

Tel. 1661-5117 www.smlab.co.kr



Report Date: 03 Jul 2025 1 of 14

Patient Name: 임국열 Primary Tumor Site: Lung Gender: M Collection Date: 2025.06.12 Sample ID: N25-70

Sample Cancer Type: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Table of Contents	Page
Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	3
Alert Details	7
Relevant Therapy Summary	8

Report Highlights 4 Relevant Biomarkers 6 Therapies Available 24 Clinical Trials

Relevant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding		Gene	Finding	
ALK	None detected		MET	None detected	
BRAF	None detected		NRG1	None detected	
EGFR	None detected		NTRK1	None detected	
ERBB2	None detected		NTRK2	None detected	
FGFR1	None detected		NTRK3	None detected	
FGFR2	None detected		RET	KIF5B::RET fusion	
FGFR3	None detected		ROS1	None detected	
KRAS	None detected				
Genomic Alte	eration	Finding			
Tumor Mu	itational Burden	5.67 Mut/Mb measured			

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	KIF5B::RET fusion kinesin family member 5B - ret proto-oncogene Locus: chr10:32317356 - chr10:43612032	pralsetinib 1/1 selpercatinib 1,2/1 cabozantinib II+	pralsetinib 1 / I, II+ selpercatinib 1, 2 / I, II+	19
IIC	BRCA2 deletion BRCA2, DNA repair associated Locus: chr13:32890491	None*	niraparib ⁺ olaparib ⁺ rucaparib ⁺	2
IIC	CDKN2A deletion cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A Locus: chr9:21968178	None*	None*	3

^{*} Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

^{*} Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Report Date: 03 Jul 2025 2 of 14

Relevant Biomarkers (continued)

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	CDKN2B deletion	None*	None*	1
	cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B Locus: chr9:22005728			

^{*} Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists. J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.



🔼 Alerts informed by public data sources: 🤣 Contraindicated, 🛡 Resistance, 🗳 Breakthrough, 🗚 Fast Track

KIF5B::RET fusion

A A-400 1

Public data sources included in alerts: FDA1, NCCN, EMA2, ESMO

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

Microsatellite stable, TP53 p.(R181H) c.542G>A, UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A, NQ01 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants Allele

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
TP53	p.(R181H)	c.542G>A		chr17:7578388	20.99%	NM_000546.6	missense
UGT1A1	p.(G71R)	c.211G>A	COSM4415616	chr2:234669144	55.12%	NM_000463.3	missense
NQ01	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T		chr16:69745145	99.70%	NM_000903.3	missense
SPEN	p.(T2771A)	c.8311A>G		chr1:16261046	53.63%	NM_015001.3	missense
SETD2	p.(R1335C)	c.4003C>T		chr3:47162123	38.17%	NM_014159.7	missense
PSMB9	p.(W123C)	c.369G>T		chr6:32825890	9.20%	NM_002800.5	missense
CDK6	p.(D10E)	c.30C>G		chr7:92462608	5.48%	NM_001145306.2	missense
KEAP1	p.(T142M)	c.425C>T		chr19:10610285	25.41%	NM_203500.2	missense
ZNF682	p.(R473Sfs*11)	c.1415_1416delAG		chr19:20116894	58.95%	NM_033196.3	frameshift Deletion

Gene Fusions		
Genes	Variant ID	Locus
KIF5B::RET	KIF5B-RET.K15R12.COSF1232.1	chr10:32317356 - chr10:43612032

Copy Number Variations						
Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio			
BRCA2	chr13:32890491	1	0.82			
CDKN2A	chr9:21968178	0.85	0.65			
CDKN2B	chr9:22005728	0.87	0.66			

^{*} Public data sources included in prognostic and diagnostic significance: NCCN, ESMO

Biomarker Descriptions

KIF5B::RET fusion

kinesin family member 5B, ret proto-oncogene

Background: The RET gene encodes the RET receptor tyrosine kinase, which is activated by a ligand family of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factors (GDNF)⁹³. RET is the target of recurrent chromosomal rearrangements that generate fusion proteins containing the intact RET tyrosine kinase domain combined with several fusion partner genes⁹³. RET fusion kinases are constitutively activated and drive oncogenic transformation, which can lead to activation of the PI3K/AKT, RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, and PLCγ/PKC pathways, resulting in cell survival and proliferation⁹⁴.

Alterations and prevalence: RET fusions occur in approximately 55% of papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTC), with even higher frequencies observed in PTC patients with radiation exposure^{95,96,97}. RET rearrangement is also present in 6% of thyroid carcinoma and 1-2% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)^{14,15,98}. Point mutations in RET are relatively common in sporadic medullary thyroid cancer (MTC), with 6% of patients found to contain germline mutations⁹⁹. Somatic mutations (specifically at codon 918), which leads to increased kinase activity, have been observed in at least 25% of MTC cases⁹⁹. Somatic mutations have also been observed in 8% of skin cutaneous melanoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, 5% of colorectal adenocarcinoma, 4% of stomach adenocarcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma, 3% of pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma, lung adenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and 2% of adrenocortical carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, glioblastoma multiforme, and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma^{14,15}. Amplification of RET is observed in 3% of cholangiocarcinoma^{14,15}. Alterations in RET are rare in pediatric cancers^{14,15}. Somatic mutations are observed in 3% of soft tissue sarcoma, and less than 1% of glioma (2 in 297 cases), bone cancer (2 in 327 cases), leukemia (2 in 354 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (1 in 252 cases), Wilms tumor (1 in 710 cases), and peripheral nervous system tumors (1 in 1158 cases)^{14,15}. RET amplification is observed in less than 1% of Wilms tumor (1 in 136 cases) and B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (1 in 731 cases)^{14,15}.

Potential relevance: Selpercatinib¹⁰⁰ is approved (2020) for RET fusion-positive NSCLC, thyroid cancer in adults and children, and metastatic solid tumors in adults and children that have progressed following systemic treatment. Selpercatinib¹⁰⁰ is also approved for RET-mutation positive medullary thyroid cancer (MTC). Additionally, the RET inhibitor, pralsetinib¹⁰¹, is approved (2020) for RET fusion-positive NSCLC in adults and RET fusion-positive thyroid cancer in children and adults. In 2024, the FDA granted fast track designation to the selective RET inhibitor, EP0031/A400¹⁰², as a potential treatment option for RET-fusion positive NSCLC. Point mutations involving codons 804 and 806 have been shown to confer resistance to selective kinase inhibitors including vandetanib^{103,104}. The small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor, cabozantinib, is a treatment option for advanced or metastatic NSCLC with RET rearrangements¹⁰⁵. Cabozantinib has also demonstrated clinical benefit in RET-mutated medullary thyroid cancer patients¹⁰⁶. RET mutations at codon 918 are associated with high risk and adverse prognosis in patients diagnosed with MTC¹⁰⁷.

BRCA2 deletion

BRCA2, DNA repair associated

Background: The breast cancer early onset gene 2 (BRCA2) encodes one of two BRCA proteins (BRCA1 and BRCA2) initially discovered as major hereditary breast cancer genes. Although structurally unrelated, both BRCA1 and BRCA2 exhibit tumor suppressor function and are integrally involved in the homologous recombination repair (HRR) pathway, a pathway critical in the repair of damaged DNA. Specifically, BRCA1/2 are required for repair of chromosomal double strand breaks (DSBs) which are highly unstable and compromise genome integrity^{1,2}. Inherited pathogenic mutations in BRCA1/2 are known to confer increased risk in women for breast and ovarian cancer³ and in men for breast and prostate cancer⁴.5. For individuals diagnosed with inherited pathogenic or likely pathogenic BRCA1/2 variants, estimated lifetime risks range from 41% to 90% for developing breast cancer and 8 to 62% for developing ovarian cancer⁶. 테스트 입니다.

Alterations and prevalence: Inherited BRCA1/2 mutations occur in 1:400 to 1:500 individuals and are observed in 10-15% of ovarian cancer and 5-10% of breast cancer^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13}. Somatic alterations in BRCA2 are observed in 5-15% of melanomas, uterine, cervical, gastric, colorectal, esophageal, and lung cancers^{14,15}.

Potential clinical relevance: Individuals possessing BRCA1/2 pathogenic germline or somatic mutations are shown to exhibit sensitivity to platinum based chemotherapy as well as treatment with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi)¹⁶. Inhibitors targeting PARP induce synthetic lethality in recombination deficient BRCA1/2 mutant cells^{17,18}. Consequently, several PARP inhibitors have been FDA approved for BRCA1/2-mutated cancers. Olaparib[] (2014) was the first PARPi to be approved by the FDA for BRCA1/2 aberrations. Originally approved for the treatment of germline variants, olaparib is now indicated (2018) for the maintenance treatment of both germline BRCA1/2-mutated (gBRCAm) and somatic BRCA1/2-mutated (sBRCAm) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers that are responsive to platinum-based chemotherapy. Olaparib is also indicated for the treatment of patients with gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer who have been treated with chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant, adjuvant, or metastatic setting. Rucaparib[] (2016) was the first PARPi approved for the treatment of patients with either gBRCAm or sBRCAm

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers treated with two or more chemotherapies. Talazoparib[] (2018) is indicated for the treatment of gBRCAm HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Due to efficacy in both gBRCAm and non-gBRCAm patients, Niraparib (2017) is another PARPi approved for maintenance of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers, regardless of BRCA status¹⁹. Despite tolerability and efficacy, acquired resistance to PARP inhibition has been clinically reported²⁰. One of the most common mechanisms of resistance includes secondary intragenic mutations that restore BRCA1/2 functionality²¹.

CDKN2A deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A

Background: CDKN2A encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2A, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression²². CDKN2A, also known as p16/INK4A, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2B (p15/INK4B), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)⁷¹. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb^{72,73,74}. CDKN2A encodes two alternative transcript variants, namely p16 and p14ARF, both of which exhibit differential tumor suppressor functions⁷⁵. Specifically, the CDKN2A/p16 transcript inhibits cell cycle kinases CDK4 and CDK6, whereas the CDKN2A/p14ARF transcript stabilizes the tumor suppressor protein p53 to prevent its degradation^{22,75,76}. CDKN2A aberrations commonly co-occur with CDKN2B⁷¹. Loss of CDKN2A/p16 results in downstream inactivation of the Rb and p53 pathways, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation⁷⁷. Germline mutations of CDKN2A are known to confer a predisposition to melanoma and pancreatic cancer^{78,79}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic alterations in CDKN2A often result in loss of function (LOF) which is attributed to copy number loss, truncating, or missense mutations⁸⁰. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in 20% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 15% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 13% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 8% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 7% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 6% of cholangiocarcinoma, 4% of lung adenocarcinoma and stomach adenocarcinoma, and 2% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma^{14,15}. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 56% of glioblastoma multiforme, 45% of mesothelioma, 39% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 32% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 31% of skin cutaneous melanoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 28% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 27% of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 17% of lung adenocarcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and of brain lower grade glioma, 7% of adrenocortical carcinoma, 6% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of breast invasive carcinoma, kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma and thymoma, 3% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma and kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe^{14,15}. Alterations in CDKN2A are also observed in pediatric cancers¹⁵. Biallelic deletion of CDKN2A is observed in 68% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of glioma, 19% of bone cancer, and 6% of embryonal tumors¹⁵. Somatic mutations in CDKN2A are observed in less that 1.5% of bone cancer (5 in 327 cases), B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (3 in 252 cases), and leukemia (1 in 354 cases)¹⁵.

Potential relevance: Loss of CDKN2A can be useful in the diagnosis of mesothelioma, and mutations in CDKN2A are ancillary diagnostic markers of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors^{81,82,83}. Additionally, deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging Grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma⁸⁴. Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2A aberrations. However, CDKN2A LOF leading to CDK4/6 activation may confer sensitivity to CDK inhibitors such as palbociclib and abemaciclib^{85,86,87}. Alternatively, CDKN2A expression and Rb inactivation demonstrate resistance to palbociclib in cases of glioblastoma multiforme⁸⁸. CDKN2A (p16) expression is associated with a favorable prognosis for progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in p16/HPV positive head and neck cancer^{89,90,91,92}.

CDKN2B deletion

cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B

Background: CDKN2B encodes cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 2B, a cell cycle regulator that controls G1/S progression^{22,71}. CDKN2B, also known as p15/INK4B, belongs to a family of INK4 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, which also includes CDKN2A (p16/INK4A), CDKN2C (p18/INK4C), and CDKN2D (p19/INK4D)⁷¹. The INK4 family regulates cell cycle progression by inhibiting CDK4 or CDK6, thereby preventing the phosphorylation of Rb^{72,73,74}. CDKN2B is a tumor suppressor and aberrations in this gene commonly co-occur with CDKN2A⁷¹. Germline mutations in CDKN2B are linked to pancreatic cancer predisposition and familial renal cell carcinoma^{22,108,109}.

Alterations and prevalence: CDKN2B copy number loss is a frequently occurring somatic aberration that is observed in 55% of glioblastoma multiforme, 43% of mesothelioma, 35% of esophageal adenocarcinoma, 31% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, 29% of skin cutaneous melanoma, 28% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 27% of pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 26% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, 25% of diffuse large B -cell lymphoma, 16% of lung adenocarcinoma, 15% of sarcoma, 14% of cholangiocarcinoma, 11% of stomach adenocarcinoma and brain lower grade glioma, 5% of liver hepatocellular carcinoma, 4% of adrenocortical carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, thymoma, and kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, 3% of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma and ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, and 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma and kidney chromophobe^{14,15}. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

observed in 2% of uterine carcinosarcoma^{14,15}. CDKN2B copy number loss is also observed in pediatric cancers, including 64% of childhood T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 37% of pediatric B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 25% of pediatric gliomas, 14% of pediatric bone cancers, 6% of embryonal tumors, and 2% of peripheral nervous system cancers^{14,15}. Somatic mutations in CDKN2B are observed in less than 1% of bone cancer (1 in 327 cases)^{14,15}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for CDKN2B aberrations. Homozygous deletion of CDKN2B is a molecular marker used in staging grade 4 pediatric IDH-mutant astrocytoma⁸⁴.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome⁴⁹. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{50,51}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2⁵². Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250⁵³. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)⁵³. Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{54,55,56,57,58}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes⁵¹. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{50,51,55,59}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{50,51,60,61}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{60,61}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab⁶² (2014) and nivolumab⁶³ (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab⁶² is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication⁶². Dostarlimab⁶⁴ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{56,65}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab⁶⁶ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{56,67,68}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁶⁸. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{69,70}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{69,70}.

TP53 p.(R181H) c.542G>A

tumor protein p53

<u>Background</u>: The TP53 gene encodes the tumor suppressor protein p53, which binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair²². In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis²³. Alterations in TP53 are required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential²⁴. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{25,26}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{14,15,27,28,29,30}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common, including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{14,15}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{31,32,33,34}. Alterations in TP53 are also observed in pediatric cancers^{14,15}. Somatic mutations are observed in 53% of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 24% of soft tissue sarcoma, 19% of glioma, 13% of bone cancer, 9% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, 4% of embryonal tumors, 3% of Wilms tumor and leukemia, 2% of T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma, and less than 1% of peripheral nervous system cancers (5 in 1158 cases) ^{14,15}. Biallelic

Report Date: 03 Jul 2025 6 of 14

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

loss of TP53 is observed in 10% of bone cancer, 2% of Wilms tumor, and less than 1% of B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (2 in 731 cases) and leukemia (1 in 250 cases) 14.15.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586³⁵ (2020), received a fast track designation by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation. The FDA has granted fast track designation to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt³⁶, (2019) and breakthrough designation³⁷ (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{38,39}. TP53 mutation are a diagnostic marker of SHH-activated, TP53-mutant medulloblastoma⁴⁰. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{41,42,43,44,45,46}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant⁴⁷. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system⁴⁸.

UGT1A1 p.(G71R) c.211G>A

UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1

Background: The UGT1A1 gene encodes UDP glucuronosyltransferase family 1 member A1, a member of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A (UGT1A) subfamily of the UGT protein superfamily^{22,110}. UGTs are microsomal membrane-bound enzymes that catalyze the glucuronidation of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds and transform the lipophilic molecules into excretable, hydrophilic metabolites^{110,111}. UGTs play an important role in drug metabolism, detoxification, and metabolite homeostasis. Differential expression of UGTs can promote cancer development, disease progression, as well as drug resistance¹¹². Specifically, elevated expression of UGT1As are associated with resistance to many anti-cancer drugs due to drug inactivation and lower active drug concentrations. However, reduced expression and downregulation of UGT1As are implicated in bladder and hepatocellular tumorigenesis and progression due to toxin accumulation^{112,113,114,115}. Furthermore, UGT1A1 polymorphisms, such as UGT1A1*28, UGT1A1*93, and UGT1A1*6, confer an increased risk of severe toxicity to irinotecan-based chemotherapy treatment of solid tumors, due to reduced glucuronidation of the irinotecan metabolite, SN-38¹¹⁶.

Alterations and prevalence: Biallelic deletion of UGT1A1 has been observed in 6% of sarcoma, 3% of brain lower grade glioma and uveal melanoma, and 2% of thymoma, cervical squamous cell carcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and esophageal adenocarcinoma^{14,15}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for UGT1A1 aberrations.

Report Date: 03 Jul 2025 7 of 14

Alerts Informed By Public Data Sources

Current FDA Information

Contraindicated

Not recommended

Resistance

Breakthrough

Fast Track

FDA information is current as of 2025-04-16. For the most up-to-date information, search www.fda.gov.

KIF5B::RET fusion

A A-400

Cancer type: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Variant class: RET fusion

Supporting Statement:

The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to the selective RET inhibitor, EP0031/A400, for the potential treatment of RET-fusion positive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC).

Reference:

https://ellipses.life/ellipses-next-generation-selective-ret-inhibitor-ep0031-a400-granted-fast-track-designation-by-fda/

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLC01B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XP01, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF11, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCE, FANCG, FANCI, FANCI, FANCH, FA

Relevant Therapy Summary

In this cancer type	O In other cancer type	In this cancer type and other cancer types	No evidence
---------------------	------------------------	--	-------------

FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
0	•	•	•	×
0	0	×	•	(II)
×	•	×	×	(II)
×	×	×	×	(III)
×	×	×	×	(III)
×	×	×	×	(II)
×	×	×	×	(II)
×	×	×	×	(II)
×	×	×	×	(II)
×	×	×	×	(II)
×	×	×	×	(/)
×	×	×	×	(/)
×	×	×	×	(I/II)
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<pre></pre>		O O O O O X X X X

^{*} Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

CDKN2A deletion

CDKN2B deletion

KIF5B::RET fusion (continued)					
Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
HEC-169096	×	×	×	×	(/)
TAS-0953	×	×	×	×	(/)
APS-03118	×	×	×	×	(I)
IBI-363, IBI-325, lenvatinib	×	×	×	×	(I)
ND-003	×	×	×	×	(I)
TGRX-1942	×	×	×	×	(I)
TV-1001		•	~	~	

BRCA2 deletion FDA NCCN **EMA ESMO** Clinical Trials* **Relevant Therapy** 0 (II) olaparib × × × niraparib × 0 × × × 0 rucaparib × × × × pamiparib, tislelizumab (II) × × × ×

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib	×	×	×	×	(II)
palbociclib, abemaciclib	×	×	×	×	(II)
AMG 193	×	×	×	×	(1/11)

OBINITED GOIOGO					
Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
palbociclib, abemaciclib	×	×	×	×	(II)

^{*} Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Report Date: 03 Jul 2025 10 of 14

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	13.85%
BRCA1	LOH, 17q21.31(41275964-41276231)x4
BRCA2	CNV, CN:1.0
BRCA2	LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x1
BRIP1	LOH, 17q23.2(59760627-59938976)x4
RAD51C	LOH, 17q22(56769933-56811619)x4

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.1.1 data version 2025.05(007)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-04-16. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-04-01. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-04-16. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-04-01. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-04-01. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

- 1. Liu et al. Distinct functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in double-strand break repair. Breast Cancer Res. 2002;4(1):9-13. PMID: 11879553
- 2. Jasin. Homologous repair of DNA damage and tumorigenesis: the BRCA connection. Oncogene. 2002 Dec 16;21(58):8981-93. PMID: 12483514
- 3. Kuchenbaecker et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. JAMA. 2017 Jun 20;317(23):2402-2416. PMID: 28632866
- 4. Tai et al. Breast cancer risk among male BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2007 Dec 5;99(23):1811-4. PMID: 18042939
- Levy-Lahad et al. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. Br. J. Cancer. 2007 Jan 15;96(1):11-5. PMID: 17213823
- NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian [Version 1.2018]. NCCN-Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian
- 7. ARUP Laboratories University of Utah Department of Pathology.. https://arupconsult.com/ati/hereditary-breast-and-ovarian-cancer
- 8. Petrucelli et al. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. GeneReviews® [Internet]. PMID: 20301425
- 9. Pruthi et al. Identification and Management of Women With BRCA Mutations or Hereditary Predisposition for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2010 Dec;85(12):1111-20. PMID: 21123638
- 10. Walsh et al. Mutations in 12 genes for inherited ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinoma identified by massively parallel sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011 Nov 1;108(44):18032-7. PMID: 22006311
- 11. Alsop et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. J. Clin. Oncol. 2012 Jul 20;30(21):2654-63. PMID: 22711857
- 12. Whittemore et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutation carriers among U.S. non-Hispanic Whites. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 2004 Dec;13(12):2078-83. PMID: 15598764
- 13. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Br. J. Cancer. 2000 Nov;83(10):1301-8. PMID: 11044354
- 14. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
- 15. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
- 16. Hodgson et al. Candidate biomarkers of PARP inhibitor sensitivity in ovarian cancer beyond the BRCA genes. Br. J. Cancer. 2018 Nov;119(11):1401-1409. PMID: 30353044
- 17. Bryant et al. Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. Nature. 2005 Apr 14:434(7035):913-7. PMID: 15829966
- 18. Farmer et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. Nature. 2005 Apr 14;434(7035):917-21. PMID: 15829967
- 19. Ison et al. FDA Approval Summary: Niraparib for the Maintenance Treatment of Patients with Recurrent Ovarian Cancer in Response to Platinum-Based Chemotherapy. Clin. Cancer Res. 2018 Sep 1;24(17):4066-4071. PMID: 29650751
- 20. Barber et al. Secondary mutations in BRCA2 associated with clinical resistance to a PARP inhibitor. J. Pathol. 2013 Feb;229(3):422-9. PMID: 23165508
- 21. D'Andrea. Mechanisms of PARP inhibitor sensitivity and resistance. DNA Repair (Amst.). 2018 Nov;71:172-176. PMID: 30177437
- 22. O'Leary et al. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 4;44(D1):D733-45. PMID: 26553804
- 23. Nag et al. The MDM2-p53 pathway revisited. J Biomed Res. 2013 Jul;27(4):254-71. PMID: 23885265
- 24. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
- 25. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
- 26. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
- 27. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745

12 of 14

Report Date: 03 Jul 2025

References (continued)

- 28. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
- 29. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
- 30. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
- 31. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
- 32. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
- 33. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
- 34. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
- 35. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html
- 36. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation
- 37. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167
- 38. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
- 39. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
- 40. Louis et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021 Aug 2;23(8):1231-1251. PMID: 34185076
- 41. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]
- 42. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
- 43. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]
- 44. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]
- 45. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 2.2025]
- 46. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
- 47. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]
- 48. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
- 49. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011
- 50. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
- 51. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
- 52. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
- 53. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
- 54. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
- 55. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
- 56. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 57. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785

Report Date: 03 Jul 2025 13 of 14

References (continued)

- 58. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
- 59. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
- 60. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
- 61. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
- 62. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s174lbl.pdf
- 63. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/125554s127lbl.pdf
- 64. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
- 65. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 2.2025]
- 66. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s132lbl.pdf
- 67. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
- 68. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
- 69. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
- 70. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
- 71. Xia et al. Dominant role of CDKN2B/p15INK4B of 9p21.3 tumor suppressor hub in inhibition of cell-cycle and glycolysis. Nat Commun. 2021 Apr 6;12(1):2047. PMID: 33824349
- 72. Scruggs et al. Loss of CDKN2B Promotes Fibrosis via Increased Fibroblast Differentiation Rather Than Proliferation. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2018 Aug;59(2):200-214. PMID: 29420051
- 73. Roussel. The INK4 family of cell cycle inhibitors in cancer. Oncogene. 1999 Sep 20;18(38):5311-7. PMID: 10498883
- 74. Aytac et al. Rb independent inhibition of cell growth by p15(INK4B). Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1999 Aug 27;262(2):534-8. PMID: 10462509
- 75. Hill et al. The genetics of melanoma: recent advances. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2013;14:257-79. PMID: 23875803
- 76. Kim et al. The regulation of INK4/ARF in cancer and aging. Cell. 2006 Oct 20;127(2):265-75. PMID: 17055429
- 77. Sekulic et al. Malignant melanoma in the 21st century: the emerging molecular landscape. Mayo Clin. Proc. 2008 Jul;83(7):825-46. PMID: 18613999
- 78. Orlow et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in individuals with cutaneous malignant melanoma. J. Invest. Dermatol. 2007 May;127(5):1234-43. PMID: 17218939
- 79. Bartsch et al. CDKN2A germline mutations in familial pancreatic cancer. Ann. Surg. 2002 Dec;236(6):730-7. PMID: 12454511
- 80. Adib et al. CDKN2A Alterations and Response to Immunotherapy in Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2021 Jul 15;27(14):4025-4035. PMID: 34074656
- 81. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Mesothelioma: Peritoneal [Version 2.2025]
- 82. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Mesothelioma: Pleural [Version 2.2025]
- 83. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 5.2024]
- 84. Louis et al. cIMPACT-NOW update 6: new entity and diagnostic principle recommendations of the cIMPACT-Utrecht meeting on future CNS tumor classification and grading. Brain Pathol. 2020 Jul;30(4):844-856. PMID: 32307792
- 85. Longwen et al. Frequent genetic aberrations in the cell cycle related genes in mucosal melanoma indicate the potential for targeted therapy. J Transl Med. 2019 Jul 29;17(1):245. PMID: 31358010
- 86. Logan et al. PD-0332991, a potent and selective inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6, demonstrates inhibition of proliferation in renal cell carcinoma at nanomolar concentrations and molecular markers predict for sensitivity. Anticancer Res. 2013 Aug;33(8):2997-3004. PMID: 23898052
- 87. von et al. Preclinical Characterization of Novel Chordoma Cell Systems and Their Targeting by Pharmocological Inhibitors of the CDK4/6 Cell-Cycle Pathway. Cancer Res. 2015 Sep 15;75(18):3823-31. PMID: 26183925
- 88. Cen et al. p16-Cdk4-Rb axis controls sensitivity to a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor PD0332991 in glioblastoma xenograft cells. Neuro-oncology. 2012 Jul;14(7):870-81. PMID: 22711607

Report Date: 03 Jul 2025 14 of 14

References (continued)

- 89. Vitzthum et al. The role of p16 as a biomarker in nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer. Oncotarget. 2018 Sep 7;9(70):33247-33248. PMID: 30279955
- 90. Chung et al. p16 protein expression and human papillomavirus status as prognostic biomarkers of nonoropharyngeal head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 2014 Dec 10;32(35):3930-8. PMID: 25267748
- 91. Bryant et al. Prognostic Role of p16 in Nonoropharyngeal Head and Neck Cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2018 Dec 1;110(12):1393-1399. PMID: 29878161
- 92. Stephen et al. Significance of p16 in Site-specific HPV Positive and HPV Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Clin Oncol. 2013;2(1):51-61. PMID: 23935769
- 93. Knowles et al. Structure and chemical inhibition of the RET tyrosine kinase domain. J. Biol. Chem. 2006 Nov 3;281(44):33577-87. PMID: 16928683
- 94. Ibáñez. Structure and physiology of the RET receptor tyrosine kinase. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2013 Feb 1;5(2). PMID: 23378586
- 95. Santoro et al. Central role of RET in thyroid cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2013 Dec 1;5(12):a009233. PMID: 24296167
- 96. Elisei et al. RET/PTC rearrangements in thyroid nodules: studies in irradiated and not irradiated, malignant and benign thyroid lesions in children and adults. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2001 Jul;86(7):3211-6. PMID: 11443191
- 97. Ciampi et al. RET/PTC rearrangements and BRAF mutations in thyroid tumorigenesis. Endocrinology. 2007 Mar;148(3):936-41. PMID: 16946010
- 98. Kohno et al. KIF5B-RET fusions in lung adenocarcinoma. Nat. Med. 2012 Feb 12;18(3):375-7. PMID: 22327624
- 99. Wohllk et al. Relevance of RET proto-oncogene mutations in sporadic medullary thyroid carcinoma. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1996 Oct;81(10):3740-5. PMID: 8855832
- 100. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/213246s014lbl.pdf
- 101. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/213721s015lbl.pdf
- 102. https://ellipses.life/ellipses-next-generation-selective-ret-inhibitor-ep0031-a400-granted-fast-track-designation-by-fda/
- 103. Carlomagno et al. Disease associated mutations at valine 804 in the RET receptor tyrosine kinase confer resistance to selective kinase inhibitors. Oncogene. 2004 Aug 12;23(36):6056-63. PMID: 15184865
- 104. Carlomagno et al. Identification of tyrosine 806 as a molecular determinant of RET kinase sensitivity to ZD6474. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2009 Mar;16(1):233-41. doi: 10.1677/ERC-08-0213. Epub 2008 Nov 24. PMID: 19029224
- 105. NCCN Guidelines® NCCN-Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer [Version 3.2025]
- 106. Sherman et al. Correlative analyses of RET and RAS mutations in a phase 3 trial of cabozantinib in patients with progressive, metastatic medullary thyroid cancer. Cancer. 2016 Dec 15;122(24):3856-3864. PMID: 27525386
- 107. Wells et al. Revised American Thyroid Association guidelines for the management of medullary thyroid carcinoma. Thyroid. 2015 Jun;25(6):567-610. PMID: 25810047
- 108. Jafri et al. Germline Mutations in the CDKN2B Tumor Suppressor Gene Predispose to Renal Cell Carcinoma. . Cancer Discov.2015 Jul;5(7):723-9. PMID: 25873077
- 109. Tu et al. CDKN2B deletion is essential for pancreatic cancer development instead of unmeaningful co-deletion due to juxtaposition to CDKN2A. Oncogene. 2018 Jan 4;37(1):128-138. PMID: 28892048
- 110. Ouzzine et al. The UDP-glucuronosyltransferases of the blood-brain barrier: their role in drug metabolism and detoxication. Front Cell Neurosci. 2014;8:349. PMID: 25389387
- 111. Nagar et al. Uridine diphosphoglucuronosyltransferase pharmacogenetics and cancer. Oncogene. 2006 Mar 13;25(11):1659-72. PMID: 16550166
- 112. Allain et al. Emerging roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferases in drug resistance and cancer progression. Br J Cancer. 2020 Apr;122(9):1277-1287. PMID: 32047295
- 113. Izumi et al. Expression of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A in bladder cancer: association with prognosis and regulation by estrogen. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Apr;53(4):314-24. PMID: 23143693
- 114. Sundararaghavan et al. Glucuronidation and UGT isozymes in bladder: new targets for the treatment of uroepithelial carcinomas?. Oncotarget. 2017 Jan 10;8(2):3640-3648. PMID: 27690298
- 115. Lu et al. Drug-Metabolizing Activity, Protein and Gene Expression of UDP-Glucuronosyltransferases Are Significantly Altered in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Patients. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127524. PMID: 26010150
- 116. Karas et al. JCO Oncol Pract. 2021 Dec 3:0P2100624. PMID: 34860573