast track designation (2023) for the treatment of patients with advanced, recurrent or metastatic endometrial cancer harboring ARID1A mutations and who have progressed on at least one prior line of treatment.

CDKN2B deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B

<u>Background</u>: CDKN2B encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression^{11,67}. CDKN2B, also known as p15/INK4B, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2A (p16/INK4A), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)⁶⁷. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6,

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb^{68,69,70}. CDKN2B is a tumor suppressor and aberrations in this gene commonly co-occur with CDKN2A⁶⁷. Germline mutations in CDKN2B are linked to pancreatic cancer predisposition and familial renal cell carcinoma^{11,89,90}.

Alterations and prevalence: CDKN2B copy number loss is a frequently occurring somatic aberration that is observed in 55% of glioblastoma multiforme, 43% of mesothelioma, 35% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 31% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 29% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 28% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 27% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 25% of diffuse large B -cell lymphoma, 16% of lung adenocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 14% of cholangiocarcinoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and brain lower grade glioma, 5% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, thymoma, and kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, 3% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe^{7,8}. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are observed in 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma^{7,8}. CDKN2B copy number loss is also observed in pediatric cancers, including 64% of childhood T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 37% of pediatric B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of pediatric gliomas, 14% of pediatric bone cancers, 6% of embryonal tumors, and 2% of peripheral nervous system cancers^{7,8}. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are observed in less than 1% of bone cancer (1 in 327 cases)^{7,8}.

<u>Potential relevance</u>: Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2B aberrations. Homozygous deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma⁸⁰.

FAT1 p.(E4130*) c.12388G>T

FAT atypical cadherin 1

Background: FAT1 encodes the FAT atypical cadherin 1 protein, a member of the cadherin superfamily characterized by the presence of cadherin-type repeats^{11,38}. FAT cadherins, which also include FAT2, FAT3, and FAT4, are transmembrane proteins containing a cytoplasmic domain and a number of extracellular laminin G-like motifs and EGF-like motifs, which contributes to their individual functions³⁸. The cytoplasmic tail of FAT1 is known to interact with a number of protein targets involved in cell adhesion, proliferation, migration, and invasion³⁸. FAT1 has been observed to influence the regulation of several oncogenic pathways, including the WNT/β-catenin, Hippo, and MAPK/ERK signaling pathways, as well as epithelial to mesenchymal transition³⁸. Alterations of FAT1 lead to down-regulation or loss of function, supporting a tumor suppressor role for FAT1³⁸.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in FAT1 are predominantly truncating although, the R1627Q mutation has been identified as a recurrent hotspot^{7,8}. Mutations in FAT1 are observed in 22% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 20% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 14% of lung squamous cell carcinoma and skin cutaneous melanoma, and 12% diffuse large b-cell lymphoma and bladder urothelial carcinoma^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of FAT1 is observed in 7% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 6% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 5% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and 4% of diffuse large b-cell lymphoma, stomach adenocarcinoma and uterine carcinosarcoma^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for FAT1 aberrations.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome³⁹. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{40,41}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2⁴². Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250⁴³. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)⁴³. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{44,45,46,47,48}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes⁴¹. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{40,41,45,49}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{40,41,50,51}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{50,51}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab⁵² (2014) and nivolumab⁵³ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab⁵² is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

approved with a tumor agnostic indication⁵². Dostarlimab⁵⁴ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{46,55}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab⁵⁶ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{46,57,58}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁵⁸. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{59,60}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{59,60}.

RAD54L deletion

RAD54 like (S. cerevisiae)

Background: The RAD54L gene encodes the RAD54-like protein and is a member of the Snf2 family of Superfamily 2 (SF2) helicase-like proteins, which also includes its homolog RAD54B¹. The Snf2 family are a group of DNA translocases that use ATP-hydrolysis to remodel chromatin structure and therefore regulate genome integrity by controlling transcriptional regulation, chromosome stability, and DNA repair¹.2.3. Structurally, these proteins contain a common Snf2 domain that consists of two RecA-like folds with seven conserved sequence motifs for identifying helicases¹.4. RAD54L specifically appears to stabilize the association of RAD51 DNA strand exchange activity and binds Holliday junctions to promote branch migration during homologous recombination⁵. RAD54L is a tumor suppressor gene and loss of function mutations in RAD54L are implicated in the BRCAness phenotype, which is characterized by a defect in homologous recombination repair (HRR) mimicking BRCA1 or BRCA2 loss⁶.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RAD54L are observed in up to 5% of uterine cancer^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib⁹ is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes RAD54L. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex¹⁰, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

TP53 p.(Q192*) c.574C>T

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair¹¹. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis¹². Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential¹³. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{14,15}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{7,8,16,17,18,19}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{7,8}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{20,21,22,23}. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{7,8}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases)^{7,8}. Biallelic loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases)^{7,8}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586²⁴ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt²⁵, (2019) and breakthrough designation²⁶ (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{27,28}. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma²⁹. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{30,31,32,33,34,35}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant³⁶. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system³⁷.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CG, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSP02, RSP03, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF11, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1,

7 of 11

Report Date: 10 Jul 2025

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage (continued)

JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

■ In this cancer type
O In other cancer type
O In this cancer type and other cancer types
X No evidence

CDKNZA deletion					
Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib	×	×	×	×	(II)
palbociclib, abemaciclib	×	×	×	×	(II)
AMG 193	×	×	×	×	(I/II)

ARID1A p.(S593Ffs*30) c.1777_1778insT

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
talazoparib	×	×	×	×	(II)

CDKN2B deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib, abemaciclib	×	×	×	×	(II)

^{*} Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	30.14%
RAD54L	CNV, CN:1.0
RAD54L	LOH, 1p34.1(46714017-46743978)x1

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.05(007)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-04-16. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-04-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-04-16. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-04-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-04-01. For the most upto-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

- 1. Heyer et al. Rad54: the Swiss Army knife of homologous recombination?. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34(15):4115-25. PMID: 16935872
- 2. Ryan et al. Snf2-family proteins: chromatin remodellers for any occasion. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2011 Oct;15(5):649-56. PMID: 21862382
- 3. Matsuda et al. Mutations in the RAD54 recombination gene in primary cancers. Oncogene. 1999 Jun 3;18(22):3427-30. PMID: 10362365
- 4. Bugreev et al. Rad54 protein promotes branch migration of Holliday junctions. Nature. 2006 Aug 3;442(7102):590-3. PMID: 16862129
- 5. Mason et al. RAD54 family translocases counter genotoxic effects of RAD51 in human tumor cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 Mar 31;43(6):3180-96. PMID: 25765654
- 6. Lim et al. Evaluation of the methods to identify patients who may benefit from PARP inhibitor use. Endocr. Relat. Cancer. 2016 Jun;23(6):R267-85. PMID: 27226207
- 7. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
- 8. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
- https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/208558s028lbl.pdf
- 10. https://www.senhwabio.com//en/news/20220125
- 11. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
- 12. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
- 13. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
- 14. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
- 15. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
- 16. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
- 17. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
- 18. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
- 19. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
- 20. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
- 21. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
- 22. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
- 23. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
- 24. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
- 25. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
- 26. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
- 27. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
- 28. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165

Report Date: 10 Jul 2025 10 of 11

References (continued)

- 29. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
- 30. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
- 31. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
- 32. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
- 33. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
- 34. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 2.2025]
- 35. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
- 36. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
- 37. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
- 38. Peng et al. Role of FAT1 in health and disease. Oncol Lett. 2021 May;21(5):398. PMID: 33777221
- 39. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
- 40. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
- 41. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
- 42. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
- 43. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
- 44. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
- 45. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
- 46. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 47. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
- 48. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
- 49. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
- 50. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
- 51. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
- 52. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
- 53. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/125554s127lbl.pdf
- 54. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
- 55. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 56. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s132lbl.pdf
- 57. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
- 58. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
- 59. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
- 60. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031

11 of 11

Report Date: 10 Jul 2025

References (continued)

- 61. Wu et al. ARID1A mutations in cancer: another epigenetic tumor suppressor?. Cancer Discov. 2013 Jan;3(1):35-43. PMID: 23208470
- 62. Wilson et al. SWI/SNF nucleosome remodellers and cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2011 Jun 9;11(7):481-92. PMID: 21654818
- 63. Alver et al. The SWI/SNF Chromatin Remodelling Complex Is Required for Maintenance of Lineage Specific Enhancers. Nat Commun. 8;14648. PMID: 28262751
- 64. Mehrvarz et al. ARID1A Mutation May Define an Immunologically Active Subgroup in Patients with Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2021 Mar 15;27(6):1663-1670. PMID: 33414133
- 65. https://nuvectis.com/press-release-view/?i=114174
- 66. https://www.morphosys.com/en/news/morphosys-receives-us-fda-fast-track-designation-tulmimetostat-endometrial-cancer
- 67. Xia et al. Dominant role of CDKN2B/p15INK4B of 9p21.3 tumor suppressor hub in inhibition of cell-cycle and glycolysis. Nat Commun. 2021 Apr 6;12(1):2047. PMID: 33824349
- 68. Scruggs et al. Loss of CDKN2B Promotes Fibrosis via Increased Fibroblast Differentiation Rather Than Proliferation. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2018 Aug;59(2):200-214. PMID: 29420051
- 69. Roussel. The INK4 family of cell cycle inhibitors in cancer. Oncogene. 1999 Sep 20;18(38):5311-7. PMID: 10498883
- 70. Aytac et al. Rb independent inhibition of cell growth by p15(INK4B). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1999 Aug 27;262(2):534-8. PMID: 10462509
- 71. Hill et al. The genetics of melanoma: recent advances. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2013;14:257-79. PMID: 23875803
- 72. Kim et al. The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging. Cell. 2006 Oct 20;127(2):265-75. PMID: 17055429
- 73. Sekulic et al. Malignant melanoma in the 21st century: the emerging molecular landscape. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2008 Jul;83(7):825-46. PMID: 18613999
- 74. Orlow et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in individuals with cutaneous malignant melanoma. J. Invest. Dermatol. 2007 May;127(5):1234-43. PMID: 17218939
- 75. Bartsch et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in familial pancreatic cancer. Ann. Surg. 2002 Dec;236(6):730-7. PMID: 12454511
- 76. Adib et al. CDKN2A Alterations and Response to Immunotherapy in Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2021 Jul 15;27(14):4025-4035. PMID: 34074656
- 77. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Mesothelioma: Peritoneal [Version 2.2025]
- 78. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Mesothelioma: Pleural [Version 2.2025]
- 79. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 5.2024]
- 80. Louis et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 6: new entity and diagnostic principle recommendations of the cIMPACT-Utrecht meeting on future CNS tumor classification and grading. Brain Pathol. 2020 Jul;30(4):844-856. PMID: 32307792
- 81. Longwen et al. Frequent genetic aberrations in the cell cycle related genes in mucosal melanoma indicate the potential for targeted therapy. J Transl Med. 2019 Jul 29;17(1):245. PMID: 31358010
- 82. Logan et al. PD-0332991, a potent and selective inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6, demonstrates inhibition of proliferation in renal cell carcinoma at nanomolar concentrations and molecular markers predict for sensitivity. Anticancer Res. 2013 Aug;33(8):2997-3004. PMID: 23898052
- 83. von et al. Preclinical Characterization of Novel Chordoma Cell Systems and Their Targeting by Pharmocological Inhibitors of the CDK4/6 Cell-Cycle Pathway. Cancer Res. 2015 Sep 15;75(18):3823-31. PMID: 26183925
- 84. Cen et al. p16-Cdk4-Rb axis controls sensitivity to a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor PD0332991 in glioblastoma xenograft cells. Neuro-oncology. 2012 Jul;14(7):870-81. PMID: 22711607
- 85. Vitzthum et al. The role of p16 as a biomarker in nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer. Oncotarget. 2018 Sep 7;9(70):33247-33248. PMID: 30279955
- 86. Chung et al. p16 protein expression and human papillomavirus status as prognostic biomarkers of nonoropharyngeal head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2014 Dec 10;32(35):3930-8. PMID: 25267748
- 87. Bryant et al. Prognostic Role of p16 in Nonoropharyngeal Head and Neck Cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2018 Dec 1;110(12):1393-1399. PMID: 29878161
- 88. Stephen et al. Significance of p16 in Site-specific HPV Positive and HPV Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Clin Oncol. 2013;2(1):51-61. PMID: 23935769
- 89. Jafri et al. Germline Mutations in the CDKN2B Tumor Suppressor Gene Predispose to Renal Cell Carcinoma. . Cancer Discov.2015 Jul;5(7):723-9. PMID: 25873077
- 90. Tu et al. CDKN2B deletion is essential for pancreatic cancer development instead of unmeaningful co-deletion due to juxtaposition to CDKN2A. Oncogene. 2018 Jan 4;37(1):128-138. PMID: 28892048