Samkwang Medical Lab

삼광의료재단
서울특별시 서초구 바우뫼로41길 58 (양재동, 선화빌딩)
검사기관 11365200
Tel. 1661-5117
www.smlab.co.kr

Report Date: 30 May 2025   1 of 9

Patient Name:	유제선	**Primary Tumor Site:**	colon
Gender:	M	**Collection Date:**	2025.04.28
Sample ID:	N25-22		

Sample Cancer Type: Colon Cancer

Table of Contents	Page
Variant Details	2
Biomarker Descriptions	2
Relevant Therapy Summary	6

Report Highlights

2 Relevant Biomarkers
0 Therapies Available
3 Clinical Trials

Relevant Colon Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
BRAF	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
KRAS	None detected	POLD1	None detected
NRAS	None detected	POLE	None detected
NTRK1	None detected	RET	None detected

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Microsatellite Status	*Microsatellite stable*
Tumor Mutational Burden	*7.59 Mut/Mb measured*

HRD Status: *HR Proficient (HRD-)*

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	*TP53 p.(R175H) c.524G>A* tumor protein p53 Allele Frequency: 40.20% Locus: chr17:7578406 Transcript: NM_000546.6	None*	None*	2
IIC	*SMAD4 deletion* SMAD family member 4 Locus: chr18:48573387	None*	None*	1

* **Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA**[1], NCCN, **EMA**[2], ESMO
Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy
Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

APC p.(R283) c.847C>T, Microsatellite stable, RAD51B deletion, NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T, Tumor Mutational Burden*

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele Frequency	Transcript	Variant Effect
APC	p.(R283*)	c.847C>T	COSM19679	chr5:112151204	53.13%	NM_000038.6	nonsense
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	38.40%	NM_000903.3	missense
TP53	p.(R175H)	c.524G>A	COSM10648	chr17:7578406	40.20%	NM_000546.6	missense
C8A	p.(C74R)	c.220T>C	.	chr1:57340670	48.96%	NM_000562.3	missense
MSH2	p.(V817M)	c.2449G>A	.	chr2:47705649	37.55%	NM_000251.3	missense
ARID1B	p.(P1424L)	c.4271C>T	.	chr6:157519953	49.02%	NM_001371656.1	missense
GUSB	p.(W627*)	c.1881G>A	.	chr7:65425959	47.01%	NM_000181.4	nonsense
FGF19	p.(I92M)	c.276C>G	.	chr11:69518089	2.64%	NM_005117.3	missense
KMT2D	p.(Q3863dup)	c.11565_11566insCAG	.	chr12:49426922	46.41%	NM_003482.4	nonframeshift Insertion
ZFYVE21	p.(L101M)	c.301_303delCTCinsATG	.	chr14:104194194	1.76%	NM_001198953.1	missense
PALB2	p.(D801G)	c.2402A>G	.	chr16:23641073	62.55%	NM_024675.4	missense
MEF2B	p.(G268D)	c.803G>A	.	chr19:19257160	40.93%	NM_001145785.2	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
RAD51B	chr14:68290164	1	0.73
SMAD4	chr18:48573387	0.68	0.62

Biomarker Descriptions

RAD51B deletion

RAD51 paralog B

Background: The RAD51B gene encodes the RAD51 paralog B protein, a member of the RAD51 recombinase family that also includes RAD51, RAD51C (RAD51L2), RAD51D (RAD51L3), XRCC2, and XRCC3 paralogs. The RAD51 family of proteins are involved in homologous recombination repair (HRR) and DNA repair of double-strand breaks (DSB)[1]. RAD51B associates with other RAD51 paralogs to form RAD51B-RAD51C-RAD51D-XRCC2 (BCDX2) complex[2]. The BCDX2 complex binds single- and double-stranded DNA to hydrolyze ATP[3]. RAD51B is a tumor suppressor gene. Loss of function mutations in RAD51B are implicated in the BRCAness phenotype, which is characterized by a defect in HRR mimicking BRCA1 or BRCA2 loss[4,5]. Biallelic expression of RAD51B is required for chromosomal integrity and haploinsufficiency leads to aberrant HRR resulting in centrosome fragmentation, aneuploidy, and mild hypersensitivity to DNA-damaging agents[6]. Genetic variation within the RAD51B locus on 14q24.1 is significantly associated with familial breast cancer risk[7].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in RAD51B are observed in up to 3% of uterine cancer[8,9]. Loss of function mutations in RAD51B are rare, but variation within the RAD51B locus is significantly associated with familial breast cancer risk[7].

Potential relevance: The PARP inhibitor, olaparib[10] is approved (2020) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) with deleterious or suspected deleterious, germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes that includes RAD51B. In 2022, the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex[11], for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

SMAD4 deletion

SMAD family member 4

Background: The SMAD4 gene encodes the SMAD family member 4, a transcription factor that belongs to a family of 8 SMAD genes that can be divided into three main classes. SMAD4 (also known as DPC4) belongs to the common mediator SMAD (co-SMAD) class while SMAD1, SMAD2, SMAD3, SMAD5, and SMAD8 are part of the regulator SMAD (R-SMAD) class. The inhibitory SMAD (I-SMAD) class includes both SMAD6 and SMAD7[12,13]. SMAD4 is a tumor suppressor gene and functions as a mediator of the TGF-β and BMP signaling pathways that are implicated in cancer initiation and progression[13,14,15]. Loss of SMAD4 does not drive oncogenesis, but is associated with progression of cancers initiated by driver genes such as KRAS and APC[12,13]

Alterations and prevalence: Inactivation of SMAD4 can occur due to mutations, allelic loss, homozygous deletions, and 18q loss of heterozygosity (LOH)[12]. Somatic mutations in SMAD4 occur in up to 20% of pancreatic, 12% of colorectal, and 8% of stomach cancers. Recurrent hotspot mutations including R361 and P356 occur in the mad homology 2 (MH2) domain leading to the disruption of the TGF-β signaling[9,15,16]. Copy number deletions occur in up to 12% of pancreatic, 10% of esophageal, and 13% of stomach cancers[8,9,17].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for SMAD4 aberrations. Clinical studies and meta-analyses have demonstrated that loss of SMAD4 expression confers poor prognosis and poor overall survival (OS) in colorectal and pancreatic cancers[13,15,18,19,20]. Importantly, SMAD4 is a predictive biomarker to fluorouracil based chemotherapy[21,22]. In a retrospective analysis of 241 colorectal cancer patients treated with fluorouracil, 21 patients with SMAD4 loss demonstrated significantly poor median OS when compared to SMAD4 positive patients (31 months vs 89 months)[22]. In another clinical study of 173 newly diagnosed and recurrent head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) patients, SMAD4 loss is correlated with cetuximab resistance in HPV-negative HNSCC tumors[23].

TP53 p.(R175H) c.524G>A

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the p53 tumor suppressor protein that binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis. Alterations in TP53 is required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential[24]. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers[25,26].

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)[8,9,27,28,29,30]. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense mutations are common including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282[8,9]. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes[31,32,33,34].

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586, received a fast track designation (2020) by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation[35]. The FDA has granted fast track designation (2019) to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt,[36] and breakthrough designation[37] (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation[38,39]. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)[40,41,42,43,44,45]. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant[46]. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occuring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system[47].

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome[48]. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue[49,50]. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2[51]. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250[52]. Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)[52]. Tumors classified as MSI-L are

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS[53,54,55,56,57]. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes[50]. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer[49,50,54,58].

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma[49,50,59,60]. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers[59,60].

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab[61] (2014) and nivolumab[62] (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab[61] is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication[61]. Dostarlimab[63] (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer[55,64]. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody, ipilimumab[65] (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location[55,66,67]. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients[67]. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors[68,69]. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers[68,69].

APC p.(R283*) c.847C>T

APC, WNT signaling pathway regulator

Background: The APC gene encodes the adenomatous polyposis coli tumor suppressor protein that plays a crucial role in regulating the β-catenin/WNT signaling pathway which is involved in cell migration, adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation[70]. APC is an antagonist of WNT signaling as it targets β-catenin for proteasomal degradation[71,72]. Germline mutations in APC are predominantly inactivating and result in an autosomal dominant predisposition for familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) which is characterized by numerous polyps in the intestine[70,73]. Acquiring a somatic mutation in APC is considered to be an early and possibly initiating event in colorectal cancer[74].

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in APC are observed in up to 65% of colorectal cancer, and in up to 15% of stomach adenocarcinoma and uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma[8,9,17]. In colorectal cancer, ~60% of somatic APC mutations have been reported to occur in a mutation cluster region (MCR) resulting in C-terminal protein truncation and APC inactivation[75,76].

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for APC aberrations.

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNB1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYOD1, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDNL, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLCO1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFBR1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABCB1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBFB, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERRFI1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDNL, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLCO1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFBR2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSPO2, RSPO3, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBFB, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRFI1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFBR2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFHX3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

| ● In this cancer type | ○ In other cancer type | ◐ In this cancer type and other cancer types | ✖ No evidence |

TP53 p.(R175H) c.524G>A

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
NT-175, chemotherapy, aldesleukin	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)
TP53-EphA-2-CAR-DC, anti-PD-1	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (I)

SMAD4 deletion

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
regorafenib	✖	✖	✖	✖	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	*13.91%*
PALB2	*SNV, D801G, AF:0.63*
RAD51B	*CNV, CN:1.0*
RAD51B	*LOH, 14q24.1(68290164-69061406)x1*

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent Oncomine Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on Oncomine Reporter (6.0.2 data version 2025.04(004)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-03-19. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-03-03. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-03-19. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-03-03. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-03-03. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Sullivan et al. RAD-ical New Insights into RAD51 Regulation. Genes (Basel). 2018 Dec 13;9(12). PMID: 30551670

2. Suwaki et al. RAD51 paralogs: roles in DNA damage signalling, recombinational repair and tumorigenesis. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 2011 Oct;22(8):898-905. PMID: 21821141

3. Chun et al. Rad51 paralog complexes BCDX2 and CX3 act at different stages in the BRCA1-BRCA2-dependent homologous recombination pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2013 Jan;33(2):387-95. PMID: 23149936

4. Lim et al. Evaluation of the methods to identify patients who may benefit from PARP inhibitor use. Endocr. Relat. Cancer. 2016 Jun;23(6):R267-85. PMID: 27226207

5. Lord et al. BRCAness revisited. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2016 Feb;16(2):110-20. PMID: 26775620

6. Date et al. Haploinsufficiency of RAD51B causes centrosome fragmentation and aneuploidy in human cells. Cancer Res. 2006 Jun 15;66(12):6018-24. PMID: 16778173

7. Pelttari et al. RAD51B in Familial Breast Cancer. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(5):e0153788. PMID: 27149063

8. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. Nat. Genet. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849

9. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. Cancer Discov. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877

10. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/208558s028lbl.pdf

11. https://www.senhwabio.com//en/news/20220125

12. Ahmed et al. The TGF-β/Smad4 Signaling Pathway in Pancreatic Carcinogenesis and Its Clinical Significance. J Clin Med. 2017 Jan 5;6(1). PMID: 28067794

13. Zhao et al. The role of TGF-β/SMAD4 signaling in cancer. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 2018;14(2):111-123. PMID: 29483830

14. Cicenas et al. KRAS, TP53, CDKN2A, SMAD4, BRCA1, and BRCA2 Mutations in Pancreatic Cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2017 Apr 28;9(5). PMID: 28452926

15. Miyaki et al. Role of Smad4 (DPC4) inactivation in human cancer. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2003 Jul 11;306(4):799-804. PMID: 12821112

16. Mehrvarz et al. Association of SMAD4 mutation with patient demographics, tumor characteristics, and clinical outcomes in colorectal cancer. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(3):e0173345. PMID: 28267766

17. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of gastric adenocarcinoma. Nature. 2014 Sep 11;513(7517):202-9. doi: 10.1038/nature13480. Epub 2014 Jul 23. PMID: 25079317

18. Yan et al. Reduced Expression of SMAD4 Is Associated with Poor Survival in Colon Cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 2016 Jun 15;22(12):3037-47. PMID: 26861460

19. Voorneveld et al. A Meta-Analysis of SMAD4 Immunohistochemistry as a Prognostic Marker in Colorectal Cancer. Transl Oncol. 2015 Feb;8(1):18-24. PMID: 25749173

20. Shugang et al. Prognostic Value of SMAD4 in Pancreatic Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. Transl Oncol. 2016 Feb;9(1):1-7. PMID: 26947875

21. Boulay et al. SMAD4 is a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy in patients with colorectal cancer. Br. J. Cancer. 2002 Sep 9;87(6):630-4. PMID: 12237773

22. Kozak et al. Smad4 inactivation predicts for worse prognosis and response to fluorouracil-based treatment in colorectal cancer. J. Clin. Pathol. 2015 May;68(5):341-5. PMID: 25681512

23. Ozawa et al. SMAD4 Loss Is Associated with Cetuximab Resistance and Induction of MAPK/JNK Activation in Head and Neck Cancer Cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 2017 Sep 1;23(17):5162-5175. PMID: 28522603

24. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012

25. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602

26. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529

27. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745

28. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445

29. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. Nat. Genet. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780

References (continued)

30. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. Nature. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061

31. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. Hum. Mutat. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217

32. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. Genes Cancer. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514

33. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. Oncogene. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424

34. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. Hum. Mutat. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566

35. https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html

36. https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation

37. http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167

38. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534

39. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165

40. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 2.2025]

41. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463

42. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 2.2025]

43. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 1.2025]

44. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 2.2025]

45. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]

46. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 2.2025]

47. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat. Med. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829

48. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011

49. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. Front Oncol. 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754

50. Nojadeh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. EXCLI J. 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854

51. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. Front Microbiol. 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133

52. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339

53. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. Cancer Res. 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358

54. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. Carcinogenesis. 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460

55. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 1.2025]

56. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. Dis. Markers. 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785

57. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947

58. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427

59. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. Nat Commun. 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546

References (continued)

60. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol. 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653

61. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125514s172lbl.pdf

62. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/125554s127lbl.pdf

63. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf

64. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 1.2025]

65. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2025/125377s132lbl.pdf

66. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608

67. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. Ann. Oncol. 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982

68. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. J Pers Med. 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522

69. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. Cancer Treat. Rev. 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031

70. Wang et al. Loss of Tumor Suppressor Gene Function in Human Cancer: An Overview. Cell. Physiol. Biochem. 2018;51(6):2647-2693. PMID: 30562755

71. Stamos et al. The β-catenin destruction complex. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2013 Jan 1;5(1):a007898. PMID: 23169527

72. Minde et al. Messing up disorder: how do missense mutations in the tumor suppressor protein APC lead to cancer?. Mol Cancer. 2011 Aug 22;10:101. doi: 10.1186/1476-4598-10-101. PMID: 21859464

73. Aoki et al. Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC): a multi-functional tumor suppressor gene. J. Cell. Sci. 2007 Oct 1;120(Pt 19):3327-35. PMID: 17881494

74. Miyoshi et al. Somatic mutations of the APC gene in colorectal tumors: mutation cluster region in the APC gene. Hum. Mol. Genet. 1992 Jul;1(4):229-33. PMID: 1338904

75. Rowan et al. APC mutations in sporadic colorectal tumors: A mutational "hotspot" and interdependence of the "two hits". Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2000 Mar 28;97(7):3352-7. PMID: 10737795

76. Laurent-Puig et al. APC gene: database of germline and somatic mutations in human tumors and cell lines. Nucleic Acids Res. 1998 Jan 1;26(1):269-70. PMID: 9399850