

Patient Name: 홍길동
Gender: F
Sample ID: 20250401-40702

Primary Tumor Site: Ovary
Collection Date: 2025-04-02

Sample Cancer Type: Ovarian Cancer

Table of Contents	Page	Report Highlights
Variant Details	2	4 Relevant Biomarkers
Biomarker Descriptions	3	4 Therapies Available
Alert Details	7	24 Clinical Trials
Relevant Therapy Summary	8	

Relevant Ovarian Cancer Findings

Gene	Finding	Gene	Finding
BRAF	None detected	NTRK1	None detected
BRCA1	None detected	NTRK2	None detected
BRCA2	None detected	NTRK3	None detected
ERBB2	None detected	RET	RET amplification

Genomic Alteration	Finding
Tumor Mutational Burden	3.79 Mut/Mb measured
Genomic Instability	GIM 26 (High)

HRD Status: **HR Deficient (HRD+)**

Relevant Biomarkers

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IA	Genomic Instability GIM 26 (High)	bevacizumab + olaparib ^{1, 2 / II+} bevacizumab ^{II+} bevacizumab + niraparib ^{II+} niraparib ^{II+}	None*	20
IIC	TP53 p.(R213*) c.637C>T tumor protein p53 Allele Frequency: 66.30% Locus: chr17:7578212 Transcript: NM_000546.6	None*	None*	6
IIC	FGFR1 amplification fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 Locus: chr8:38271452	None*	None*	5

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA¹, NCCN, EMA², ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Relevant Biomarkers (continued)

Tier	Genomic Alteration	Relevant Therapies (In this cancer type)	Relevant Therapies (In other cancer type)	Clinical Trials
IIC	<i>RET</i> amplification ret proto-oncogene Locus: chr10:43609070	None*	None*	2

* Public data sources included in relevant therapies: FDA¹, NCCN, EMA², ESMO

Line of therapy: I: First-line therapy, II+: Other line of therapy

Tier Reference: Li et al. *Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation and Reporting of Sequence Variants in Cancer: A Joint Consensus Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology, American Society of Clinical Oncology, and College of American Pathologists.* J Mol Diagn. 2017 Jan;19(1):4-23.

Alerts informed by public data sources: ⚠ Contraindicated, 🛡 Resistance, 🚀 Breakthrough, 🏆 Fast Track

Genomic Instability 🏆 pidnarulex¹

Public data sources included in alerts: FDA¹, NCCN, EMA², ESMO

Prevalent cancer biomarkers without relevant evidence based on included data sources

MDM2 amplification, *Microsatellite stable*, *IKBKB* amplification, *NQO1 p.(P187S) c.559C>T*, *Tumor Mutational Burden*

Variant Details

DNA Sequence Variants

Gene	Amino Acid Change	Coding	Variant ID	Locus	Allele		Variant Effect
					Frequency	Transcript	
NQO1	p.(P187S)	c.559C>T	.	chr16:69745145	50.90%	NM_000903.3	missense
TP53	p.(R213*)	c.637C>T	COSM10654	chr17:7578212	66.30%	NM_000546.6	nonsense
PP2D1	p.(M240*)	c.718delA	.	chr3:20042893	43.26%	NM_001252657.2	nonsense
HLA-B	p.(Y140L)	c.419_420delACinsTA	.	chr6:31324143	27.78%	NM_005514.8	missense
HLA-B	p.(C125S)	c.373T>A	.	chr6:31324190	62.50%	NM_005514.8	missense
FGFR2	p.(Y657*)	c.1971C>A	.	chr10:123247520	2.78%	NM_000141.5	nonsense
BRCA2	p.(E2918A)	c.8753A>C	.	chr13:32950927	66.84%	NM_000059.4	missense
MGA	p.(V3042M)	c.9124G>A	.	chr15:42059404	56.10%	NM_001164273.1	missense
ZFHX3	p.(Q1503H)	c.4509G>C	.	chr16:72832072	19.40%	NM_006885.4	missense

Copy Number Variations

Gene	Locus	Copy Number	CNV Ratio
FGFR1	chr8:38271452	9.69	3.57
IKBKB	chr8:42129602	5.67	2.23
RET	chr10:43609070	7.19	2.74
MDM2	chr12:69202958	8.79	3.28
BARD1	chr2:215593375	8	2.86
WT1	chr11:32410528	4.81	1.94
SMARCB1	chr22:24129273	5.54	2.19

Biomarker Descriptions

IKBKB amplification

inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa B kinase subunit beta

Background: The IKBKB gene encodes the nuclear factor kappa B kinase subunit beta, also known as IKK-B. IKBKB is a serine/threonine kinase, which acts as an enzyme protein subunit of the IKK complex¹. IKBKB and IKBKA dimerize to form the regulatory subunit of the IKK complex. Along with modulator IKKγ/NEMO, the IKK complex acts as a master regulator of the family of NF-κB transcription factors¹. NF-κB signaling is critical in the inflammatory response and is also known to be implicated in other important physiological processes including cell proliferation². In resting cells, NF-κB dimers are sequestered in the cytoplasm by IκB proteins². Upon signal initiation, IκB proteins are phosphorylated by the IKK complex, leading to IκB protein degradation and liberation of NF-κB dimers². Subsequently, released NF-κB dimers undergo nuclear translocation which leads to the expression of various proinflammatory and cell survival genes^{3,4}.

Alterations and prevalence: Somatic mutations in IKBKB are observed in 6% of uterine carcinoma, 5% of melanoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)^{5,6}. Amplifications are observed in 14% of uterine carcinosarcoma, 7% of breast invasive carcinoma and esophageal cancer^{5,6}. IKBKB activating mutations are most commonly found at lysine 175 and are observed in 8% of splenic marginal B-cell lymphomas¹.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for IKBKB aberrations.

FGFR1 amplification

fibroblast growth factor receptor 1

Background: The FGFR1 gene encodes fibroblast growth receptor 1, a member of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) family that also includes FGFR2, 3, and 4. These proteins are single transmembrane receptors composed of three extracellular immunoglobulin (Ig)-type domains and an intracellular kinase domain. Upon FGF-mediated stimulation, FGFRs activate several oncogenic signaling pathways, including the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, PI3K/AKT/MTOR, PLC/PKC, and JAK/STAT pathways influencing cell proliferation, migration, and survival^{7,8,9}.

Alterations and prevalence: Recurrent somatic alterations common to the FGFR family include gene amplification, mutation, and chromosomal translocations leading to FGFR fusions¹⁰. Amplification of FGFR1 is observed in 15-20% of squamous lung cancer, 10-15% of breast cancer, 8% of bladder cancer, and 2-5% of uterine cancer cases^{5,6,11,12,13}. The most common recurrent mutations, N546K and K656E, are relatively infrequent (<1%); they activate mutations in the kinase domain and are distributed in diverse cancer types¹⁴. FGFR1 translocations giving rise to expressed fusions are common in certain hematological cancers, but less common in solid tumors^{15,16,17}.

Potential relevance: The FGFR kinase inhibitor, pemigatinib¹⁸ has been approved (2022) for the treatment of adults with relapsed/refractory myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms (MLNs) with FGFR1 rearrangement. Additionally, the FDA granted fast-track designation (2018) to Debio 1347¹⁹ for solid tumors harboring aberrations in FGFR1, FGFR2, or FGFR3. FDA has approved multi-kinase inhibitors, including regorafenib, ponatinib, lenvatinib, nintedanib, and pazopanib, that are known to inhibit FGFR family members. These inhibitors have demonstrated anti-tumor activity in select cancer types with FGFR alterations^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26}. In a phase II clinical trial, dovitinib, a multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), exhibited an overall response rate (ORR) of 11.5% and a disease control rate (DCR) of 50% in patients with advanced squamous cell lung cancer possessing FGFR1 amplification. The patients had a median overall survival (OS) of 5 months and progression-free survival (PFS) of 2.9 months²⁷. Likewise, in a phase Ib study testing the FGFR inhibitor AZD4547, the median OS was 4.9 months in patients with FGFR1-amplified advanced squamous cell lung cancer. One of 13 (8%) patients achieved a partial response, 4 (31%) exhibited stable disease, and 2 (13.3%) demonstrated PFS at 12 weeks²⁸.

TP53 p.(R213*) c.637C>T

tumor protein p53

Background: The TP53 gene encodes the p53 tumor suppressor protein that binds to DNA and activates transcription in response to diverse cellular stresses to induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, or DNA repair. In unstressed cells, TP53 is kept inactive by targeted degradation via MDM2, a substrate recognition factor for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis. Alterations in TP53 is required for oncogenesis as they result in loss of protein function and gain of transforming potential²⁹. Germline mutations in TP53 are the underlying cause of Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a complex hereditary cancer predisposition disorder associated with early-onset cancers^{30,31}.

Alterations and prevalence: TP53 is the most frequently mutated gene in the cancer genome with approximately half of all cancers experiencing TP53 mutations. Ovarian, head and neck, esophageal, and lung squamous cancers have particularly high TP53 mutation rates (60-90%)^{5,6,11,32,33,34}. Approximately two-thirds of TP53 mutations are missense mutations and several recurrent missense

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

mutations are common including substitutions at codons R158, R175, Y220, R248, R273, and R282^{5,6}. Invariably, recurrent missense mutations in TP53 inactivate its ability to bind DNA and activate transcription of target genes^{35,36,37,38}.

Potential relevance: The small molecule p53 reactivator, PC14586, received a fast track designation (2020) by the FDA for advanced tumors harboring a TP53 Y220C mutation³⁹. The FDA has granted fast track designation (2019) to the p53 reactivator, eprenetapopt,⁴⁰ and breakthrough designation⁴¹ (2020) in combination with azacitidine or azacitidine and venetoclax for acute myeloid leukemia patients (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) harboring a TP53 mutation, respectively. In addition to investigational therapies aimed at restoring wild-type TP53 activity, compounds that induce synthetic lethality are also under clinical evaluation^{42,43}. TP53 mutations confer poor prognosis and poor risk in multiple blood cancers including AML, MDS, myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL)^{44,45,46,47,48,49}. In mantle cell lymphoma, TP53 mutations are associated with poor prognosis when treated with conventional therapy including hematopoietic cell transplant⁵⁰. Mono- and bi-allelic mutations in TP53 confer unique characteristics in MDS, with multi-hit patients also experiencing associations with complex karyotype, few co-occurring mutations, and high-risk disease presentation as well as predicted death and leukemic transformation independent of the IPSS-R staging system⁵¹.

MDM2 amplification

MDM2 proto-oncogene

Background: The MDM2 gene encodes the murine double minute 2 proto-oncogene. MDM2 is structurally related to murine double minute 4 (MDM4), with both proteins containing an N-terminal domain that binds p53, a zinc-finger domain, and a C-terminal RING domain⁵². MDM2 and MDM4 are oncogenes that function as negative regulators of the tumor suppressor TP53, and can homo- or heterodimerize with p53 through their RING domains⁵². Specifically, the MDM2 RING domain functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase and is responsible for the polyubiquitination and degradation of the p53 protein when MDM2 is present at high levels⁵³. Alternately, low levels of MDM2 activity promote mono-ubiquitination and nuclear export of p53⁵³. MDM2 amplification and overexpression disrupt the p53 protein function, thereby contributing to tumorigenesis and supporting an oncogenic role for MDM2⁵³.

Alterations and prevalence: MDM2 is amplified in up to 13% of sarcoma, 8% of bladder urothelial carcinoma, glioblastoma, and 7% of adrenal cortical carcinoma^{5,6}. MDM2 overexpression is observed in lung, breast, liver, esophagogastric, and colorectal cancers⁵⁴. The most common co-occurring aberrations with MDM2 amplification or overexpression are CDK4 amplification and TP53 mutation^{55,56}.

Potential relevance: Currently, no therapies are approved for MDM2 aberrations. Amplification of region 12q13-15, which includes MDM2, is useful as an ancillary diagnostic marker of atypical lipomatous tumor/well differentiated liposarcoma (ALT/WDL) and dedifferentiated liposarcoma⁵⁷.

Microsatellite stable

Background: Microsatellites are short tandem repeats (STR) of 1 to 6 bases of DNA between 5 to 50 repeat units in length. There are approximately 0.5 million STRs that occupy 3% of the human genome⁵⁸. Microsatellite instability (MSI) is defined as a change in the length of a microsatellite in a tumor as compared to normal tissue^{59,60}. MSI is closely tied to the status of the mismatch repair (MMR) genes. In humans, the core MMR genes include MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and PMS2⁶¹. Mutations and loss of expression in MMR genes, known as defective MMR (dMMR), lead to MSI. In contrast, when MMR genes lack alterations, they are referred to as MMR proficient (pMMR). Consensus criteria were first described in 1998 and defined MSI-high (MSI-H) as instability in two or more of the following five markers: BAT25, BAT26, D5S346, D2S123, and D17S250⁶². Tumors with instability in one of the five markers were defined as MSI-low (MSI-L) whereas, those with instability in zero markers were defined as MS-stable (MSS)⁶². Tumors classified as MSI-L are often phenotypically indistinguishable from MSS tumors and tend to be grouped with MSS^{63,64,65,66,67}. MSI-H is a hallmark of Lynch syndrome (LS), also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer, which is caused by germline mutations in the MMR genes⁶⁰. LS is associated with an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer, as well as other cancers, including endometrial and stomach cancer^{59,60,64,68}.

Alterations and prevalence: The MSI-H phenotype is observed in 30% of uterine corpus endothelial carcinoma, 20% of stomach adenocarcinoma, 15-20% of colon adenocarcinoma, and 5-10% of rectal adenocarcinoma^{59,60,69,70}. MSI-H is also observed in 5% of adrenal cortical carcinoma and at lower frequencies in other cancers such as esophageal, liver, and ovarian cancers^{69,70}.

Potential relevance: Anti-PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors including pembrolizumab⁷¹ (2014) and nivolumab⁷² (2015) are approved for patients with MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer who have progressed following chemotherapy. Pembrolizumab⁷¹ is also approved as a single agent, for the treatment of patients with advanced endometrial carcinoma that is MSI-H or dMMR with disease progression on prior therapy who are not candidates for surgery or radiation. Importantly, pembrolizumab is approved for the treatment of MSI-H or dMMR solid tumors that have progressed following treatment, with no alternative option and is the first anti-PD-1 inhibitor to be approved with a tumor agnostic indication⁷¹. Dostarlimab⁷³ (2021) is also approved for dMMR recurrent or advanced endometrial carcinoma or solid tumors that have progressed on prior treatment and is recommended as a subsequent therapy option in dMMR/MSI-H advanced or metastatic colon or rectal cancer^{65,74}. The cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) blocking antibody,

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

ipilimumab⁷⁵ (2011), is approved alone or in combination with nivolumab in MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with chemotherapy. MSI-H may confer a favorable prognosis in colorectal cancer although outcomes vary depending on stage and tumor location^{65,76,77}. Specifically, MSI-H is a strong prognostic indicator of better overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) in stage II as compared to stage III colorectal cancer patients⁷⁷. The majority of patients with tumors classified as either MSS or pMMR do not benefit from treatment with single-agent immune checkpoint inhibitors as compared to those with MSI-H tumors^{78,79}. However, checkpoint blockade with the addition of chemotherapy or targeted therapies have demonstrated response in MSS or pMMR cancers^{78,79}.

Genomic Instability

Background: Homologous recombination repair (HRR) is a DNA repair mechanism that targets double stranded breaks (DSBs) and interstrand cross-links (ICL) in DNA⁸⁰. Homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) is characterized by the cell's inability to repair these DSBs^{80,81}. HRD is caused by genetic or epigenetic alterations in the HRR pathway genes, most notably BRCA1 and BRCA2 along with other genes such as ATM and PALB2^{82,83,84,85}. A consequence of HRD due to the failure to repair DSBs is genomic instability^{86,87}. Genomic instability is an increased tendency towards acquiring genomic alterations during cell division^{88,89,90,91,92,93}. These alterations include small structural variations (i.e., single nucleotide variants (SNVs), insertions, and deletions) as well as significant structural variations (i.e., loss or gain of large chromosome fragments)^{89,94,95}. Variations of genomic instability include chromosomal instability, intrachromosomal instability, microsatellite instability, and epigenetic instability⁸⁸. Importantly, while the impact of frame-shift mutations in specific HRR genes can be mitigated by secondary mutations that restore the correct reading frame and thereby alleviate HRD, the effects of genomic instability are permanent and not reversible^{96,97,98}. For this reason, the alterations characteristic of genomic instability are referred to as genomic scars^{99,100}. Some of the genomic scar signatures that are characteristic of the HRD phenotype include loss of heterozygosity (LOH), telomeric allelic imbalance (TAI), and large-scale transition (LST)^{80,101}. Current methods for HRD detection are heterogeneous and the definition for HRD positive tumors varies depending on the cancer type⁸⁰. Generally, these methods detect the causes of HRD (i.e., alterations in HRR genes) and/or the consequences (i.e., signatures of genomic instability/genomic scarring)^{80,86,102,103}.

Alterations and prevalence: In a pan-cancer analysis of HRR gene mutations and genomic scar signatures in 8847 tumors across 33 cancer types, 17.5% of tumors were HRD-positive and 4% of tumors were positive for the BRCA1/2 mutation¹⁰⁴. Specifically, HRD-positive status was observed in over 50% of ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma, 35-45% of esophageal carcinoma, uterine carcinosarcoma, sarcoma, and lung adenocarcinoma, 20-30% of stomach adenocarcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, 5-15% of endometrial cancer, mesothelioma, cervical cancer, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and adrenocortical carcinoma, and 1-4% of rectum adenocarcinoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, testicular germ cell tumors, kidney chromophobe, glioblastoma multiforme, low grade glioma, and renal clear cell carcinoma¹⁰⁴. Inherited BRCA1/2 mutations occur in 1:400 to 1:500 individuals and are observed in 10-15% of ovarian cancer, 5-10% of breast cancer, and 1-4% of prostate cancer^{105,106,107,108,109,110,111,112}. Somatic alterations in BRCA1 are observed in 5-10% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and cervical squamous cell carcinoma, 3-4% of lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and breast invasive carcinoma, and 2% of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and glioblastoma multiforme^{5,6}. Somatic alterations in BRCA2 are observed in 5-15% of uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous melanoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, stomach adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, 3-4% of cervical squamous cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, esophageal adenocarcinoma, ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, breast invasive carcinoma, renal papillary cell carcinoma, and 2% of renal clear cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, thymoma, prostate adenocarcinoma, sarcoma, and glioblastoma multiforme^{5,6}.

Potential relevance: HRD status is an important biomarker in advanced ovarian and prostate cancer because it predicts response to certain treatments including poly-ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors and platinum chemotherapies^{113,114,115}. Disruption of HRR or inhibition of PARP, are tolerated by cells through the utilization of complementary DNA repair pathways. However, presence of HRD and subsequent treatment with PARP inhibitors block DNA repair, causing accumulation of DNA damage and cell death through synthetic lethality^{80,116,117,118}. Several PARP inhibitors are approved by the FDA for various cancers associated with markers of HRD. Olaparib¹¹⁹ was the first PARP inhibitor originally approved in 2014 for ovarian cancer with germline mutations in BRCA1/2 (gBRCAm). The utility of olaparib has since expanded to include genomic instability markers and mutations in other HRR genes. Specifically, olaparib as monotherapy is now indicated for gBRCAm and somatic BRCA1/2 mutated (sBRCAm) ovarian cancer and in combination with bevacizumab for BRCA1/2 mutated or genomic instability positive ovarian cancer¹¹⁹. In addition, olaparib is approved in prostate cancer with germline or somatic mutations in HRR genes including ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L^{83,119,120}. Olaparib is also approved for gBRCAm HER2 negative breast cancer and as maintenance therapies for gBRCAm pancreatic cancers¹¹⁹. Other PARP inhibitors that are FDA approved for BRCA mutated cancers include rucaparib¹²¹ (2016) that is indicated for gBRCAm or sBRCAm ovarian and prostate cancers, niraparib¹²² (2017) that is indicated for gBRCAm ovarian cancer, and talazoparib¹²³ (2018) that is indicated for gBRCAm HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. Niraparib is also recommended for the treatment of HRD-positive ovarian cancer, defined by BRCA1/2 mutations and/or genomic instability¹²⁴. In addition to PARP inhibitors, other drugs which promote synthetic lethality have been investigated for BRCA1/2 mutations. In 2022,

Biomarker Descriptions (continued)

the FDA granted fast track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex¹²⁵, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other HRR gene mutations in breast and ovarian cancers. Like PARP inhibitors, pidnarulex¹²⁵ causes synthetic lethality but through an alternative mechanism which involves stabilization of G-quadruplexes at the replication fork leading to DNA breaks and genomic instability. Despite tolerability and efficacy, acquired resistance to PARP inhibitors such as olaparib has been clinically reported¹²⁶. One of the most common mechanisms of resistance includes secondary intragenic mutations that restore BRCA1/2 functionality¹²⁷. Other potential mechanisms of resistance to PARP inhibitors include restoration of HRR activity, stabilization of the replication forks, inhibition of PARP trapping, increased drug efflux mediated by P-glycoprotein, and cell cycle control alterations^{127,128,129,130}.

RET amplification

ret proto-oncogene

Background: The RET gene encodes the RET receptor tyrosine kinase which is activated by a ligand family of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factors (GDNF)¹³¹. RET is the target of recurrent chromosomal rearrangements that generate fusion proteins containing the intact RET tyrosine kinase domain combined with several fusion partner genes. RET fusion kinases are constitutively activated and drive oncogenic transformation which can lead to activation of PI3K/AKT, RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, and PLCγ/PKC pathways resulting in cell survival and proliferation¹³².

Alterations and prevalence: RET fusions occur in approximately 55% of papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTC) with even higher frequencies observed in PTC patients with radiation exposure^{133,134,135}. RET rearrangement is also present in 1-2% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)¹³⁶. Point mutations in RET are relatively common in sporadic medullary thyroid cancer (MTC), with 6% of patients found to contain germline mutations¹³⁷. Somatic mutations (specifically at codon 918), which leads to increased kinase activity, have been observed in at least 25% of MTC cases¹³⁷.

Potential relevance: The FDA approved small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor, cabozantinib (2012), is recommended for the treatment of NSCLC patients with RET rearrangements¹³⁸. Cabozantinib has also demonstrated clinical benefit in RET mutated medullary thyroid cancer patients¹³⁹. Selpercatinib¹⁴⁰ is approved (2020) for RET fusion-positive NSCLC, thyroid cancer, and metastatic solid tumors that have progressed following systemic treatment. Selpercatinib¹⁴⁰ is also approved for RET-mutation positive medullary thyroid cancer (MTC). Additionally, the RET inhibitor, pralsetinib¹⁴¹, was approved (2020) for RET fusion-positive NSCLC and thyroid cancer as well as RET mutation-positive MTC. In 2024, the FDA granted fast track designation to the selective RET inhibitor, EP0031/A400¹⁴², as a potential treatment option for RET-fusion positive NSCLC. Point mutations involving codons 804 and 806 have been shown to confer resistance to selective kinase inhibitors including vandetanib^{143,144}. RET mutations at codon 918 are associated with high risk and adverse prognosis in patients diagnosed with MTC¹⁴⁵.

Alerts Informed By Public Data Sources

Current FDA Information

 Contraindicated
  Not recommended
  Resistance
  Breakthrough
  Fast Track

FDA information is current as of 2025-01-22. For the most up-to-date information, search www.fda.gov.

Genomic Instability

A pidnarulex

Cancer type: Breast Cancer, Ovarian Cancer

Variant class: HR Deficient

Supporting Statement:

The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to the small molecule inhibitor, pidnarulex, for BRCA1/2, PALB2, or other HRD mutations in breast and ovarian cancers.

Reference:

<https://www.senhwabio.com/en/news/20220125>

Genes Assayed

Genes Assayed for the Detection of DNA Sequence Variants

ABL1, ABL2, ACVR1, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AR, ARAF, ATP1A1, AURKA, AURKB, AURKC, AXL, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCR, BMP5, BRAF, BTK, CACNA1D, CARD11, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD79B, CDK4, CDK6, CHD4, CSF1R, CTNNA1, CUL1, CYSLTR2, DDR2, DGCR8, DROSHA, E2F1, EGFR, EIF1AX, EPAS1, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ESR1, EZH2, FAM135B, FGF7, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FOXL2, FOXO1, GATA2, GLI1, GNA11, GNAQ, GNAS, HIF1A, HRAS, IDH1, IDH2, IKBKB, IL6ST, IL7R, IRF4, IRS4, KCNJ5, KDR, KIT, KLF4, KLF5, KNSTRN, KRAS, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAX, MDM4, MECOM, MED12, MEF2B, MET, MITF, MPL, MTOR, MYC, MYCN, MYD88, MYO10, NFE2L2, NRAS, NSD2, NT5C2, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUP93, PAX5, PCBP1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PPP2R1A, PPP6C, PRKACA, PTPN11, PTPRD, PXDN, RAC1, RAF1, RARA, RET, RGS7, RHEB, RHOA, RICTOR, RIT1, ROS1, RPL10, SETBP1, SF3B1, SIX1, SIX2, SLC1B3, SMC1A, SMO, SNCAIP, SOS1, SOX2, SPOP, SRC, SRSF2, STAT3, STAT5B, STAT6, TAF1, TERT, TGFB1, TOP1, TOP2A, TPMT, TRRAP, TSHR, U2AF1, USP8, WAS, XPO1, ZNF217, ZNF429

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Copy Number Variations

ABC1, ABL1, ABL2, ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AKT1, AKT2, AKT3, ALK, AMER1, APC, AR, ARAF, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AURKA, AURKC, AXIN1, AXIN2, AXL, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCL2, BCL2L12, BCL6, BCOR, BLM, BMP2, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CARD11, CASP8, CBF, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CCND3, CCNE1, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDK4, CDK6, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHD4, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CTNND2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, DAXX, DDR1, DDR2, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, EGFR, EIF1AX, ELF3, EMSY, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERFF1, ESR1, ETV6, EZH2, FAM135B, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAT1, FBXW7, FGF19, FGF23, FGF3, FGF4, FGF9, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGFR4, FLT3, FLT4, FOXA1, FUBP1, FYN, GATA2, GATA3, GLI3, GNA13, GNAS, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, IDH2, IGF1R, IKBKB, IL7R, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KDR, KEAP1, KIT, KLF5, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, KRAS, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAGOH, MAP2K1, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK1, MAPK8, MAX, MCL1, MDM2, MDM4, MECOM, MEF2B, MEN1, MET, MGA, MITF, MLH1, MLH3, MPL, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTOR, MUTYH, MYC, MYCL, MYCN, MYD88, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NFE2L2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, NRAS, NTRK1, NTRK3, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PCBP1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3C2B, PIK3CA, PIK3CB, PIK3R1, PIK3R2, PIM1, PLCG1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R1A, PPP2R2A, PPP6C, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKACA, PRKAR1A, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPN11, PTPRT, PXDN, RAC1, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RAF1, RARA, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RET, RHEB, RICTOR, RIT1, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNF43, ROS1, RPA1, RPS6KB1, RPTOR, RUNX1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHD, SETBP1, SETD2, SF3B1, SLC1B3, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SMC1A, SMO, SOX9, SPEN, SPOP, SRC, STAG2, STAT3, STAT6, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TERT, TET2, TGFB2, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TOP1, TP53, TP63, TPMT, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, U2AF1, USP8, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XPO1, XRCC2, XRCC3, YAP1, YES1, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZNF217, ZNF429, ZRSR2

Genes Assayed (continued)

Genes Assayed for the Detection of Fusions

AKT2, ALK, AR, AXL, BRAF, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB4, ERG, ESR1, ETV1, ETV4, ETV5, FGFR1, FGFR2, FGFR3, FGR, FLT3, JAK2, KRAS, MDM4, MET, MYB, MYBL1, NF1, NOTCH1, NOTCH4, NRG1, NTRK1, NTRK2, NTRK3, NUTM1, PDGFRA, PDGFRB, PIK3CA, PPARG, PRKACA, PRKACB, PTEN, RAD51B, RAF1, RB1, RELA, RET, ROS1, RSP02, RSP03, TERT

Genes Assayed with Full Exon Coverage

ABRAXAS1, ACVR1B, ACVR2A, ADAMTS12, ADAMTS2, AMER1, APC, ARHGAP35, ARID1A, ARID1B, ARID2, ARID5B, ASXL1, ASXL2, ATM, ATR, ATRX, AXIN1, AXIN2, B2M, BAP1, BARD1, BCOR, BLM, BMPR2, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, CALR, CASP8, CBF, CD274, CD276, CDC73, CDH1, CDH10, CDK12, CDKN1A, CDKN1B, CDKN2A, CDKN2B, CDKN2C, CHEK1, CHEK2, CIC, CIITA, CREBBP, CSMD3, CTCF, CTLA4, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CYLD, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, DAXX, DDX3X, DICER1, DNMT3A, DOCK3, DPYD, DSC1, DSC3, ELF3, ENO1, EP300, EPCAM, EPHA2, ERAP1, ERAP2, ERCC2, ERCC4, ERCC5, ERRF1, ETV6, FANCA, FANCC, FANCD2, FANCE, FANCF, FANCG, FANCI, FANCL, FANCM, FAS, FAT1, FBXW7, FUBP1, GATA3, GNA13, GPS2, HDAC2, HDAC9, HLA-A, HLA-B, HNF1A, ID3, INPP4B, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, KDM5C, KDM6A, KEAP1, KLHL13, KMT2A, KMT2B, KMT2C, KMT2D, LARP4B, LATS1, LATS2, MAP2K4, MAP2K7, MAP3K1, MAP3K4, MAPK8, MEN1, MGA, MLH1, MLH3, MRE11, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, MTAP, MTUS2, MUTYH, NBN, NCOR1, NF1, NF2, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, NOTCH4, PALB2, PARP1, PARP2, PARP3, PARP4, PBRM1, PDCD1, PDCD1LG2, PDIA3, PGD, PHF6, PIK3R1, PMS1, PMS2, POLD1, POLE, POT1, PPM1D, PPP2R2A, PRDM1, PRDM9, PRKAR1A, PSMB10, PSMB8, PSMB9, PTCH1, PTEN, PTPRT, RAD50, RAD51, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, RAD52, RAD54L, RASA1, RASA2, RB1, RBM10, RECQL4, RNASEH2A, RNASEH2B, RNASEH2C, RNF43, RPA1, RPL22, RPL5, RUNX1, RUNX1T1, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SETD2, SLX4, SMAD2, SMAD4, SMARCA4, SMARCB1, SOCS1, SOX9, SPEN, STAG2, STAT1, STK11, SUFU, TAP1, TAP2, TBX3, TCF7L2, TET2, TGFB2, TMEM132D, TNFAIP3, TNFRSF14, TP53, TP63, TPP2, TSC1, TSC2, UGT1A1, USP9X, VHL, WT1, XRCC2, XRCC3, ZBTB20, ZFH3, ZMYM3, ZRSR2

Relevant Therapy Summary

In this cancer type
 In other cancer type
 In this cancer type and other cancer types
 No evidence

Genomic Instability

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
bevacizumab + olaparib	●	●	●	●	×
niraparib	×	●	×	●	● (II)
bevacizumab	×	●	×	×	×
bevacizumab + niraparib	×	●	×	×	×
olaparib	×	×	×	×	● (IV)
olaparib, bevacizumab	×	×	×	×	● (IV)
atezolizumab + talazoparib	×	×	×	×	● (II)
fluzoparib	×	×	×	×	● (II)
niraparib, chemotherapy	×	×	×	×	● (II)
tuvusertib, lartesertib, niraparib	×	×	×	×	● (II)
AMXI-5001	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)
niraparib, GSK-101	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)
sacituzumab govitecan, berzosertib	×	×	×	×	● (I/II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

● In this cancer type ○ In other cancer type ① In this cancer type and other cancer types ✕ No evidence

Genomic Instability (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
VIO-01	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I/II)
CART-TAG72	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
ceralasertib, olaparib, saruparib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
HS-10502	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
MOMA-313, olaparib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
pidnarulex	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
SIM-0501	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
XL-309, olaparib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)

TP53 p.(R213*) c.637C>T

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
niraparib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (II)
niraparib, GSK-101	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I/II)
VIO-01	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I/II)
HS-10502	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
SIM-0501	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)
XL-309, olaparib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)

FGFR1 amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
pemigatinib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (II)
regorafenib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (II)
sunitinib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (II)
BBI-355, futibatinib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I/II)
ABSK-121	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (I)

RET amplification

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
cabozantinib, regorafenib	✕	✕	✕	✕	● (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

Relevant Therapy Summary (continued)

In this cancer type
 In other cancer type
 In this cancer type and other cancer types
 No evidence

RET amplification (continued)

Relevant Therapy	FDA	NCCN	EMA	ESMO	Clinical Trials*
sunitinib, regorafenib	×	×	×	×	<input checked="" type="radio"/> (II)

* Most advanced phase (IV, III, II/III, II, I/II, I) is shown and multiple clinical trials may be available.

HRR Details

Gene/Genomic Alteration	Finding
LOH percentage	31.14%
BRCA1	LOH, 17q21.31(41197602-41276231)x3
BRCA2	LOH, 13q13.1(32890491-32972932)x3
BRCA2	SNV, E2918A, AF:0.67
BRIP1	LOH, 17q23.2(59760627-59938976)x3
CDK12	LOH, 17q12(37618286-37687611)x3
RAD51C	LOH, 17q22(56769933-56811619)x3
RAD51D	LOH, 17q12(33427950-33446720)x3

Homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes were defined from published evidence in relevant therapies, clinical guidelines, as well as clinical trials, and include - BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, BARD1, BRIP1, CDK12, CHEK1, CHEK2, FANCL, PALB2, RAD51B, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L.

Thermo Fisher Scientific's Ion Torrent OncoPrint Reporter software was used in generation of this report. Software was developed and designed internally by Thermo Fisher Scientific. The analysis was based on OncoPrint Reporter (6.0.2 data version 2025.02(006)). The data presented here are from a curated knowledge base of publicly available information, but may not be exhaustive. FDA information was sourced from www.fda.gov and is current as of 2025-01-22. NCCN information was sourced from www.nccn.org and is current as of 2025-01-02. EMA information was sourced from www.ema.europa.eu and is current as of 2025-01-22. ESMO information was sourced from www.esmo.org and is current as of 2025-01-02. Clinical Trials information is current as of 2025-01-02. For the most up-to-date information regarding a particular trial, search www.clinicaltrials.gov by NCT ID or search local clinical trials authority website by local identifier listed in 'Other identifiers.' Variants are reported according to HGVS nomenclature and classified following AMP/ASCO/CAP guidelines (Li et al. 2017). Based on the data sources selected, variants, therapies, and trials listed in this report are listed in order of potential clinical significance but not for predicted efficacy of the therapies.

References

1. Page et al. Context-Dependent Role of IKK β in Cancer. *Genes (Basel)*. 2017 Dec 8;8(12). PMID: 29292732
2. Christian et al. The Regulation of NF- κ B Subunits by Phosphorylation. *Cells*. 2016 Mar 18;5(1). PMID: 26999213
3. Kabacaoglu et al. NF- κ B/Rel Transcription Factors in Pancreatic Cancer: Focusing on RelA, c-Rel, and RelB. PMID: 31277415
4. Lawrence. The nuclear factor NF- κ B pathway in inflammation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2009 Dec;1(6):a001651. PMID: 20457564
5. Weinstein et al. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nat. Genet*. 2013 Oct;45(10):1113-20. PMID: 24071849
6. Cerami et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov*. 2012 May;2(5):401-4. PMID: 22588877
7. Babina et al. Advances and challenges in targeting FGFR signalling in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*. 2017 May;17(5):318-332. PMID: 28303906
8. Ahmad et al. Mechanisms of FGFR-mediated carcinogenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 2012 Apr;1823(4):850-60. PMID: 22273505
9. Sarabipour et al. Mechanism of FGF receptor dimerization and activation. *Nat Commun*. 2016 Jan 4;7:10262. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10262. PMID: 26725515
10. Helsten et al. The FGFR Landscape in Cancer: Analysis of 4,853 Tumors by Next-Generation Sequencing. *Clin. Cancer Res*. 2016 Jan 1;22(1):259-67. PMID: 26373574
11. Peter et al. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. *Nature*. 2012 Sep 27;489(7417):519-25. PMID: 22960745
12. Ciriello et al. Comprehensive Molecular Portraits of Invasive Lobular Breast Cancer. *Cell*. 2015 Oct 8;163(2):506-19. PMID: 26451490
13. Cancer et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. *Nature*. 2013 May 2;497(7447):67-73. PMID: 23636398
14. Lew et al. The precise sequence of FGF receptor autophosphorylation is kinetically driven and is disrupted by oncogenic mutations. *Sci Signal*. 2009 Feb 17;2(58):ra6. PMID: 19224897
15. Jackson et al. 8p11 myeloproliferative syndrome: a review. *Hum. Pathol*. 2010 Apr;41(4):461-76. PMID: 20226962
16. Li et al. Identification of a novel partner gene, TPR, fused to FGFR1 in 8p11 myeloproliferative syndrome. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. 2012 Sep;51(9):890-7. PMID: 22619110
17. Wasag et al. The kinase inhibitor TKI258 is active against the novel CUX1-FGFR1 fusion detected in a patient with T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma and t(7;8)(q22;p11). *Haematologica*. 2011 Jun;96(6):922-6. PMID: 21330321
18. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/213736s002lbl.pdf
19. <https://www.debiopharm.com/drug-development/press-releases/fda-grants-fast-track-designation-to-debiopharm-internationals-debio-1347-for-the-treatment-of-patients-with-unresectable-or-metastatic-tumors-with-a-specific-fgfr-gene-alteration/>
20. Cha et al. FGFR2 amplification is predictive of sensitivity to regorafenib in gastric and colorectal cancers in vitro. *Mol Oncol*. 2018 Jun;12(7):993-1003. PMID: 29573334
21. Chae et al. Inhibition of the fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) pathway: the current landscape and barriers to clinical application. *Oncotarget*. 2017 Feb 28;8(9):16052-16074. PMID: 28030802
22. Porta et al. FGFR a promising druggable target in cancer: Molecular biology and new drugs. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol*. 2017 May;113:256-267. PMID: 28427515
23. Gozgit et al. Ponatinib (AP24534), a multitargeted pan-FGFR inhibitor with activity in multiple FGFR-amplified or mutated cancer models. *Mol. Cancer Ther*. 2012 Mar;11(3):690-9. PMID: 22238366
24. Yamamoto et al. Lenvatinib, an angiogenesis inhibitor targeting VEGFR/FGFR, shows broad antitumor activity in human tumor xenograft models associated with microvessel density and pericyte coverage. *Vasc Cell*. 2014 Sep 6;6:18. doi: 10.1186/2045-824X-6-18. eCollection 2014. PMID: 25197551
25. Kim et al. Pazopanib, a novel multitargeted kinase inhibitor, shows potent in vitro antitumor activity in gastric cancer cell lines with FGFR2 amplification. *Mol. Cancer Ther*. 2014 Nov;13(11):2527-36. PMID: 25249557
26. Hibi et al. FGFR gene alterations in lung squamous cell carcinoma are potential targets for the multikinase inhibitor nintedanib. *Cancer Sci*. 2016 Nov;107(11):1667-1676. PMID: 27581340
27. Lim et al. Efficacy and safety of dovitinib in pretreated patients with advanced squamous non-small cell lung cancer with FGFR1 amplification: A single-arm, phase 2 study. *Cancer*. 2016 Oct;122(19):3024-31. PMID: 27315356
28. Paik et al. A Phase Ib Open-Label Multicenter Study of AZD4547 in Patients with Advanced Squamous Cell Lung Cancers. *Clin. Cancer Res*. 2017 Sep 15;23(18):5366-5373. PMID: 28615371

References (continued)

29. Muller et al. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancer Cell*. 2014 Mar 17;25(3):304-17. PMID: 24651012
30. Olivier et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: origins, consequences, and clinical use. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2010 Jan;2(1):a001008. PMID: 20182602
31. Guha et al. Inherited TP53 Mutations and the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2017 Apr 3;7(4). PMID: 28270529
32. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Nature*. 2015 Jan 29;517(7536):576-82. PMID: 25631445
33. Campbell et al. Distinct patterns of somatic genome alterations in lung adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat. Genet*. 2016 Jun;48(6):607-16. PMID: 27158780
34. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal carcinoma. *Nature*. 2017 Jan 12;541(7636):169-175. doi: 10.1038/nature20805. Epub 2017 Jan 4. PMID: 28052061
35. Olivier et al. The IARC TP53 database: new online mutation analysis and recommendations to users. *Hum. Mutat*. 2002 Jun;19(6):607-14. PMID: 12007217
36. Rivlin et al. Mutations in the p53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: Important Milestones at the Various Steps of Tumorigenesis. *Genes Cancer*. 2011 Apr;2(4):466-74. PMID: 21779514
37. Petitjean et al. TP53 mutations in human cancers: functional selection and impact on cancer prognosis and outcomes. *Oncogene*. 2007 Apr 2;26(15):2157-65. PMID: 17401424
38. Soussi et al. Recommendations for analyzing and reporting TP53 gene variants in the high-throughput sequencing era. *Hum. Mutat*. 2014 Jun;35(6):766-78. PMID: 24729566
39. <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2020/10/13/2107498/0/en/PMV-Pharma-Granted-FDA-Fast-Track-Designation-of-PC14586-for-the-Treatment-of-Advanced-Cancer-Patients-that-have-Tumors-with-a-p53-Y220C-Mutation.html>
40. <https://ir.aprea.com//news-releases/news-release-details/aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-fast-track-designation>
41. <http://vp280.alertir.com/en/pressreleases/karolinska-development%27s-portfolio-company-aprea-therapeutics-receives-fda-breakthrough-therapy-designation-1769167>
42. Parrales et al. Targeting Oncogenic Mutant p53 for Cancer Therapy. *Front Oncol*. 2015 Dec 21;5:288. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00288. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26732534
43. Zhao et al. Molecularly targeted therapies for p53-mutant cancers. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci*. 2017 Nov;74(22):4171-4187. PMID: 28643165
44. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Myeloid Leukemia [Version 1.2025]
45. Döhner et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. *Blood*. 2022 Sep 22;140(12):1345-1377. PMID: 35797463
46. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myelodysplastic Syndromes [Version 1.2025]
47. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms [Version 2.2024]
48. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma [Version 1.2025]
49. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia [Version 3.2024]
50. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-B-Cell Lymphomas [Version 1.2025]
51. Bernard et al. Implications of TP53 allelic state for genome stability, clinical presentation and outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Nat. Med*. 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32747829
52. Toledo et al. MDM2 and MDM4: p53 regulators as targets in anticancer therapy. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol*. 2007;39(7-8):1476-82. PMID: 17499002
53. Zhao et al. The regulation of MDM2 oncogene and its impact on human cancers. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. (Shanghai)*. 2014 Mar;46(3):180-9. PMID: 24389645
54. Helei et al. The role of MDM2 amplification and overexpression in therapeutic resistance of malignant tumors. *Cancer Cell International* volume 19, Article number: 216 (2019). PMID: 31440117
55. Dembla et al. Prevalence of MDM2 amplification and coalterations in 523 advanced cancer patients in the MD Anderson phase 1 clinic. *Oncotarget*. 2018 Sep 4;9(69):33232-33243. PMID: 30237864
56. Momand et al. The MDM2 gene amplification database. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1998 Aug 1;26(15):3453-9. PMID: 9671804
57. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Soft Tissue Sarcoma [Version 4.2024]
58. Lander et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001 Feb 15;409(6822):860-921. PMID: 11237011

References (continued)

59. Baudrin et al. Molecular and Computational Methods for the Detection of Microsatellite Instability in Cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2018 Dec 12;8:621. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00621. eCollection 2018. PMID: 30631754
60. Nojadedh et al. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *EXCLI J.* 2018;17:159-168. PMID: 29743854
61. Saeed et al. Microsatellites in Pursuit of Microbial Genome Evolution. *Front Microbiol.* 2016 Jan 5;6:1462. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01462. eCollection 2015. PMID: 26779133
62. Boland et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1998 Nov 15;58(22):5248-57. PMID: 9823339
63. Halford et al. Low-level microsatellite instability occurs in most colorectal cancers and is a nonrandomly distributed quantitative trait. *Cancer Res.* 2002 Jan 1;62(1):53-7. PMID: 11782358
64. Imai et al. Carcinogenesis and microsatellite instability: the interrelationship between genetics and epigenetics. *Carcinogenesis.* 2008 Apr;29(4):673-80. PMID: 17942460
65. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Colon Cancer [Version 5.2024]
66. Pawlik et al. Colorectal carcinogenesis: MSI-H versus MSI-L. *Dis. Markers.* 2004;20(4-5):199-206. PMID: 15528785
67. Lee et al. Low-Level Microsatellite Instability as a Potential Prognostic Factor in Sporadic Colorectal Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2015 Dec;94(50):e2260. PMID: 26683947
68. Latham et al. Microsatellite Instability Is Associated With the Presence of Lynch Syndrome Pan-Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2019 Feb 1;37(4):286-295. PMID: 30376427
69. Cortes-Ciriano et al. A molecular portrait of microsatellite instability across multiple cancers. *Nat Commun.* 2017 Jun 6;8:15180. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15180. PMID: 28585546
70. Bonneville et al. Landscape of Microsatellite Instability Across 39 Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2017;2017. PMID: 29850653
71. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/125514s162lbl.pdf
72. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/125554s127lbl.pdf
73. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761174s009lbl.pdf
74. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Rectal Cancer [Version 4.2024]
75. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/125377s129lbl.pdf
76. Ribic et al. Tumor microsatellite-instability status as a predictor of benefit from fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2003 Jul 17;349(3):247-57. PMID: 12867608
77. Klingbiel et al. Prognosis of stage II and III colon cancer treated with adjuvant 5-fluorouracil or FOLFIRI in relation to microsatellite status: results of the PETACC-3 trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 2015 Jan;26(1):126-32. PMID: 25361982
78. Hermel et al. The Emerging Role of Checkpoint Inhibition in Microsatellite Stable Colorectal Cancer. *J Pers Med.* 2019 Jan 16;9(1). PMID: 30654522
79. Ciardiello et al. Immunotherapy of colorectal cancer: Challenges for therapeutic efficacy. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2019 Jun;76:22-32. PMID: 31079031
80. Stewart et al. Homologous Recombination Deficiency: Concepts, Definitions, and Assays. *Oncologist.* 2022 Mar 11;27(3):167-174. PMID: 35274707
81. Creeden et al. Homologous recombination proficiency in ovarian and breast cancer patients. *BMC Cancer.* 2021 Oct 28;21(1):1154. PMID: 34711195
82. Sokol et al. Pan-Cancer Analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 Genomic Alterations and Their Association With Genomic Instability as Measured by Genome-Wide Loss of Heterozygosity. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2020;4:442-465. PMID: 32903788
83. Heeke et al. Prevalence of Homologous Recombination-Related Gene Mutations Across Multiple Cancer Types. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2018;2018. PMID: 30234181
84. Prakash et al. Homologous recombination and human health: the roles of BRCA1, BRCA2, and associated proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2015 Apr 1;7(4):a016600. PMID: 25833843
85. Kondrashova et al. Methylation of all BRCA1 copies predicts response to the PARP inhibitor rucaparib in ovarian carcinoma. *Nat Commun.* 2018 Sep 28;9(1):3970. PMID: 30266954
86. Hoppe et al. Biomarkers for Homologous Recombination Deficiency in Cancer. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2018 Jul 1;110(7):704-713. PMID: 29788099
87. Wagener-Ryczek et al. Biomarkers for Homologous Recombination Deficiency in Cancer. *J Pers Med.* 2021 Jun 28;11(7). PMID: 34203281
88. Negrini et al. Genomic instability--an evolving hallmark of cancer. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2010 Mar;11(3):220-8. PMID: 20177397

References (continued)

89. Yao et al. Genomic Instability and Cancer. *J Carcinog Mutagen*. 2014;5. PMID: 25541596
90. Chen et al. GSA: an independent development algorithm for calling copy number and detecting homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) from target capture sequencing. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2021 Nov 23;22(1):562. PMID: 34814825
91. Popova et al. Ploidy and large-scale genomic instability consistently identify basal-like breast carcinomas with BRCA1/2 inactivation. *Cancer Res*. 2012 Nov 1;72(21):5454-62. PMID: 22933060
92. Timms et al. Association of BRCA1/2 defects with genomic scores predictive of DNA damage repair deficiency among breast cancer subtypes. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2014 Dec 5;16(6):475. PMID: 25475740
93. Birkbak et al. Telomeric allelic imbalance indicates defective DNA repair and sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents. *Cancer Discov*. 2012 Apr;2(4):366-375. PMID: 22576213
94. Duijf et al. Mechanisms of Genomic Instability in Breast Cancer. *Trends Mol Med*. 2019 Jul;25(7):595-611. PMID: 31078431
95. Stoler et al. The onset and extent of genomic instability in sporadic colorectal tumor progression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999 Dec 21;96(26):15121-6. PMID: 10611348
96. Sakai et al. Functional restoration of BRCA2 protein by secondary BRCA2 mutations in BRCA2-mutated ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer Res*. 2009 Aug 15;69(16):6381-6. PMID: 19654294
97. Sakai et al. Secondary mutations as a mechanism of cisplatin resistance in BRCA2-mutated cancers. *Nature*. 2008 Feb 28;451(7182):1116-20. PMID: 18264087
98. Swisher et al. Secondary BRCA1 mutations in BRCA1-mutated ovarian carcinomas with platinum resistance. *Cancer Res*. 2008 Apr 15;68(8):2581-6. PMID: 18413725
99. Watkins et al. Genomic scars as biomarkers of homologous recombination deficiency and drug response in breast and ovarian cancers. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2014 Jun 3;16(3):211. PMID: 25093514
100. Marquard et al. Pan-cancer analysis of genomic scar signatures associated with homologous recombination deficiency suggests novel indications for existing cancer drugs. *Biomark Res*. 2015;3:9. PMID: 26015868
101. Chao et al. Genomic scar signatures associated with homologous recombination deficiency predict adverse clinical outcomes in patients with ovarian clear cell carcinoma. *J Mol Med (Berl)*. 2018 Jun;96(6):527-536. PMID: 29725737
102. Doig et al. Homologous Recombination Repair Deficiency: An Overview for Pathologists. *Mod Pathol*. 2023 Mar;36(3):100049. PMID: 36788098
103. Nguyen et al. Pan-cancer landscape of homologous recombination deficiency. *Nat Commun*. 2020 Nov 4;11(1):5584. PMID: 33149131
104. Rempel et al. Pan-cancer analysis of genomic scar patterns caused by homologous repair deficiency (HRD). *NPJ Precis Oncol*. 2022 Jun 9;6(1):36. PMID: 35681079
105. Petrucelli et al. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *GeneReviews®* [Internet]. PMID: 20301425
106. Pruthi et al. Identification and Management of Women With BRCA Mutations or Hereditary Predisposition for Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *Mayo Clin. Proc*. 2010 Dec;85(12):1111-20. PMID: 21123638
107. Walsh et al. Mutations in 12 genes for inherited ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinoma identified by massively parallel sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2011 Nov 1;108(44):18032-7. PMID: 22006311
108. Alsop et al. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2012 Jul 20;30(21):2654-63. PMID: 22711857
109. Whittemore et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutation carriers among U.S. non-Hispanic Whites. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev*. 2004 Dec;13(12):2078-83. PMID: 15598764
110. King et al. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Science*. 2003 Oct 24;302(5645):643-6. PMID: 14576434
111. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. *Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. Br. J. Cancer*. 2000 Nov;83(10):1301-8. PMID: 11044354
112. Shao et al. A comprehensive literature review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of pan-cancer BRCA mutations, homologous recombination repair gene mutations, and homologous recombination deficiencies. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2022 Jul;63(6):308-316. PMID: 36054589
113. Levy-Lahad et al. Cancer risks among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *Br. J. Cancer*. 2007 Jan 15;96(1):11-5. PMID: 17213823
114. Ferrone et al. BRCA germline mutations in Jewish patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009 Jan 20;27(3):433-8. PMID: 19064968
115. Cavanagh et al. The role of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in prostate, pancreatic and stomach cancers. *Hered Cancer Clin Pract*. 2015;13(1):16. PMID: 26236408

References (continued)

116. Pilié et al. PARP Inhibitors: Extending Benefit Beyond BRCA-Mutant Cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019 Jul 1;25(13):3759-3771. PMID: 30760478
117. Lord et al. PARP inhibitors: Synthetic lethality in the clinic. *Science.* 2017 Mar 17;355(6330):1152-1158. PMID: 28302823
118. Iglehart et al. Synthetic lethality—a new direction in cancer-drug development. *N Engl J Med.* 2009 Jul 9;361(2):189-91. PMID: 19553640
119. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/208558s028lbl.pdf
120. de et al. Olaparib for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2020 May 28;382(22):2091-2102. PMID: 32343890
121. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf
122. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2023/214876s000lbl.pdf
123. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/211651s012lbl.pdf
124. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Ovarian Cancer [Version 3.2024]
125. <https://www.senhwabio.com/en/news/20220125>
126. Barber et al. Secondary mutations in BRCA2 associated with clinical resistance to a PARP inhibitor. *J. Pathol.* 2013 Feb;229(3):422-9. PMID: 23165508
127. D'Andrea. Mechanisms of PARP inhibitor sensitivity and resistance. *DNA Repair (Amst.).* 2018 Nov;71:172-176. PMID: 30177437
128. Dias et al. Understanding and overcoming resistance to PARP inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2021 Dec;18(12):773-791. PMID: 34285417
129. Giudice et al. PARP Inhibitors Resistance: Mechanisms and Perspectives. *Cancers (Basel).* 2022 Mar 10;14(6). PMID: 35326571
130. Kim et al. Alternate therapeutic pathways for PARP inhibitors and potential mechanisms of resistance. *Exp Mol Med.* 2021 Jan;53(1):42-51. PMID: 33487630
131. Knowles et al. Structure and chemical inhibition of the RET tyrosine kinase domain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006 Nov 3;281(44):33577-87. PMID: 16928683
132. Ibáñez. Structure and physiology of the RET receptor tyrosine kinase. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2013 Feb 1;5(2). PMID: 23378586
133. Santoro et al. Central role of RET in thyroid cancer. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2013 Dec 1;5(12):a009233. PMID: 24296167
134. Elisei et al. RET/PTC rearrangements in thyroid nodules: studies in irradiated and not irradiated, malignant and benign thyroid lesions in children and adults. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2001 Jul;86(7):3211-6. PMID: 11443191
135. Ciampi et al. RET/PTC rearrangements and BRAF mutations in thyroid tumorigenesis. *Endocrinology.* 2007 Mar;148(3):936-41. PMID: 16946010
136. Kohno et al. KIF5B-RET fusions in lung adenocarcinoma. *Nat. Med.* 2012 Feb 12;18(3):375-7. PMID: 22327624
137. Wohllk et al. Relevance of RET proto-oncogene mutations in sporadic medullary thyroid carcinoma. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 1996 Oct;81(10):3740-5. PMID: 8855832
138. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer [Version 1.2025]
139. Sherman et al. Correlative analyses of RET and RAS mutations in a phase 3 trial of cabozantinib in patients with progressive, metastatic medullary thyroid cancer. *Cancer.* 2016 Dec 15;122(24):3856-3864. PMID: 27525386
140. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/213246s014lbl.pdf
141. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/213721s015lbl.pdf
142. <https://ellipses.life/ellipses-next-generation-selective-ret-inhibitor-ep0031-a400-granted-fast-track-designation-by-fda/>
143. Carlomagno et al. Disease associated mutations at valine 804 in the RET receptor tyrosine kinase confer resistance to selective kinase inhibitors. *Oncogene.* 2004 Aug 12;23(36):6056-63. PMID: 15184865
144. Carlomagno et al. Identification of tyrosine 806 as a molecular determinant of RET kinase sensitivity to ZD6474. *Endocr Relat Cancer.* 2009 Mar;16(1):233-41. doi: 10.1677/ERC-08-0213. Epub 2008 Nov 24. PMID: 19029224
145. NCCN Guidelines® - NCCN-Thyroid Carcinoma [Version 4.2024]